

**Medication safety in neonatal care
a review of medication errors among neonates**

Krzyzaniak, Natalia; Bajorek, Beata

Published in:
Therapeutic Advances in Drug Safety

DOI:
[10.1177/2042098616642231](https://doi.org/10.1177/2042098616642231)

Published: 01/06/2016

Document Version:
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Licence:
CC BY-NC

[Link to publication in Bond University research repository.](#)

Recommended citation(APA):
Krzyzaniak, N., & Bajorek, B. (2016). Medication safety in neonatal care: a review of medication errors among neonates. *Therapeutic Advances in Drug Safety*, 7(3), 102-119. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2042098616642231>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

For more information, or if you believe that this document breaches copyright, please contact the Bond University research repository coordinator.

Medication safety in neonatal care: a review of medication errors among neonates

Natalia Krzyzaniak and Beata Bajorek

Ther Adv Drug Saf

2016, Vol. 7(3) 102–119

DOI: 10.1177/
2042098616642231

© The Author(s), 2016.
Reprints and permissions:
[http://www.sagepub.co.uk/
journalsPermissions.nav](http://www.sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav)

Abstract

Objective: The objective of this study was to describe the medication errors in hospitalized patients, comparing those in neonates with medication errors across the age spectrum.

Method: In tier 1, PubMed, Embase and Google Scholar were searched, using selected MeSH terms relating to hospitalized paediatric, adult and elderly populations. Tier 2 involved a search of the same electronic databases for literature relating to hospitalized neonatal patients.

Results: A total of 58 articles were reviewed. Medication errors were well documented in each patient group. Overall, prescribing and administration errors were most commonly identified across each population, and mostly related to errors in dosing. Errors due to patient misidentification and overdosing were particularly prevalent in neonates, with 47% of administration errors involving at least tenfold overdoses. Unique errors were identified in elderly patients, comprising duplication of therapy and unnecessary prescribing of medicines. Overall, the medicines most frequently identified with error across each patient group included: heparin, antibiotics, insulin, morphine and parenteral nutrition. While neonatal patients experience the same types of medication errors as other hospitalized patients, the medication-use process within this group is more complex and has greater consequences resulting from error. Suggested strategies to help overcome medication error most commonly involved the integration of a clinical pharmacist into the treating team.

Conclusion: This review highlights that each step of the medication-use process is prone to error across the age spectrum. Further research is required to develop targeted strategies relevant to specific patient groups that integrate key pharmacy services into wards.

Keywords: medication error, medication safety, neonates, NICU

Introduction

Medication errors are common in hospitalized patients and are a high priority in healthcare systems worldwide [Fortescue *et al.* 2003; Wiedenmayer *et al.* 2006; Roughead *et al.* 2013]. Defined as any mistakes that occur during the medication-use process, medication errors can arise in the course of prescribing, dispensing, transcribing, administering and monitoring medicines [European Medicines Agency, 2015]. Often, these errors are preventable and result in increased patient morbidity and mortality as well as increased healthcare costs and unnecessary hospitalization [European Medicines Agency, 2015]. While the problem of medication errors has been widely reported in published literature, of particular concern are those that occur in neonatal medicine [Kaushal *et al.*

2001; Fortescue *et al.* 2003; Stavroudis *et al.* 2010]. The neonatal population is particularly vulnerable to further risk of harm resulting from medication errors due to their physiological inability to buffer errors [Kaushal *et al.* 2001]. Medication errors with potential to cause harm are eight times more likely to occur in the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) compared with adult wards [Kaushal *et al.* 2001; Stavroudis *et al.* 2010]. Furthermore, it is reported that medication errors comprise 84.2% of all medication errors within the NICU [Stavroudis *et al.* 2010].

There are few studies that compare medication errors across different patient populations, particularly with respect to the neonatal patient group. Each hospitalized patient population has different pharmacotherapeutic needs, and it is

Correspondence to:
Natalia Krzyzaniak,
B Pharm Sci, M Pharm,
Grad Cert Pharm Med,
PhD Candidate
University of Technology,
Sydney, Graduate School
of Health (Pharmacy), PO
Box 123, Broadway, NSW
2007, Australia
[Natalia.Krzyzaniak@
student.uts.edu.au](mailto:Natalia.Krzyzaniak@student.uts.edu.au)
Beata Bajorek, B Pharm,
Dip Hosp Pharm, PhD,
**Grad Cert Ed Stud (Higher
Ed)**
University of Technology,
Sydney, Graduate School
of Health (Pharmacy),
Broadway, Sydney, NSW,
Australia

important to establish whether there are different medication errors in each group. As such, this lack of information limits the ability of healthcare systems to develop targeted strategies to decrease the incidence of error.

The purpose of this review is to determine a medication error profile that characterizes the types of medication errors that are experienced by different hospitalized patient populations across the age spectrum. The review explores whether there are any medication errors unique to the neonatal population, and establishes whether there are differences in error type between populations. Furthermore, the review identifies the medicines that are most commonly associated with error in each patient group.

Methods

A comprehensive search of the literature was performed using the following electronic databases: Medline, Embase, Google Scholar. Relevant literature, including reviews, original studies and other articles pertaining to medication safety issues and medication errors, were extracted.

Search strategy

A two-tiered search strategy was used (Figure 1). In tier 1, a generalized search was performed to find literature relevant to the paediatric, adult and elderly patient populations using the MeSH terms *paediatric*, *children*, *hospitalized patients*, *adult*, *elderly*, *medication safety* and *medication errors*. Subsequent to finding the bulk of the literature, tier 2 of the search was dedicated to finding articles specific to the neonatal population utilising the following MeSH terms: *medication errors*, *medication safety*, *neonate*, *infant* and *NICU*. Inclusion criteria for the searches restricted the content to the following: types or nature of medication errors, hospitalized patients, and written in the English language. All full-text articles were retrieved and all evaluations pertaining to the types of medication errors in the NICU were included in the review. Manual bibliographic searches of all relevant articles were also performed in order to identify any articles that were not found in the electronic searches.

Structure of review

The patient populations have been classified into four broad age headings: neonates (0–28 days of

age), children or paediatrics (1–18 years), adults and the elderly. Neonatal data were gathered from articles that specifically studied the NICU, or had the NICU as part of their study group. The review included paediatric studies that assessed medication errors on paediatric intensive care units (PICUs), emergency and general paediatric wards. The literature reporting on the adult population comprised studies conducted in intensive care units (ICUs), surgical and medical wards and emergency departments. Articles on the elderly patient group reported on errors in geriatric wards and acute-care wards.

Medication errors have been identified and reported within each phase of the medication-use process, including prescription, transcription, dispensing, administration and monitoring [Bates *et al.* 1995; Kaushal *et al.* 2001; Pallas *et al.* 2008; Stavroudis *et al.* 2010]. Definitions of the types of medication error associated with each phase are presented in Table 1.

Results

Electronic and manual searches identified a total of 58 full-text articles, from a range of countries. Most of the literature came from the USA (20 of 58), with others from Spain, Iran, Finland, Australia, UK, Italy, Turkey, Argentina, Brazil, Denmark, Switzerland, New Zealand, Morocco, India and Canada.

Overall, medication errors were well documented in each patient group, however, comparative studies between patient populations were not widely identified.

Neonatal population

Among the 20 articles reviewed, the majority used a prospective chart review method to collect data and just over half of the studies were conducted in the USA (10 of 18) [Raju *et al.* 1989; Vincer *et al.* 1989; Kaushal *et al.* 2001; Carroll *et al.* 2003; Chappell and Newman, 2004; Cordero *et al.* 2004; Gray and Goldmann, 2004; Gray *et al.* 2006; Simpson *et al.* 2004; Suresh *et al.* 2004; Kunac and Reith, 2005; Van Den Anker, 2005; Ligi *et al.* 2008; Pallas *et al.* 2008; Campino *et al.* 2009; Jain *et al.* 2009; Stavroudis *et al.* 2010; Antonucci and Porcella, 2012; Dabliz and Levine, 2012; Sorrentino and Alegiani, 2012]. A summary of the errors reported are presented in Table 2. The prescribing phase was




Figure 1. Search Strategy.

associated with the highest incidence of medication errors, comprising 14–74% of total error reports [Kaushal *et al.* 2001; Cordero *et al.* 2004; Gray and Goldmann, 2004; Simpson *et al.* 2004; Suresh *et al.* 2004; Pallas *et al.* 2008; Campino *et al.* 2009; Jain *et al.* 2009; Stavroudis *et al.* 2010; Antonucci and Porcella, 2012; Sorrentino and Alegiani, 2012]. The most frequently reported error within this phase involved incorrect dosing, with 42% of errors relating to overdoses or underdoses [Jain *et al.* 2009]. Ten

articles reported that dosing errors occurred because of miscalculation of doses and incorrect placement of decimal points or units of measurement [Kaushal *et al.* 2001; Cordero *et al.* 2004; Simpson *et al.* 2004; Van Den Anker, 2005; Pallas *et al.* 2008; Campino *et al.* 2009; Jain *et al.* 2009; Stavroudis *et al.* 2010; Antonucci and Porcella, 2012; Dabliz and Levine, 2012]. One Indian-based study reported that as a result of a dosing error, an infant received a tenfold increase in the delivery of morphine [Jain *et al.* 2009].

Table 1. Definitions and contributing factors for medication error across the medication-use process.

Definitions of the different types of medication errors	
Prescribing	All errors that occur during the decision process and in prescribing/ordering a medication for a patient. Includes: dose errors, wrong drug, wrong regimen and inappropriate drug.
Transcription	All errors associated with the transfer of verbal or written information from an order sheet or prescription to patient, medication chart or medical records. Includes: discrepancies in drug name, formulation, route, dose, dosing regimen and omission.
Dispensing	All errors that occur during the interpretation of medication prescriptions by the pharmacy staff and the subsequent selection, preparation, labelling and distribution of medication.
Administration	All errors that occur whilst a medication is being administered to a patient. Includes: omission, wrong drug, wrong dose, wrong time and wrong route.
Monitoring	All errors associated with the monitoring of clinical and/or laboratory data that assess the patient's response to the administered drug therapy i.e. through therapeutic drug-monitoring practices. Includes: error in interpreting results, wrong dose suggestions, omission of suggestions and wrong drug suggestions to reverse condition.
Contributing factors for medication error in each patient group	
Neonate	Higher number of medications, lack of physician experience, high-intensity physician workloads, length of stay, low birth weights, gestational ages, similar-sounding or identical names and surnames, multiple-birth babies (i.e. twins), inability to communicate, more vascular lines, long hospitalizations and dispensing medications 2 hours after being ordered.
Paediatric	Seriously ill patients, inexperienced physicians, human error, equipment dysfunction and communication failures.
Adult	Polymedication prescriptions, physicians' lack of pharmacology knowledge, stressful and high-paced work environment, staff performance deficits, failure to consider patient information, memory lapses and dose-checking processes.
Elderly	Taking five or more medications, prescribed nine or more medications, hospitalizations 13 days or longer, incidence of more than one chronic disease and multiple pathologies.

Table 2. Medication errors specific to neonatal patients.

	Neonates
Prescribing	Wrong route Wrong use of units i.e. milligrams instead of grams Lack of neonate-specific drug protocols or information
Transcription	Wrong weight Wrong dosage regimen Wrong units
Dispensing	Providing the correct drug in the wrong packaging Incorrect calculations or doses Late dispensing of medications Incorrect dilutions in manufacture of drugs
Administration	Patient misidentification Additional dose of drug Wrong dilution Parents administering unauthorized nutrients
Monitoring	Nil specific compared with other populations

The consequences of such significant dosing inaccuracies involved long-term injury, including developmental problems, toxic effects requiring

active intervention, as well as death [Folli *et al.* 1987; Raju *et al.* 1989; Vincer *et al.* 1989; Frey *et al.* 2000, 2002; Ross *et al.* 2000; Kaushal *et al.*

2001; Simpson *et al.* 2004; Suresh *et al.* 2004]. Errors in prescribing were attributed to lack of physician experience, high-intensity physician workloads as well as the lack of neonate-specific drug protocols or policies on the ward [Gray and Goldmann, 2004; Jain *et al.* 2009]. This is an important issue within the NICU, as the majority of literature highlights that due to the lack of evidence-based information, physicians do not have a reliable source of information to refer to, leading to the prescribing of off-label and unlicensed medicines and subsequent erroneous prescribing decisions [Kaushal *et al.* 2001; Suresh *et al.* 2004; Kunac and Reith, 2005; Van Den Anker, 2005; Campino *et al.* 2009; Stavroudis *et al.* 2010; Antonucci and Porcella, 2012; Dabliz and Levine, 2012; Sorrentino and Alegiani, 2012]. Other common prescribing errors reported only within the neonatal population included: incorrect use of units (i.e. grams instead of milligrams) and wrong administration route [Kaushal *et al.* 2001; Cordero *et al.* 2004; Gray and Goldmann, 2004; Simpson *et al.* 2004; Van Den Anker, 2005; Pallas *et al.* 2008; Campino *et al.* 2009; Jain *et al.* 2009; Antonucci and Porcella, 2012; Dabliz and Levine, 2012].

Transcription-based medication errors (range 12–18.4% of total errors) were related to mistakes in the transfer of patient information to patient medication charts [Kaushal *et al.* 2001; Carroll *et al.* 2003; Suresh *et al.* 2004; Stavroudis *et al.* 2010; Sorrentino and Alegiani, 2012]. Two types of transcribing errors were identified: omissions and commissions (recording incorrect patient information), comprising 18.6% and 18.2% of errors, respectively [Carroll *et al.* 2003]. Specifically in the NICU, these types of errors included: the use of the incorrect units, omission or incorrect recording of patient characteristics (i.e. weights, allergies), and omission of recording administered dose [Kaushal *et al.* 2001; Carroll *et al.* 2003; Suresh *et al.* 2004; Stavroudis *et al.* 2010; Sorrentino and Alegiani, 2012]. Carroll and colleagues identified that these types of documentation errors were more likely to occur in those neonatal patients with higher numbers of medicines, vascular lines and longer hospitalizations [Carroll *et al.* 2003].

Dispensing errors comprised 11.9–25% of total errors and were most frequently associated with mistakes in labelling and dilution of formulations [Gray and Goldmann, 2004; Suresh *et al.* 2004; Van Den Anker, 2005; Jain *et al.* 2009; Stavroudis *et al.* 2010; Antonucci and Porcella, 2012;

Sorrentino and Alegiani, 2012]. Seven articles identified errors in this phase, which also included: late dispensing, providing the correct drug in the wrong packaging and incorrect calculations or doses [Gray and Goldmann, 2004; Suresh *et al.* 2004; Van Den Anker, 2005; Stavroudis *et al.* 2010; Sorrentino and Alegiani, 2012]. Van den Anker, in particular, emphasized the importance of timely dispensing of medicines, and associated a delayed dispensing time of more than two hours with an increased risk of medication errors occurring [Van Den Anker, 2005].

Seven studies reported on administration errors in neonates and the prevalence had a range of 31–63% of total error reports [Raju *et al.* 1989; Vincer *et al.* 1989; Chappell and Newman, 2004; Suresh *et al.* 2004; Ligi *et al.* 2008; Stavroudis *et al.* 2010; Sorrentino and Alegiani, 2012]. Almost two thirds (60.3%) of administration errors were caused by nurses, with the most common errors associated with incorrect administration time [Raju *et al.* 1989; Kaushal *et al.* 2001; Gray and Goldmann, 2004; Suresh *et al.* 2004; Kunac and Reith, 2005; Ligi *et al.* 2008; Stavroudis *et al.* 2010; Antonucci and Porcella, 2012; Sorrentino and Alegiani, 2012]. One USA-based observational study also reported that parents of NICU patients contributed to the incidence of medication errors by administering unauthorized medicines and incorrectly preparing nutrients for feeding [Suresh *et al.* 2004]. Other neonatal-specific administration errors included: incorrect preparation or dilution of medication and administering an extra dose of medication [Raju *et al.* 1989; Kaushal *et al.* 2001; Gray and Goldmann, 2004; Suresh *et al.* 2004; Kunac and Reith, 2005; Ligi *et al.* 2008; Stavroudis *et al.* 2010; Antonucci and Porcella, 2012; Sorrentino and Alegiani, 2012]. These errors were most commonly associated with the following risk factors: length of stay, low birth-weights and early gestational ages [Ligi *et al.* 2008]. A significant issue for the NICU related to the level of product manipulation required to improve the compatibility of medicines to the unique characteristics of neonatal patients. This was emphasized by Chappell and Newman who stated that 31% of intravenous medicines were prescribed for neonatal patients at doses less than one tenth of a vial, resulting in a significantly high susceptibility for the incidence of tenfold or 100-fold dosing errors upon administration [Chappell and Newman, 2004; Ligi *et al.* 2008; Jain *et al.* 2009]. Similarly, Ligi and colleagues reported that 47% of administration errors in the NICU

were tenfold dosing errors [Ligi *et al.* 2008]. Medicines most commonly associated with dosing inaccuracies were identified as intravenous (IV) formulations of: frusemide, benzylpenicillin, diamorphine, gentamicin and insulin [Chappell and Newman, 2004]. The resulting harm was reported as ranging from minor harm, requiring increased monitoring and specific treatment, to serious harm and death [Suresh *et al.* 2004].

Several studies also emphasized the incidence of patient misidentification errors during the administration phase [Vincer *et al.* 1989; Gray and Goldmann, 2004; Suresh *et al.* 2004; Van Den Anker, 2005; Stavroudis *et al.* 2010; Antonucci and Porcella, 2012; Dabliz and Levine, 2012; Sorrentino and Alegiani, 2012]. Dabliz and Levine estimated that 25% of medication errors within the NICU were attributed to administering medication to the wrong patient [Dabliz and Levine, 2012]. The most common causes of misidentification were similar-sounding or identical names and surnames, difficulties in distinguishing multiple-birth babies (i.e. twins and triplets) and inability to communicate with patients [Gray *et al.* 2006]. Furthermore, it was reported that identification bands on wrists and ankles were often removed in order to place IV lines or to take blood samples, and were forgotten to be replaced leading to increased risk for misidentification [Antonucci and Porcella, 2012; Dabliz and Levine, 2012].

Errors pertaining to the monitoring phase were uncommon, comprising only 1.4% of all errors [Suresh *et al.* 2004]. These types of errors often involved the incorrect interpretation of laboratory results, omission of therapeutic drug monitoring and missing the symptoms of adverse events [Kaushal *et al.* 2001; Suresh *et al.* 2004].

Paediatric

Among the 17 articles reviewed, prescription errors were the most commonly reported type of medication error [Folli *et al.* 1987; Aneja *et al.* 1992; Wilson *et al.* 1998; Kozer *et al.* 2002; Fortescue *et al.* 2003; Taylor *et al.* 2005; Condren *et al.* 2010; Al-Jeraisy *et al.* 2011]. Accounting for 10–74% of total error reports, these types of errors were most commonly identified via retrospective and prospective reviews of patient charts and medication incident reports [Folli *et al.* 1987; Aneja *et al.* 1992; Wilson *et al.* 1998; Frey *et al.* 2000, 2002; Ross *et al.* 2000; Kozer *et al.* 2002;

Fortescue *et al.* 2003; Taylor *et al.* 2005; Otero *et al.* 2008; Condren *et al.* 2010; Wong *et al.* 2009; Ghaleb *et al.* 2010; Al-Jeraisy *et al.* 2011; Belela *et al.* 2011; Ozkan *et al.* 2011; Manias *et al.* 2014]. Overall, dosing errors were the most common type reported, making up 82.6% of prescribing errors [Folli *et al.* 1987; Aneja *et al.* 1992; Wilson *et al.* 1998; Kozer *et al.* 2002; Fortescue *et al.* 2003; Wong *et al.* 2009; Al-Jeraisy *et al.* 2011]. Significant overdoses by as much as ten-times over the normal dosage range were identified, with Ross and colleagues attributing a third of these errors to dose miscalculations by clinicians [Ross *et al.* 2000; Fortescue *et al.* 2003]. The consequences of these errors were reported as involving elevated serum levels of medicines, leading to moderate-level and life-threatening toxicities [Wilson *et al.* 1998; Kozer *et al.* 2002]. These symptoms subsequently led to increased patient monitoring, length of stay, hospital costs and in-hospital deaths [Kozer *et al.* 2002]. Paediatric prescribing errors were more frequent in seriously ill patients, and were most likely to be caused by trainee doctors [Kozer *et al.* 2002; Al-Jeraisy *et al.* 2011]. Condren and colleagues identified that prescribing errors, including dosing mistakes and incomplete medication orders, were present in 9.7% of new prescriptions in a paediatric acute-care clinic [Condren *et al.* 2010].

Transcription errors were not commonly reported within paediatric studies, with only three articles acknowledging their incidence [Frey *et al.* 2000, 2002; Fortescue *et al.* 2003]. These types of errors made up 5.8% of all medication errors, and included: punctuation mistakes (i.e. writing ‘3’ instead of ‘0.3’), omission of medication, wrong unit of measurement (i.e. g instead of mg) and incorrect doses [Frey *et al.* 2000, 2002; Fortescue *et al.* 2003].

Errors within the dispensing phase were not considered to be significant sources of error, accounting for only 2.7–7.0% of errors in paediatric patients. Four studies identified that labelling mistakes were the most common sources of error as well as the dispensing of incorrect quantities of medication and supplying incorrect medications [Wilson *et al.* 1998; Ross *et al.* 2000; Frey *et al.* 2002; Belela *et al.* 2011].

Medication errors occurred frequently within the administration phase, comprising 12.8–73% of total reported errors [Frey *et al.* 2002; Ghaleb *et al.* 2010; Belela *et al.* 2011; Ozkan *et al.* 2011].

The administration of incorrect doses was the most commonly reported error, and specifically related to tenfold overdoses [Wilson *et al.* 1998; Frey *et al.* 2000, 2002; Ross *et al.* 2000; Kozar *et al.* 2002; Fortescue *et al.* 2003; Otero *et al.* 2008; Wong *et al.* 2009; Ghaleb *et al.* 2010; Belela *et al.* 2011; Ozkan *et al.* 2011]. A Canadian retrospective cohort study reported that children were at a greater risk of being administered tenfold overdoses than adults because the volume of a dose that was ten-times the normal range for paediatric patients would still look like a relatively small volume of stock solution [Kozar *et al.* 2002]. Wong and colleagues stated that an overdose of potent medications in children (e.g. sedatives), may cause respiratory depression and have a critical effect on neurological outcomes [Wong *et al.* 2009]. Medicines most commonly associated with tenfold dosing errors included: digoxin, morphine, gentamicin and indomethacin [Kozar *et al.* 2002]. Two articles also identified errors in incorrectly administering pharmacotherapy to the wrong patient, who had no therapeutic need for the medication [Wong *et al.* 2009; Manias *et al.* 2014]. Contributing factors to the incidence of administration errors were identified as including human error, equipment dysfunction and communication failures [Frey *et al.* 2000].

None of the studies based in paediatric wards identified monitoring errors as a part of the medication error profile.

Adult

Among the 11 articles reviewed, the most common study designs were prospective observational studies [Bates *et al.* 1995; Calabrese *et al.* 2001; Barker *et al.* 2002; Van Den Bemt *et al.* 2002; Winterstein *et al.* 2004; Lisby *et al.* 2005; Kopp *et al.* 2006; Bohomol *et al.* 2009; Jennane *et al.* 2011; Zeraatchi *et al.* 2013; Saghafi and Zargarzadeh, 2014]. Bates and colleagues estimated that 6.5 of 100 adult admissions experienced a medication error and that at least 28% were preventable [Bates *et al.* 1995]. Most errors occurred within the prescribing phase, making up 56–72.5% of total reported medication errors [Bates *et al.* 1995; Winterstein *et al.* 2004]. Examples of these errors included: the prescribing of 100 vials of tramadol instead of 100mg and ranitidine erroneously prescribed via nasogastric tube instead of intravenously [Bohomol *et al.* 2009]. Overall, incorrect dosing was the most commonly reported prescribing error [Bates *et al.*

1995; Winterstein *et al.* 2004; Lisby *et al.* 2005; Kopp *et al.* 2006; Bohomol *et al.* 2009; Jennane *et al.* 2011; Zeraatchi *et al.* 2013; Saghafi and Zargarzadeh, 2014]. Bohomol and colleagues, Winterstein and colleagues and Kopp and colleagues emphasized that prescribing errors were mostly caused by physicians' lack of detailed pharmacology knowledge and failure to comprehensively consider patient information [Winterstein *et al.* 2004; Kopp *et al.* 2006; Bohomol *et al.* 2009]. Furthermore, a quantitative study that analysed patient prescriptions and incident reports in a Brazilian ICU highlighted that patients with polymedication prescriptions admitted to the stressful and fast-paced environment of the ICU were more prone to experiencing prescribing errors [Bohomol *et al.* 2009]. The consequences of medication error were reported as including uncontrolled pain and infection due to underdosing, renal failure and elevated serum levels, resulting in increased monitoring and additional treatment [Winterstein *et al.* 2004; Bohomol *et al.* 2009].

Transcription errors were well documented within the adult population, particularly within the Danish and Moroccan studies, and the percentage of reported errors had a range of 6–60% of all total medication errors [Bates *et al.* 1995; Winterstein *et al.* 2004; Lisby *et al.* 2005; Kopp *et al.* 2006; Bohomol *et al.* 2009; Jennane *et al.* 2011; Zeraatchi *et al.* 2013; Saghafi and Zargarzadeh, 2014]. The most commonly reported error related to errors in transferring information into patient charts [Bates *et al.* 1995; Lisby *et al.* 2005; Kopp *et al.* 2006; Jennane *et al.* 2011; Zeraatchi *et al.* 2013]. Nursing staff were responsible for 40% of transcription errors, due to erroneous interpretations of prescriptions by nurses [Lisby *et al.* 2005; Zeraatchi *et al.* 2013].

Overall, the dispensing phase was not a major source of medication errors, comprising 2.2–34% of all errors [Lisby *et al.* 2005; Kopp *et al.* 2006; Saghafi and Zargarzadeh, 2014]. The most frequently reported error was associated with mistakes in the preparation of doses for patients [Bates *et al.* 1995; Lisby *et al.* 2005; Kopp *et al.* 2006].

The administration phase comprised 14.6–41% of all medication errors in adult wards [Calabrese *et al.* 2001; Barker *et al.* 2002; Van Den Bemt *et al.* 2002; Kopp *et al.* 2006; Zeraatchi *et al.* 2013; Saghafi and Zargarzadeh, 2014]. A US-based, prospective, observational study set in

adult medical and surgical ICUs identified that one medication error occurred for every five doses of medication administered [Kopp *et al.* 2006]. The most frequently reported errors involved the administration of medications at the wrong time and omission of administering doses, accounting for 43% and 30% of administration errors, respectively [Calabrese *et al.* 2001; Barker *et al.* 2002; Van Den Bemt *et al.* 2002; Lisby *et al.* 2005; Kopp *et al.* 2006; Bohomol *et al.* 2009]. The consequences of late or omitted administration of critical medicines such as anti-infectives and anti-coagulants were reported as leading to suboptimal management of infection, blood pressure and blood clotting, threatening the success of treatment [Bohomol *et al.* 2009]. The overdosing of medications was also emphasized as a serious error within adult critical care wards, with Winterstein and colleagues documenting nephrotoxic effects as a result of overdosing antibiotics [Winterstein *et al.* 2004]. Nurses were responsible for generating 40% of administration errors and contributing factors included staff performance deficits, memory lapses and faulty dose-checking processes [Winterstein *et al.* 2004; Kopp *et al.* 2006; Zeraatchi *et al.* 2013].

Errors in the monitoring phase were uncommon, identified in only two studies [Bohomol *et al.* 2009; Jennane *et al.* 2011]. These errors were described as the failure to assess patient responses to prescribed medications, including laboratory results and clinical markers within therapeutic drug-monitoring practices [Bohomol *et al.* 2009; Jennane *et al.* 2011].

Elderly

Among the 10 articles reviewed, medication errors were most commonly identified through prospective observational studies, and retrospective review of charts and incident reports [Briggs, 2006; Picone *et al.* 2008; Ben-Yehuda *et al.* 2011; Henri *et al.* 2012; Maher and Hajjar, 2012; Zakharov *et al.* 2012; Buck *et al.* 2013; García-Aparicio and Herrero-Herrero, 2013; Ernawati *et al.* 2014; Metsälä and Vaherkoski, 2014]. The median age of elderly participants ranged from 68 to 84 years of age [Picone *et al.* 2008; Ben-Yehuda *et al.* 2011; Buck *et al.* 2013; García-Aparicio and Herrero-Herrero, 2013; Ernawati *et al.* 2014]. Medication errors in the prescribing phase had a range of 1.6–46% of the total reported errors [Picone *et al.* 2008; Ben-Yehuda *et al.* 2011; Ernawati *et al.* 2014]. Incorrect dosing was most commonly

reported, comprising 49% of prescribing errors [Briggs, 2006; Picone *et al.* 2008; Ben-Yehuda *et al.* 2011; Maher and Hajjar, 2012; Buck *et al.* 2013; García-Aparicio and Herrero-Herrero, 2013; Ernawati *et al.* 2014; Metsälä and Vaherkoski, 2014]. A unique error commonly reported in the elderly population involved the prescribing of inappropriate medications [Briggs, 2006; Picone *et al.* 2008; Ben-Yehuda *et al.* 2011; Maher and Hajjar, 2012; Buck *et al.* 2013; García-Aparicio and Herrero-Herrero, 2013; Ernawati *et al.* 2014; Metsälä and Vaherkoski, 2014]. Described as the ordering of medications that are unnecessary, ineffective or unsafe, these errors most often occur in elderly patients who present with multiple pathologies, requiring multiple medications [Maher and Hajjar, 2012]. The consequences of these errors were reported as involving serious adverse drug events and prolonging hospitalizations [Maher and Hajjar, 2012]. Furthermore, Ernawati and colleagues found that physicians often only partially complete patient medication histories, leading to the prescribing of duplicate therapies because of the inadequate gathering of patient information [Ernawati *et al.* 2014].

Transcribing errors were well documented in elderly patients, and were discussed by four articles. The percentage range of total errors was 15–54%, and the most commonly reported error related to discrepancies in doses between prescriptions and patient charts [Picone *et al.* 2008; Ben-Yehuda *et al.* 2011; Maher and Hajjar, 2012; Ernawati *et al.* 2014]. A cohort study set in a 37-bed ward in Israel and involving 137 patients detected that the number of medications being taken was related to a higher risk of transcribing errors [Ben-Yehuda *et al.* 2011]. Specifically, patients prescribed nine or more medications and whose hospitalizations were 13 days or longer were at a higher risk [Ben-Yehuda *et al.* 2011]. The medications most commonly associated with this type of error included: simvastatin, valsartan and paracetamol [Ernawati *et al.* 2014]. Ernawati and colleagues commented that there was a need for accuracy during the transcribing process in order to prevent subsequent administration errors [Ernawati *et al.* 2014].

Dispensing errors were not considered main sources of error within the elderly population. These errors made up 2–14% of medication errors, with the most common error involving the incorrect labelling and instructions on dispensed medications [Briggs, 2006; Picone *et al.* 2008;

Maher and Hajjar, 2012. Maher and Hajjar stated that erroneous instructions most often related to labelling medications 'PRN' (as required) instead of 'once a day' [Maher and Hajjar, 2012; Zakharov *et al.* 2012].

Overall, it was found that administration errors are the most common type in hospitalized elderly patients, comprising 54.2–59% of all medication errors [Briggs, 2006; Picone *et al.* 2008; Henri *et al.* 2012; Ernawati *et al.* 2014; Metsälä and Vaherkoski, 2014]. Older patients, suffering from more than one chronic disease and taking five or more medications were identified as being at a greater risk of experiencing these errors [Picone *et al.* 2008; Henri *et al.* 2012]. The most frequently reported administration errors were omission of administering prescribed medication and incorrect administration times [Briggs, 2006; Picone *et al.* 2008; Henri *et al.* 2012; Maher and Hajjar, 2012; Ernawati *et al.* 2014; Metsälä and Vaherkoski, 2014]. Zakharov and colleagues identified that nurses were responsible for 43% of administration errors [Zakharov *et al.* 2012]. The clinical impact of these errors on patients ranged from minor discomfort to significant morbidity and mortality [Picone *et al.* 2008; Henri *et al.* 2012]. Ernawati and colleagues conducted a 20-week prospective study in a 13-bed geriatric ward and reported that 10.3% of medication errors had a potentially significant impact, with a further 2.4% being potentially serious [Ernawati *et al.* 2014]. The drug classes most commonly involved in administration errors included: aminoglycosides, anticoagulants, opioid analgesics and antihypertensives [Ernawati *et al.* 2014].

Monitoring errors were only identified in one article by Maher and Hajjar, who reported that they were associated with inappropriate clinical monitoring practices [Maher and Hajjar, 2012]. Specifically, this error was identified as the failure to identify risk of medication toxicity in patients, which could have been prevented, reversed or reduced by earlier dose adjustments [Maher and Hajjar, 2012].

Comparison of medication commonly associated with error

This review sought to compare the medications most commonly associated with error to the A-PINCH High Risk Medicines List. Compiled by the Australian Clinical Excellence Commission,

the list groups together medications that are universally considered to be high risk and are represented by the acronym A-PINCH [Clinical Excellence Commission, 2015]. Each of the medication categories listed on A-PINCH were commonly implicated in errors across each of the reviewed patient populations, including antibiotics (particularly gentamicin), heparin, insulin, potassium chloride, fentanyl, morphine, antiarrhythmics and parenteral nutrition (Table 3).

Overall, the neonatal population reported issues with a broader range of agents, as well as medications that were not seen in other populations, including prostaglandins, ketamine, immunizations, milk and vecuronium. As neonatal patients are administered the majority of medications through the IV or intramuscular (IM) routes, any errors that occur will have a systemic effect. As such, tenfold errors have been reported more commonly with IV formulations and agents including: insulin, midazolam, frusemide, benzylpenicillin, gentamicin and ranitidine [Chappell and Newman, 2004]. The literature did not report any errors with antineoplastic medications or with corticosteroids, which were all commonly reported in the other three patient groups. Furthermore, it is reported that NICU patients have a high exposure to medications, and are prescribed an average of 8.6 drugs per infant, increasing the risk for experiencing adverse drug events [Daniell and Darlow, 1989; Sorrentino and Alegiani, 2012].

The elderly and adult populations experience errors within the same groups of medications, particularly cardiovascular and GI medications. Errors are associated with a wider selection of agents in these drug classes in comparison with paediatric and neonatal patients. The elderly population experienced errors with allopurinol and statins that are medications most often used in older patients.

Paediatric patients experienced the most errors with antibiotics. Due to the large age range of the population (extending from 1 to 18 years of age), some medications were also the same as those reported in the adult population including adrenaline, anticonvulsants and steroids.

Discussion

The main focus of this article was to highlight the types of medication-related safety issues that occur in hospitalized patients, in particular those

Table 3. Types of medications most commonly associated with error.

Patient group	Neonates	Paediatrics	Adult	Elderly
Commonly identified medications		Adrenaline [Wong <i>et al.</i> 2009]	Adrenaline [Calabrese <i>et al.</i> 2001];	
A-PINCH-listed medication	Antibiotics: Amikacin [Pallas <i>et al.</i> 2008], Benzylpenicillin [Simpson <i>et al.</i> 2004]; Gentamicin [Simpson <i>et al.</i> 2004; Pallas <i>et al.</i> 2008; Stavroudis <i>et al.</i> 2010]; Vancomycin [Simpson <i>et al.</i> 2004; Pallas <i>et al.</i> 2008];	Antibiotics [Folli <i>et al.</i> 1987; Ross <i>et al.</i> 2000; Kozer <i>et al.</i> 2002]; Benzylpenicillin [Wong <i>et al.</i> 2009]; Gentamicin [Wong <i>et al.</i> 2009]	Antibiotics [Winterstein <i>et al.</i> 2004; Jennane <i>et al.</i> 2011; Zeraatchi <i>et al.</i> 2013]; Mupirocin [Winterstein <i>et al.</i> 2004]	Antibiotics: Vancomycin, Gentamicin, Cefazolin, Metronidazole [Picone <i>et al.</i> 2008; Ernowati <i>et al.</i> 2014]
A-PINCH-listed medication	Anticoagulants [Frey <i>et al.</i> 2002]		Anticoagulants: Warfarin [Calabrese <i>et al.</i> 2001; Winterstein <i>et al.</i> 2004; Jennane <i>et al.</i> 2011; Zeraatchi <i>et al.</i> 2013]	Anticoagulants: Warfarin [Picone <i>et al.</i> 2008; Ernowati <i>et al.</i> 2014]
A-PINCH-listed medication	Sedatives: Midazolam [Frey <i>et al.</i> 2002]	Anticonvulsants [Wong <i>et al.</i> 2009] Sedatives [Wong <i>et al.</i> 2009]	Anticonvulsants [Winterstein <i>et al.</i> 2004] Benzodiazepines: Lorazepam, Midazolam [Calabrese <i>et al.</i> 2001; Jennane <i>et al.</i> 2011]	Benzodiazepines: Alprazolam, Lorazepam [Picone <i>et al.</i> 2008; García-Aparicio and Herrero-Herrero, 2013]
A-PINCH-listed medication	Anti-arrhythmics [Frey <i>et al.</i> 2002]	Chemotherapy drugs [Folli <i>et al.</i> 1987; Ross <i>et al.</i> 2000] Captopril [Wong <i>et al.</i> 2009]; Digoxin [Folli <i>et al.</i> 1987; Wong <i>et al.</i> 2009]; Propranolol [Folli <i>et al.</i> 1987]	Antineoplastic agents [Winterstein <i>et al.</i> 2004] Calcium-channel blockers [Winterstein <i>et al.</i> 2004]; Digoxin [Calabrese <i>et al.</i> 2001]; Sympathomimetic agents: Dobutamine [Calabrese <i>et al.</i> 2001; Winterstein <i>et al.</i> 2004]	Antineoplastic agents: Azathioprine, Doxorubicin [Picone <i>et al.</i> 2008] Cardiovascular: Digoxin, Metoprolol, Amiodarone [Picone <i>et al.</i> 2008; García-Aparicio and Herrero-Herrero, 2013; Ernowati <i>et al.</i> 2014]; Isosorbide mononitrate [García-Aparicio and Herrero-Herrero, 2013]
A-PINCH-listed medication	Frusemide [Frey <i>et al.</i> 2002; Stavroudis <i>et al.</i> 2010] Ranitidine [Pallas <i>et al.</i> 2008]		Docusate sodium [Winterstein <i>et al.</i> 2004]; H ₂ antagonists [Winterstein <i>et al.</i> 2004]; Metoclopramide [Winterstein <i>et al.</i> 2004];	Frusemide [Picone <i>et al.</i> 2008; García-Aparicio and Herrero-Herrero, 2013] GI Drugs: Docusate, Omeprazole [Picone <i>et al.</i> 2008; García-Aparicio and Herrero-Herrero, 2013; Ernowati <i>et al.</i> 2014]
A-PINCH-listed medication	Heparin [Frey <i>et al.</i> 2002]	Heparin [Wong <i>et al.</i> 2009]	Thrombolytics: Heparin [Calabrese <i>et al.</i> 2001; Winterstein <i>et al.</i> 2004; Zeraatchi <i>et al.</i> 2013]	Heparin [Picone <i>et al.</i> 2008]
A-PINCH-listed medication	Insulin [Simpson <i>et al.</i> 2004]	Insulin [Ross <i>et al.</i> 2000; Wong <i>et al.</i> 2009]	Insulin [Calabrese <i>et al.</i> 2001; Zeraatchi <i>et al.</i> 2013]	Insulin [Picone <i>et al.</i> 2008]

Table 3. (Continued)

Patient group	Neonates	Paediatrics	Adult	Elderly
A-PINCH-listed medication	Fentanyl [Frey <i>et al.</i> 2002; Pallas <i>et al.</i> 2008; Stavroudis <i>et al.</i> 2010] Morphine [Simpson <i>et al.</i> 2004; Stavroudis <i>et al.</i> 2010] NSAIDs: Indomethacin [Frey <i>et al.</i> 2002; Stavroudis <i>et al.</i> 2010] Parenteral nutrition: amino acids/fat emulsions [Frey <i>et al.</i> 2002; Stavroudis <i>et al.</i> 2010]	Morphine [Ross <i>et al.</i> 2000; Wong <i>et al.</i> 2009] Parenteral nutrition [Folli <i>et al.</i> 1987; Ross <i>et al.</i> 2000]	Fentanyl [Calabrese <i>et al.</i> 2001] Morphine [Calabrese <i>et al.</i> 2001; Jennane <i>et al.</i> 2011] NSAIDs [Winterstein <i>et al.</i> 2004] Electrolyte, caloric and water balance agents [Winterstein <i>et al.</i> 2004]	Morphine [Picone <i>et al.</i> 2008; Ernawati <i>et al.</i> 2014] Nutrients [Picone <i>et al.</i> 2008; Ernawati <i>et al.</i> 2014]
A-PINCH listed medication	Potassium chloride [Stavroudis <i>et al.</i> 2010] Glucose [Frey <i>et al.</i> 2002]	IV fluids [Folli <i>et al.</i> 1987; Ross <i>et al.</i> 2000; Wong <i>et al.</i> 2009] Steroids [Folli <i>et al.</i> 1987; Ross <i>et al.</i> 2000]	Potassium chloride [Calabrese <i>et al.</i> 2001] Corticosteroids [Jennane <i>et al.</i> 2011]	Electrolyte and water balance –potassium chloride, IV fluids [Picone <i>et al.</i> 2008] Hydrocortisone [Picone <i>et al.</i> 2008]
Individually identified medications	Alteplase [Frey <i>et al.</i> 2002] Aminophylline [Simpson <i>et al.</i> 2004] Dopamine [Frey <i>et al.</i> 2002; Pallas <i>et al.</i> 2008] Erythropoietin [Pallas <i>et al.</i> 2008; Stavroudis <i>et al.</i> 2010] Immunizations [Simpson <i>et al.</i> 2004] Ketamine [Frey <i>et al.</i> 2002] Milk [Frey <i>et al.</i> 2002] Pancuronium [Frey <i>et al.</i> 2002] Prostaglandin [Frey <i>et al.</i> 2002] Tazocin [Simpson <i>et al.</i> 2004] Vasodilators [Frey <i>et al.</i> 2002] Vecuronium [Stavroudis <i>et al.</i> 2010]	Antihistamines [Kozar <i>et al.</i> 2002] Atropine [Folli <i>et al.</i> 1987] Paracetamol [Kozar <i>et al.</i> 2002; Wong <i>et al.</i> 2009] Phenytoin [Wong <i>et al.</i> 2009] Theophylline [Folli <i>et al.</i> 1987]	Nystatin [Winterstein <i>et al.</i> 2004]	Allopurinol [García-Aparicio and Herrero-Herrero, 2013] Gabapentin [García-Aparicio and Herrero-Herrero, 2013] Iron supplements [García-Aparicio and Herrero-Herrero, 2013] Statins [García-Aparicio and Herrero-Herrero, 2013]

A-PINCH, compiled by the Australian Clinical Excellence Commission, the list groups together medications that are universally considered to be high-risk and are represented by the acronym A-PINCH: A, anti-infectives; P, potassium and other electrolytes; I, insulin; N, narcotics and other sedatives; C, chemotherapeutic agents; H, heparin and other anticoagulants [Clinical Excellence Commission, 2015].

GI, gastrointestinal; IV, intravenous; NSAIDs, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.

within the neonatal population. To our knowledge, this is the only review to compare the types of medication errors that occur within four distinct population groups.

This review demonstrates that each phase of the medication-use process is susceptible to medication error, across the patient age spectrum (Figure 2). Most literature has identified errors within the





Prescribing: 14–74% Errors in dosing, including tenfold overdoses Transcription: 12–18.4% Incorrect medication, incorrect units Dispensing: 11.9–25% Errors in labelling, errors in dilutions during preparation of medication Administration: 31–63% Incorrect administration time, patient misidentification Monitoring: 1.4% Incorrect interpretation of laboratory results	Prescribing: 10–74% Errors in dosing Transcription: 5.8% Errors in punctuation, omission of prescribed medication Dispensing: 2.7–7% Errors in labelling Administration: 12.8–73% Incorrect doses, including tenfold overdoses	Prescribing: 56–72.5% Errors in dosing Transcription: 6–60% Incorrect medication name Dispensing: 2.2–34% Incorrect doses Administration: 14.6–41% Incorrect administration time, omission of administering medication Monitoring Failure to assess patient response to medication with laboratory tests	Prescribing: 1.6–46% Errors in dosing, prescribing of inappropriate medications, duplication of therapy Transcription: 15–54% Incorrect transfer of doses administered Dispensing: 2–14% Incorrect labelling Administration: 54.2–59% Omitting administration of medication, incorrect administration time Monitoring Inappropriate clinical monitoring
NEONATES	PAEDIATRICS	ADULT	ELDERLY
			

Figure 2. Most commonly identified medication errors across the age spectrum.

prescribing and administration phases. In particular, errors relating to incorrect dosing, incorrect medications and incorrect administration time were the most frequently reported. The ranges of reported error varied greatly, which can be attributed to differences in research methods, although most studies used the chart-review method, which is more effective in detecting prescribing errors. In addition, medication error was not explicitly defined in some studies, particularly within the neonatal and paediatric studies. This may be attributed to the fact that a large proportion of medications used in young patients are prescribed off-label. As such, it is difficult to define prescribing dosing errors if doses have been adapted and extrapolated from adult guidelines.

The findings suggest that there are medication errors seen in certain types of patient population more than in others. In particular, within the neonatal population errors pertaining to patient misidentification, delayed dispensing, parental involvement in administering unauthorized medications, erroneous product dilutions, as well as tenfold and 100-fold overdoses, were emphasized. Overdoses to this extent were not reported

in the adult or elderly populations. The main contributing factors were identified as physician inexperience, as well as the lack of neonate-specific dosing protocols and evidence-based information on the efficacy, safety, dosing, pharmacokinetics and clinical use of medication in neonates, leading to the common use of off-label or unlicensed medications [Antonucci and Porcella, 2012]. The findings highlight that the prescribing and administration phases were most commonly associated with medication errors. Overall, the use of medication in neonates is more complex than in other patient groups [Raju *et al.* 2011; National Association of Neonatal Nurses, 2014]. NICU healthcare professionals are faced with limited amounts of evidence-based information supporting the use of pharmacotherapeutic interventions in neonates, as well as a narrow range of neonatal-specific formulations [Chedoe *et al.* 2007]. Furthermore, neonates are a nonhomogenous group, with differences in maturation of medication-sensitive organs (kidneys, GI tract and liver), weights and gestational ages, requiring individualized weight-based dosing [Gray and Goldmann, 2004; Jain *et al.* 2009]. (Table 4). The physiological vulnerabilities limit

Table 4. Factors that increase therapeutic risk in neonatal patients.

1. Babies have a higher proportion of body water and less muscle and fat.
 2. Water-soluble drugs need a higher dose as they are readily distributed into the system.
 3. Lipid-soluble drugs need a smaller dose as they do not distribute and their half-lives increase and accumulate in the body, leading to toxicity [Berlin, 2013].
1. Neonates' developmental immaturity influences the function of the kidneys, liver and enzyme systems.
 2. Metabolic and clearance mechanisms aren't functioning to their highest capacity.
 3. Requires the monitoring of drug serum levels to determine whether doses are therapeutic or whether they are not being cleared properly and need a reduction in dose and frequency to prevent toxic concentrations [Berlin, 2013].
1. Lack of neonate-specific or appropriate medications available.
 2. There are several barriers to clinical trialling in neonatal and paediatric patients, including ethical issues, parental consent, sampling problems, relatively small study population, etc. Therefore medication usage is often off-label or unlicensed in nature.
 3. Off-label: the use of a medication in a patient group at a dose, frequency or through a specific administration route that is not approved and is considered to be beyond the terms of the product licence [Conroy, 2011].
 4. Unlicensed: the prescribing of medications for indications that are not in the approved product information.
 5. Furthermore, there is limited information on the safety, efficacy and clinical use of medication in neonates [Conroy, 2011].
1. There are interindividual differences in weight within the neonatal population, ranging from the smallest babies weighing <500 g to the largest at >5000 g [Tayman *et al.* 2011].
 2. The variation in weight ranges requires the calculation of individualized doses that are often very small to ensure therapeutic and safe treatment that poses an element of risk with regard to the potential for human error in correctly dosing medications.
 3. Calculations need to be frequently repeated as patients are constantly growing and gaining weight, therefore doses need adjusting to account for this [Chappell and Newman, 2004; Ahmed, 2008].
1. Need for significant manipulation of drugs and extemporaneous compounding to ensure medications are compatible for use in neonates.
 2. Includes the performance of dilutions and the preparation of liquid formulations as medications are administered by central line, intravenously, orally or enterally [Ahmed, 2008].
1. Potential for drug interactions when medications are administered through a single-lumen central line.
 2. Medications are in close proximity to each other in the tube and can react to each other [Ahmed, 2008].
1. The skin of the neonate is very thin.
 2. The topical administration of medications through dosage forms such as creams, lotions or ointments can lead to systemic absorption of a drug.
 3. Similarly, the eyes can absorb and systemically transfer medications from eye drops, potentially leading to adverse effects [Ahmed, 2008].
1. Most neonatal patients will require nutritional support; however, the administration of a small amount of fluid can have a considerable impact on babies.
 2. Extra consideration is required when prescribing enteral nutrition; increasing enteral fluid volumes too quickly can lead to necrotising enterocolitis [Ahmed, 2008].
1. Neonates within the NICU have an increased exposure to medications.
 2. It is reported that the number of medications administered in the NICU is inversely proportional to the patients gestational age or their weight [Carvalho *et al.* 2012].
1. Infants are unable to communicate with health professionals or family members about any concerns with their therapy or advise of any adverse events they are experiencing [Ahmed, 2008].

neonates' 'buffering zone' capacity to compensate for error, leaving a narrow margin of safety [Raju *et al.* 2011; Antonucci and Porcella, 2012]. The resulting impact of these errors is greater than in older children or adult populations.

Neonates are at the very start of the developmental age spectrum, and even minor errors can lead to short-term as well as long-term consequences affecting development [Raju *et al.* 2011]. As the risk of sustaining a medication error has been

reported as being eight-times higher within the neonatal group than within any other population, targeted interventions to improve safety and decrease error rates should be prioritized to the neonatal population as the patients of highest risk [Kaushal *et al.* 2001; Stavroudis *et al.* 2010].

Unique errors were also reported within the elderly population. Characteristics of vulnerability including polypharmacy, multimorbidities and decreased organ function were reported as important factors that increased risk of experiencing medication errors [García-Aparicio and Herrero-Herrero, 2013]. Errors pertaining to the prescribing of unnecessary medications and duplication of pharmacotherapies were almost exclusively reported within this group. Most commonly attributed to physicians, these errors were attributed to poor gathering of patient information and the failure to complete full patient medication histories upon admission. The impact of these errors most commonly related to medication toxicities as well as significant adverse effects. However, the consequences of harm are not as great compared with patients at the start of their lifespan.

An important finding of this study is that the medications most commonly associated with error in each of the patient groups were those listed within the A-PINCH. As such, medication safety interventions should focus upon these medications. When considering the neonatal population, the range of medications that are prescribed for use in the NICU are relatively limited in comparison with those used in older paediatric and adult populations [Gray and Goldmann, 2004]. However, despite this, the findings show that errors occur with a broader range of agents in the neonatal population than other hospitalized patients, indicating that the use of medications in the NICU is greater.

As medication errors can occur at any stage of the medication-use process and can be caused by a range of healthcare professionals, the strategies to improve safety must be multifactorial. Several studies recommend the use of computerized physician-order entry and the use of a single-medication therapy sheet to improve both prescribing and transcription errors [Kaushal *et al.* 2001; Kozler *et al.* 2002; Fortescue *et al.* 2003; Gray and Goldmann, 2004; Winterstein *et al.* 2004; Kunac and Reith, 2005; Lisby *et al.* 2005; Van Den Anker, 2005; Briggs, 2006; Campino *et al.* 2009;

Condren *et al.* 2010; Wong *et al.* 2009; Ghaleb *et al.* 2010; Antonucci and Porcella, 2012; Dabliz and Levine, 2012; Maher and Hajjar, 2012; Sorrentino and Alegiani, 2012; Ernowati *et al.* 2014]. In addition, the formulation of population-specific quality control tools and health indicators has also been regarded as important in improving medication error rates [Bohomol *et al.* 2009]. However, the most commonly cited strategy identified in half of the literature across all patient groups, is the involvement of a clinical pharmacist on wards [Folli *et al.* 1987; Bates *et al.* 1995; Wilson *et al.* 1998; Kaushal *et al.* 2001; Kozler *et al.* 2002; Van Den Bemt *et al.* 2002; Fortescue *et al.* 2003; Gray and Goldmann, 2004; Simpson *et al.* 2004; Kunac and Reith, 2005; Lisby *et al.* 2005; Briggs, 2006; Bohomol *et al.* 2009; Campino *et al.* 2009; Condren *et al.* 2010; Wong *et al.* 2009; Ghaleb *et al.* 2010; Ben-Yehuda *et al.* 2011; Jennane *et al.* 2011; Antonucci and Porcella, 2012; Dabliz and Levine, 2012; Henri *et al.* 2012; Maher and Hajjar, 2012; Sorrentino and Alegiani, 2012; García-Aparicio and Herrero-Herrero, 2013; Ernowati *et al.* 2014; Metsälä and Vaherkoski, 2014]. Fortescue and colleagues report that 81% of medication errors in paediatric patients could be avoided with pharmacist monitoring [Fortescue *et al.* 2003]. High-intensity wards using high-risk medications, such as ICUs, may benefit the most from a ward-based clinical pharmacist [Fortescue *et al.* 2003]. In the NICU in particular, the integration of the pharmacist into the treating team leads to medication-use improvement [Campino *et al.* 2009]. Simpson and colleagues reported that following a daily cot-side review of patients, pharmacists significantly reduced monthly medication errors from 24.1 per 1000 neonatal activity days to 5.1 ($p < 0.001$) [Simpson *et al.* 2004]. Clinical pharmacy activities, such as patient medication chart reviews, medication reconciliation, as well as participating in medical ward rounds with physicians and nurses are effective in reducing errors [Fortescue *et al.* 2003; Simpson *et al.* 2004; Kunac and Reith, 2005; Briggs, 2006; Ben-Yehuda *et al.* 2011; Jennane *et al.* 2011; Dabliz and Levine, 2012; Sorrentino and Alegiani, 2012; Ernowati *et al.* 2014]. Furthermore, the provision of medication-specific education to the treating team can improve the quality of the healthcare delivered and promotes interdisciplinary collaboration [Kunac and Reith, 2005; Dabliz and Levine, 2012]. In adult wards, Leape and colleagues identified that pharmacist participation on ward rounds reduced the rate of preventable adverse drug events due to

prescribing errors by 66% [Leape *et al.* 1999]. These activities allow for real-time feedback and education, leading to better prescribing decisions and greater interception of errors before they are realized [Fortescue *et al.* 2003; Simpson *et al.* 2004]. Clinical pharmacists possess specialized knowledge that is essential in preventing harm to patients as well as in minimizing hospital-associated costs from extended hospital stays and additional therapy [Folli *et al.* 1987].

Limitations

Differences in study methods, definitions of medication errors and definitions in error categories make direct comparison between studies difficult, particularly within the prescribing and administration phases. For example, in some studies, errors that were identified prior to the administration of medication were not included in the results and as such only actual administration errors were reported. However, most studies utilized a chart review or medication-order review method of medication-error detection, which are ultimately better at detecting prescription-based errors.

Some studies mixed population groups, for example, paediatric studies often included data from a NICU, however, did not always disclose the proportions of errors occurring in each ward. Therefore, results between the two subgroups may be hard to differentiate. There were less data available on elderly patients as most studies concentrated on medication errors that occurred outside of the hospital setting, that is, in nursing homes.

It is also possible that a large number of studies could have been excluded because they were not available in the English language.

Conclusion

Each stage of the medication-use process is prone to medication error across the age spectrum. The administration and prescribing phases were the most commonly identified phases of error and most often related to incorrect dosing, wrong prescribing or administering of drugs and wrong time of administration. While neonatal patients experience the same types of medication errors as other hospitalized patients, the medication-use process within this group is more complex and has greater consequences in the instance of error. Maintaining safe pharmacotherapeutic practices should be a

major priority for all health professionals however clinical pharmacists have the potential to significantly reduce medication errors. Further research is required to develop targeted strategies relevant to specific patient groups that integrate key pharmacy services into wards, as well as quality-control tools and health indicators to prevent medication errors. Additional investigation is needed to determine the need of pharmacy services on the NICU and their impact on patient safety and care.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest statement

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

- National Association of Neonatal Nurses (2014) *Medication Safety in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit*. Chicago, IL: National Association of Neonatal Nurses.
- Ahmed, M. (2008) Working as a neonatal pharmacist. Available at: <http://www.pharmaceutical-journal.com/career/career-feature/working-as-a-neonatal-pharmacist/10004380.article>
- Al-Jeraisy, M., Alanazi, M. and Abolfotouh, M. (2011) Medication prescribing errors in a pediatric inpatient tertiary care setting in Saudi Arabia. *BMC Res Notes* 4: 294.
- Aneja, S., Bajaj, G. and Mehandiratta, S. (1992) Errors in medication in a pediatric ward. *Indian Pediatrics* 29: 727–730.
- Antonucci, R. and Porcella, A. (2012) Current pharmacotherapy in the newborn. *Res Rep Neonatol* 2: 85–94.
- Barker, K., Flynn, E., Pepper, G., Bates, D. and Mikeal, R. (2002) Medication errors observed in 36 health care facilities. *Arch Internal Med* 162: 1897–1903.
- Bates, D., Cullen, D., Laird, N., Petersen, L., Small, S., Servi, D. *et al.* (1995) Incidence of adverse drug events and potential adverse drug events: implications for prevention. *JAMA* 274: 29–34.
- Belela, A., Peterlini, M. and Pedreira, M. (2011) Medication Errors reported in a pediatric intensive care unit for oncologic patients. *Cancer Nursing* 34: 393–400.

- Ben-Yehuda, A., Bitton, Y., Sharon, P., Rotfeld, E., Armon, T. and Muszkat, M. (2011) Risk factors for prescribing and transcribing medication errors among elderly patients during acute hospitalization. *Drugs Aging* 28: 491–500.
- Berlin, C., Jr (2013) Pharmacokinetics in children. Available at: <https://www.msmanuals.com/en-gb/professional/pediatrics/principles-of-drug-treatment-in-children/pharmacokinetics-in-children>
- Bohomol, E., Ramos, L. and D’Innocenzo, M. (2009) Medication errors in an intensive care unit. *J Adv Nursing* 65: 1259–1267.
- Briggs, J. (2006) Strategies to reduce medication errors with reference to older adults. *Nursing Standard* 20: 53–57.
- Buck, T., Gronkjaer, L., Duckert, M., Rosholm, J. and Aagaard, L. (2013) Medication reconciliation and prescribing reviews by pharmacy technicians in a geriatric ward. *J Res Pharm Practice* 2: 145.
- Calabrese, A., Erstad, B., Brandl, K., Barletta, J., Kane, S. and Sherman, D. (2001) Medication administration errors in adult patients in the ICU. *Intensive Care Med* 27: 1592–1598.
- Campino, A., Lopez-Herrera, M., Lopez-De-Heredia, I. and Valls-I-Soler, A. (2009) Educational strategy to reduce medication errors in a neonatal intensive care unit. *Acta Paediatrica* 98: 782–785.
- Carroll, A., Tarczy-Hornoch, P., O’Reilly, E. and Christakis, D. (2003) Resident documentation discrepancies in a neonatal intensive care unit. *Pediatrics* 111: 976–980.
- Carvalho, C., Ribeiro, M., Bonilha, M., Fernandes, M., Jr., Procianny, R. and Silveira, R. (2012) Use of off-label and unlicensed drugs in the neonatal intensive care unit and its association with severity scores. *J Pediatría* 88: 465–470.
- Chappell, K. and Newman, C. (2004) Potential tenfold drug overdoses on a neonatal unit. *Arch Dis Childhood Fetal Neonatal Ed* 89: F483–F484.
- Chedoe, I., Molendijk, H., Dittrich, S., Jansman, F., Harting, J., Brouwers, J. *et al.* (2007) Incidence and nature of medication errors in neonatal intensive care with strategies to improve safety. *Drug Safety* 30: 503–513.
- Clinical Excellence Commission (2015) High Risk Medicines. Available at: <http://www.cec.health.nsw.gov.au/programs/high-risk-medicines#P2>.
- Condren, M., Studebaker, I. and John, B. (2010) Prescribing errors in a pediatric clinic. *Clin Pediatrics* 49: 49–53.
- Conroy, S. (2011) Association between licence status and medication errors. *Arch Dis Childhood* 96: 305–306.
- Cordero, L., Kuehn, L., Kumar, R. and Mekhjian, H. (2004) Impact of computerized physician order entry on clinical practice in a newborn intensive care unit. *J Perinatol* 24: 88–93.
- Dabliz, R. and Levine, S. (2012) Medication safety in neonates. *Am J Perinatol* 29: 49–56.
- Daniell, A. and Darlow, B. (1989) Audit of drug usage in a regional neonatal intensive care unit. *J Paediatrics Child Health* 25: 207–210.
- Ernawati, D., Lee, Y. and Hughes, J. (2014) Nature and frequency of medication errors in a geriatric ward: an Indonesian experience. *Ther Clin Risk Management* 10: 413.
- European Medicines Agency (2015) Medication Errors. Available at: http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/special_topics/general/general_content_000570.jsp
- Folli, H., Poole, R., Benitz, W. and Russo, J. (1987) Medication Error prevention by clinical pharmacists in two children's hospitals. *Pediatrics* 79: 718–722.
- Fortescue, E., Kaushal, R., Landrigan, C., McKenna, K., Clapp, M., Federico, F. *et al.* (2003) Prioritizing strategies for preventing medication errors and adverse drug events in pediatric inpatients. *Pediatrics* 111: 722–729.
- Frey, B., Buettiker, V., Hug, M., Waldvogel, K., Gessler, P., Ghelfi, D. *et al.* (2002) Does critical incident reporting contribute to medication error prevention? *Eur J Pediatrics* 161: 594–599.
- Frey, B., Kehrer, B., Losa, M., Braun, H., Berweger, L., Micallef, J. *et al.* (2000) Comprehensive critical incident monitoring in a neonatal-pediatric intensive care unit: experience with the system approach. *Intensive Care Med* 26: 69–74.
- García-Aparicio, J. and Herrero-Herrero, J. (2013) Medication errors detected in elderly patients admitted to an internal medicine service. *Int J Clin Practice* 67: 282–289.
- Ghaleb, M., Barber, N., Franklin, B. and Wong, I. (2010) The Incidence and nature of prescribing and medication administration errors in paediatric inpatients. *Arch Dis Childhood* 95: 113–118.
- Gray, J. and Goldmann, D. (2004) Medication errors in the neonatal intensive care unit: special patients, unique issues. *Arch Dis Childhood Fetal Neonatal Ed* 89: F472–F473.
- Gray, J., Suresh, G., Ursprung, R., Edwards, W., Nickerson, J., Shiono, P. *et al.* (2006) Patient misidentification in the neonatal intensive care unit: quantification of risk. *Pediatrics* 117: e43–e47.
- Henri, L., Dalleur, O., Vaillant, F. and Cornette, P. (2012) Medication administration errors in a geriatric ward in a Belgian hospital. *Eur Geriatric Med* 3: S123.

- Jain, S., Basu, S. and Parmar, V. (2009) Medication errors in neonates admitted in intensive care unit and emergency department. *Indian J Med Sci* 63: 145.
- Jennane, N., Madani, N., Oulderrkhis, R., Abidi, K., Khoudri, I., Belayachi, J. *et al.* (2011) Incidence of medication errors in a moroccan medical intensive care unit. *Int Arch Med* 4: 32.
- Kaushal, R., Bates, D., Landrigan, C., McKenna, K., Clapp, M., Federico, F. *et al.* (2001) Medication errors and adverse drug events in pediatric inpatients. *JAMA* 285: 2114–2120.
- Kopp, B., Erstad, B., Allen, M., Theodorou, A. and Priestley, G. (2006) Medication errors and adverse drug events in an intensive care unit: direct observation approach for detection. *Crit Care Med* 34: 415–425.
- Kozer, E., Scolnik, D., Macpherson, A., Keays, T., Shi, K., Luk, T. *et al.* (2002) Variables associated with medication errors in pediatric emergency medicine. *Pediatrics* 110: 737–742.
- Kunac, D. and Reith, D. (2005) Identification of priorities for medication safety in neonatal intensive care. *Drug Safety* 28: 251–261.
- Leape, L., Cullen, D., Clapp, M., Burdick, E., Demonaco, H., Erickson, J. *et al.* (1999) Pharmacist participation on physician rounds and adverse drug events in the intensive care unit. *JAMA* 282: 267–270.
- Ligi, I., Arnaud, F., Jouve, E., Tardieu, S., Sambuc, R. and Simeoni, U. (2008) Iatrogenic events in admitted neonates: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet* 371: 404–410.
- Lisby, M., Nielsen, L. and Mainz, J. (2005) Errors in the medication process: frequency, type, and potential clinical consequences. *Int J Qual Health Care* 17: 15–22.
- Maher, R. and Hajjar, E. (2012) Medication errors in the ambulatory elderly. *Aging Health* 8: 127–135.
- Manias, E., Kinney, S., Cranswick, N. and Williams, A. (2014) Medication errors in hospitalised children. *J Paediatrics Child Health* 50: 71–77.
- Metsälä, E. and Vaherkoski, U. (2014) Medication errors in elderly acute care—a systematic review. *Scand J Caring Sci* 28: 12–28.
- Otero, P., Leyton, A., Mariani, G. and Cernadas, J. (2008) Medication errors in pediatric inpatients: prevalence and results of a prevention program. *Pediatrics* 122: e737–e743.
- Ozkan, S., Kocaman, G., Ozturk, C. and Seren, S. (2011) Frequency of pediatric medication administration errors and contributing factors. *J Nursing Care Qual* 26: 136–143.
- Pallas, C., De-La-Cruz, J., Del-Moral, T., Lora, D. and Malalana, A. (2008) Improving the quality of medical prescriptions in neonatal units. *Neonatology* 93: 251–256.
- Picone, D., Titler, M., Dochterman, J., Shever, L., Kim, T., Abramowitz, P. *et al.* (2008) Predictors of medication errors among elderly hospital patients. *Am J Med Qual* 23: 115–127.
- Raju, T., Thornton, J., Kecskes, S., Perry, M. and Feldman, S. (1989) Medication errors in neonatal and paediatric intensive-care units. *Lancet* 334: 374–376.
- Raju, T., Suresh, G. and Higgins, R. (2011) Patient Safety in the context of neonatal intensive care: research and educational opportunities. *Pediatric Res* 70: 109–115.
- Ross, L., Wallace, J. and Paton, J. (2000) Medication errors in a paediatric teaching hospital in the uk: five years operational experience. *Arch Dis Childhood* 83: 492–497.
- Roughead, L., Semple, S. and Rosenfeld, E. (2013) *Literature review: medication safety in Australia*. Sydney: Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care.
- Saghafi, F. and Zargarzadeh, A. (2014) Medication error detection in two major teaching hospitals: what are the types of errors? *J Res Med Sci* 19: 617.
- Simpson, J., Lynch, R., Grant, J. and Alroomi, L. (2004) Reducing medication errors in the neonatal intensive care unit. *Arch Dis Childhood Fetal Neonatal Ed* 89: F480–F482.
- Sorrentino, E. and Alegiani, C. (2012) Medication errors in the neonate. *J Maternal-Fetal Neonatal Med* 25: 83–85.
- Stavroudis, T., Shore, A., Morlock, L., Hicks, R., Bundy, D. and Miller, M. (2010) NICU medication errors: identifying a risk profile for medication errors in the neonatal intensive care unit. *J Perinatol* 30: 459–468.
- Suresh, G., Horbar, J., Plsek, P., Gray, J., Edwards, W., Shiono, P. *et al.* (2004) Voluntary anonymous reporting of medical errors for neonatal intensive care. *Pediatrics* 113: 1609–1618.
- Taylor, B., Selbst, S. and Shah, A. (2005) Prescription writing errors in the pediatric emergency department. *Pediatric Emergency Care* 21: 822–827.
- Tayman, C., Rayyan, M. and Allegaert, K. (2011) Neonatal pharmacology: extensive interindividual variability despite limited size. *J Pediatric Pharmacol Ther* 16: 170–184.
- Van Den Anker, J. (2005) Managing drugs safely. *Semin Fetal Neonatal Med* 10: 73–81.

- Van Den Bemt, P., Fijn, R., Van Der Voort, P., Gossen, A., Egberts, T. and Brouwers, J. (2002) Frequency and determinants of drug administration errors in the intensive care unit. *Crit Care Med* 30: 846–850.
- Vincer, M., Murray, J., Yuill, A., Allen, A., Evans, J. and Stinson, D. (1989) Drug errors and incidents in a neonatal intensive care unit: a quality assurance activity. *Am J Dis Children* 143: 737–740.
- Wiedenmayer, K., Summers, R., Mackie, C., Gous, A. and Everard, M. (2006) *Developing pharmacy practice: a focus on patient care*. The Hague: World Health Organisation and International Pharmaceutical Federation.
- Wilson, D., McArtney, R., Newcombe, R., Mcartney, R., Gracie, J., Kirk, C. *et al.* (1998) Medication Errors in Paediatric Practice: Insights from a Continuous Quality Improvement Approach. *Eur J Pediatrics* 157: 769–774.
- Winterstein, A., Johns, T., Rosenberg, E., Hatton, R., Gonzalez-Rothi, R. and Kanjanarat, P. (2004) Nature and causes of clinically significant medication errors in a tertiary care hospital. *Am J Health-Syst Pharm* 61: 1908–1916.
- Wong, I., Wong, L. and Cranswick, N. (2009) Minimising medication errors in children. *Arch Dis Childhood* 94: 161–164.
- Zakharov, S., Tomas, N. and Pelclova, D. (2012) Medication errors—an enduring problem for children and elderly patients. *Upsala J Med Sci* 117: 309–317.
- Zeraatchi, A., Talebian, M., Nejati, A. and Dashti-Khavidaki, S. (2013) Frequency and types of the medication errors in an academic emergency department in iran: the emergent need for clinical pharmacy services in emergency departments. *J Res Pharm Practice* 2: 118.

Visit SAGE journals online
<http://taw.sagepub.com>

 SAGE journals