

Associations of muscular power and endurance to change of direction speed under two loading conditions among female police officers

Bone, Jacob; Tramel, Whitney; Kukic, Filip; Čvorović, Alexander ; Janković, Dunja ; Koropanovski, Nenad; Lockie, Robert G.; Orr, Rob Marc; Dawes, Jay

Licence:
CC BY-NC-ND

[Link to output in Bond University research repository.](#)

Recommended citation(APA):

Bone, J., Tramel, W., Kukic, F., Čvorović, A., Janković, D., Koropanovski, N., Lockie, R. G., Orr, R. M., & Dawes, J. (2019). *Associations of muscular power and endurance to change of direction speed under two loading conditions among female police officers*. Abstract from Rocky Mountain American College of Sports Medicine Annual Meeting 2019, Denver, United States.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

For more information, or if you believe that this document breaches copyright, please contact the Bond University research repository coordinator.

Associations of muscular power and endurance to change of direction speed under two loading conditions among female police officers

¹Jacob Bone, ¹Whitney Tramel, ²Filip Kukić, ¹Alexander Čvorović, ³Dunja Janković, ³Nenad Koropanovski, ⁴Robert G. Lockie, ^{5,6} Robin M. Orr, ¹J. Jay Dawes

¹University of Colorado – Colorado Springs, Colorado Springs, CO, USA

²Police Sports Education Centre, Abu Dhabi Police, United Arab Emirates

³University of Criminal Investigation and Police Studies, Belgrade, Serbia

⁴California State University- Fullerton, Fullerton, California, USA

⁵Bond Institute of Health & Sport, Bond University, Gold Coast, QLD, Australia

⁶Tactical Research Unit, Bond University, Gold Coast, QLD, Australia

Purpose: Change of direction speed (CODS) is essential for law enforcement officers during many occupational tasks, such as when pursuing a suspect. Typically, these tasks are performed while in uniform and while wearing duty equipment weighing up to an average of 10kg . It could be expected that greater strength and power would contribute to more effective task performance in loaded conditions. The purpose of this study was to determine the relationship between lower-body power and upper body muscular strength-endurance to a CODS task both with and without occupational load.

Methods: Forty-four (n=44, age: 27.09 ±7.25 yrs., Ht: 166.48 ± 6.88 cm; Wt.: 69.92 ± 13.69 kg) female police officers performed a standing long jump (SLJ), modified push-ups (MPU), and time to complete the Illinois Agility Test both unloaded (IAT) and while wearing a 10 kg vest (IATL). Completion times for the IAT and IATL were recorded to the nearest .01 sec.

Results: The results revealed significant moderate relationships between SLJ and IAT ($r = -0.586, p < .001$), as well as between SLJ and IATL ($r = -0.567, p < .001$). A low, but significant, relationship was also found between MPU and IATL ($r = -.377, p = .012$). No significant relationship was observed between IAT and MPU (Table 1).

Conclusions: Female officers with greater lower-body power and upper-body strength endurance may have an advantage when performing the IATL; however, the MPU does not appear to significantly impact in the IAT. These results suggest that as occupational load increases, especially around the trunk, greater upper-body muscular strength and endurance become more important when performing essential job tasks that require short distance sprinting and direction changes (i.e., foot pursuits, seeking cover).

Table I: Relationships between Lower-body power, muscular strength/endurance, and

		Age	Ht	Wt	SLJ	PU	IAT	IATL
Age	Pearson's r	—						
	p-value	—						
HT	Pearson's r	-0.509†	—					
	p-value	< .001	—					
WT	Pearson's r	0.37†	0.292	—				
	p-value	0.013	0.054	—				
SLJ	Pearson's r	-0.475†	0.649†	0.134	—			
	p-value	< .001	< .001	0.384	—			
MPU	Pearson's r	.464**	-.244	.195	-.024	—		
	p-value	.002	.110	.204	.878	—		
IAT	Pearson's r	0.452	-0.355*	0.171	-0.586†	-.153	—	
	p-value	0.002**	0.018	0.268	< .001	.321	—	
IATL	Pearson's r	0.26	-0.28	0.023	-0.567†	-.377**	0.9†	—
	p-value	0.088	0.065	0.88	< .001	.012	< .001	—

$p \leq 0.05 = *$; $p \leq 0.01 = **$; $p \leq .001 = †$