

Depressive symptoms and adverse outcomes from hospitalization in older adults

Haines, Terry P.; Williams, Cylie M.; Hill, Anne Marie; McPhail, Steven M.; Hill, D.; Brauer, Sandy G.; Hoffmann, Tammy C.; Etherton-Beer, Chris

Published in:
Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics

DOI:
[10.1016/j.archger.2014.09.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2014.09.009)

Published: 01/01/2015

Document Version:
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication in Bond University research repository.](#)

Recommended citation(APA):
Haines, T. P., Williams, C. M., Hill, A. M., McPhail, S. M., Hill, D., Brauer, S. G., ... Etherton-Beer, C. (2015). Depressive symptoms and adverse outcomes from hospitalization in older adults: Secondary outcomes of a trial of falls prevention education. *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics*, 60(1), 96-102.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2014.09.009>

General rights


Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

For more information, or if you believe that this document breaches copyright, please contact the Bond University research repository coordinator.

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Depressive Symptoms and Adverse Outcomes from Hospitalization in Older Adults: Secondary Outcomes of a Trial of Falls Prevention Education

Author: Terry P. Haines Cylie. M. Williams Anne-Marie Hill Steven. M. McPhail D. Hill Sandy. G. Brauer Tammy. C. Hoffmann Chris Etherton-Beer



PII: S0167-4943(14)00160-5
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.archger.2014.09.009>
Reference: AGG 3062

To appear in: *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics*

Received date: 13-11-2013
Revised date: 25-6-2014
Accepted date: 16-9-2014

Please cite this article as: Haines, T.P., Williams, Ce.M., Hill, A.-M., McPhail, Sn.M., Hill, D., Brauer, Sy.G., Hoffmann, Ty.C., Etherton-Beer, C., Depressive Symptoms and Adverse Outcomes from Hospitalization in Older Adults: Secondary Outcomes of a Trial of Falls Prevention Education., *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics* (2014), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2014.09.009>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1 Depressive Symptoms and Adverse Outcomes from Hospitalization in Older Adults:
2 Secondary Outcomes of a Trial of Falls Prevention Education.
3
4
5
6

7 Associate Professor Terry P Haines

8
9 Monash University /Monash Health

10
11 Allied Health Research Unit, Monash Health

12
13 Kingston Hospital

14
15 Cnr Warrigal and Kingston Rds,

16
17 Cheltenham, VIC 3192, Australia

18
19 Email: terrence.haines@monash.edu

20
21 Phone: +61 (0) 3 92651822
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 Dr Cylie. M. Williams

33
34 Allied Health Research Unit, Monash Health

35
36 Kingston Hospital

37
38 Cnr Warrigal and Kingston Rds,

39
40 Cheltenham, VIC 3192, Australia

41
42 Email: Cylie.williams@southernhealth.org.au

43
44 Phone: +61 (0) 3 92651816
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

52 Dr Anne-Marie Hill

53
54 The University of Notre Dame Australia

55
56 19 Mouat Street (PO Box 1225)

57
58 Fremantle, Western Australia
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Australia 6959

Telephone +61 8 9433 0239

Facsimile: +61 8 9433 0210

Email: anne-marie.hill@nd.edu.au

Dr Steven. M McPhail

Queensland University of Technology/ Queensland Health

steven_mcphail@health.qld.gov.au

Ph: +617 3406 2266

Professor Keith. D. Hill

Curtin University, School of Physiotherapy

Ph: +618 9266 3618

Keith.Hill@curtin.edu.au

Professor Sandy. G. Brauer

University of Queensland

Head of Physiotherapy | School of Health & Rehab Sciences

The University of Queensland | Brisbane Qld 4072

Ph +61 7 3365 2317 | Fax +61 7 3365 2775

Email s.brauer@uq.edu.au

1 Dr Tammy. C. Hoffmann

2 Centre for Research in Evidence-Based Practice, Bond University

3
4 Faculty of Health Sciences and Medicine

5
6 Bond University QLD 4229

7
8 +61 7 559 55522

9
10
11 Email: thoffmann@bond.edu.au

12
13
14
15
16
17 Professor Chris Etherton-Beer

18
19 University of Western Australia

20
21
22 School of Medicine and Pharmacology Royal Perth Hospital Unit

23
24
25 35 Stirling Highway, CRAWLEY WA 6009

26
27
28 Australia

29
30 Ph: 61 8 9224 2750

31
32 Email: christopher.etherton-beer@uwa.edu.au

33
34
35
36
37 Corresponding author:

38
39 Terry Haines,

40 Allied Health Research Unit, Southern Health

41
42 Kingston Hospital

43
44 Cnr Warrigal and Kingston Rds,

45 Cheltenham, VIC 3192, Australia

46 Email: terrence.haines@monash.edu

47
48
49 Phone: +61 (0) 3 92651822

50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

57 Key points:

- 58 1. Depressive symptoms are common amongst older hospital inpatients and

generally persist through to discharge.

2. Depressive symptoms are associated with longer length of stay and falls while in hospital
3. Depressive symptoms worsen if exposed to low intensity (video, workbook) falls prevention education material.

Word count: 3236

Accepted Manuscript

**Depressive Symptoms and Adverse Outcomes from Hospitalization in Older
Adults: Secondary Outcomes of a Trial of Falls Prevention Education.**

Abstract

Depression is common in older people and symptoms of depression are known to substantially increase during hospitalisation. There is little known about predictors of depressive symptoms in older adults or impact of common interventions during hospitalisation. This study aimed to describe the magnitude of depressive symptoms, shift of depressive symptoms and the impact of the symptoms of depression amongst older hospital patients during hospital admission and identify whether exposure to falls prevention education affected symptoms of depression.

Participants (n=1206) were older adults admitted within two Australian hospitals, the majority of participants completed the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) short form at admission (n=1168). Participants' mean age was 74.7 (\pm SD 11) years and 47%(n=551) were male.

At admission 53% (619 out of 1168) of participants had symptoms of clinical depression and symptoms remained at the same level at discharge for 55% (543 out of 987). Those exposed to the low intensity education program had higher GDS scores at discharge than those in the control group (low intensity vs control n=652, adjusted regression coefficient (95% CI) = 0.24 (0.02, 0.45), p=0.03). The only factor other than admission level of depression that affected depressive symptoms change was if the participant was worried about falling.

1 Older patients frequently present with symptoms of clinical depression on admission to
2 hospital. Future research should consider these factors, whether these are modifiable
3
4
5 and whether treatment may influence outcomes.
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Accepted Manuscript

Introduction:

1
2
3
4
5 Depression is common amongst older people,(Bryant, Jackson, & Ames, 2009; Djernes,
6
7 2006; Solhaug, Romuld, Romild, & Stordal, 2012) and it is well understood that the risk
8
9 of experiencing symptoms of depression is increased by cognitive impairment, illness
10
11 and limited access to friends and family support networks.(Djernes, 2006; Sheikh &
12
13 Yesavage, 1986) It is not surprising then that symptoms of depression have been
14
15 shown to increase in frequency and severity during times of hospitalisation.(Givens,
16
17 Jones, & Inouye, 2009) Recent research has found that over two thirds of older people
18
19 undertaking inpatient rehabilitation had some form of clinically significant psychiatric
20
21 comorbidity upon admission and over one third displayed significant symptoms of
22
23 depression at discharge.(Gluyas, Lum, Chong, Borg, & Haines, 2011)
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31
32 Clinicians currently have little guidance to identify patients at high risk of developing
33
34 depressive symptoms or having worsening symptoms during their hospital admission.
35
36 This is important as early identification may permit delivery of services to prevent
37
38 development or worsening of depressive symptoms. Another issue of importance to
39
40 clinicians is whether health interventions designed to address other geriatric issues
41
42 (such as falls prevention) may have the unintended consequence of worsening
43
44 depressive symptoms. Previous research has demonstrated that older adults rarely
45
46 discuss falls with their health professionals (Lee, McDermott, Hoffmann, O'Connell, &
47
48 Haines, In Press) and that some find this discussion very confronting, as they commonly
49
50 associate falls with being old and frail. (Yardley et al., 2008) It was these challenges and
51
52 observations within the clinical environment that lead to the formulation of the study
53
54 questions.
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2 This study is a secondary analysis of data collected as a part of a randomised trial
3
4 investigating the efficacy of two patient education strategies for the prevention of falls
5
6 amongst older hospital inpatients. The analyses presented in this paper aim to i)
7
8 describe the magnitude symptoms of depression amongst older hospital patients, ii)
9
10 describe how symptoms of depression change during hospital admission, iii) identify
11
12 factors associated with worsening symptoms of clinical depression, iv) describe the
13
14 impact that symptoms of depression had on length of stay in hospital, falls, and the
15
16 probability of being discharged to a residential aged care facility, and v) determine
17
18 whether provision of either of two patient education programs for the prevention of
19
20 falls had an impact on change in depressive symptoms during hospital admission.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 **Method:**

30 *Study Design*

31
32 This study was a 3-group randomized trial. There were two active intervention groups:
33
34 a “*low intensity*” education program that involved providing a video and written
35
36 materials discussing the prevention of falls, and a “*high intensity*” education program
37
38 where the same video and written materials were provided along with face-to-face
39
40 education with a health professional. The control group received usual care
41
42 alone.(Haines et al., 2011; A.M. Hill, Hill, et al., 2009; A.M. Hill, McPhail, et al., 2009) The
43
44 secondary analysis, utilized observational data collected from participants within the
45
46 RCT to address the research questions.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 *Participants and setting*

55
56 Participants were older adults admitted to acute (orthopedic and acute-respiratory
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 medicine) and subacute (geriatric assessment and rehabilitation) wards of the Princess
2 Alexandra Hospital, Brisbane, Australia, and the acute (medical-surgical) and subacute
3 (restorative–stroke rehabilitation) wards of Swan Districts Hospital, Perth, Australia.
4
5 Patients were enrolled until they were discharged, transferred to a non-study ward, or
6
7 died. Patients were excluded if they had previously participated in the patient
8
9 education program or were too ill to provide informed consent as determined by
10
11 hospital staff. A sample size of 1206 was recruited out of a possible 5162 admissions
12
13 across all study wards.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 *Measurements:*

23
24 Symptoms of depression were measured using the Geriatric Depression Scale – Short
25
26 Form (GDS).(Sheikh & Yesavage, 1986) This was administered at admission and
27
28 discharge by a research assistant blinded to group allocation. The GDS scale was
29
30 specifically developed for detecting depression in older adults and consists of 15 items.
31
32 A cut-off score of six or above out of the maximum 15 indicates the presence of
33
34 clinically significant depressive symptoms.(Burns, Lawlor, & Craig, 2002)
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 The number of falls each participant sustained while in hospital was collected using
43
44 three data sources as previous research has demonstrated single sources
45
46 underestimate the true number of falls (A. M. Hill et al., 2010) Falls meeting the World
47
48 Health Organization definition (“A fall is an event which results in a person coming to
49
50 rest inadvertently on the ground or floor or other lower level”(World Health
51
52 Organisation, 2010)) were included. The sources of falls data were i) computerized
53
54 incident reports, ii) hand searching of individual patient medical notes, and iii) weekly
55
56 patient interviews (or at patient discharge if earlier than one week). Falls captured
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

through any of these three approaches were included.

Health-related quality of life (HRQoL) was measured using the EQ-5D-3L.(Rabin & de Charro, 2001) This scale measures health-related quality of life over five domains: mobility, self care, usual activities, pain and/or discomfort, and anxiety/depression. The participant rates each domain in one of three levels of responses: no problem, some problems / moderate, or severe problems / unable. The Dolan scoring approach(Dolan, 1997) was applied creating a multi-attribute utility score with a possible range from -0.59 to 1.0; where 0 and 1 represent death and perfect health respectively.

Cognitive impairment was measured using the Short-Portable Mental Status Questionnaire. (Pfeiffer, 1975) Higher scores on this the Short-Portable Mental Status Questionnaire indicate better cognitive function.

Self-perceived risk of falling and anxiety_about falling were measured with a patient survey as part of baseline and discharge measures. This survey used single items “I think I will fall while in hospital” and “I am worried about falling while in hospital” respectively. A five point Likert scale (strongly agree through to strongly disagree) was used for each item.

Other demographic and outcome variables were collected from patient medical records. These included: Age, gender, highest level of education, history of number of falls in the past 6 months, whether English was spoken as a first language, length of stay in hospital (measured in days), admission diagnosis, admission and discharge destination.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 *Procedure:*
8

9
10 Pre-study training was provided to hospital staff on study wards regarding
11 classification of falls and procedures for recording falls on incident reports using
12 previously developed video materials.(Haines, Cornwell, Fleming, Varghese, & Gray,
13 2008; A.M. Hill, McPhail, et al., 2009) Patients consenting to participate in the study
14 undertook a baseline assessment of all study measures. Participants were then
15 allocated to one of the two intervention groups or the control group within the falls
16 trial. Discharge measurements for health-related quality of life, depression and
17 cognitive function were undertaken (with assistance from research assistants to
18 administer the questionnaires) within 48 hours of discharge.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32
33
34 *Analysis:*
35

36
37 The baseline demographics between groups were explored with means, standard
38 deviations and frequencies. Differences between the groups were analysed using t-tests,
39 chi-squared and logistic regression. Associations between factors predictive of
40 admission depressive symptoms and change in depressive symptoms were examined
41 using path analysis(Byrne, 2010) undertaken with Amos 19(Arbuckle, 2006) software
42 package. Both unstandardized and standardized regression coefficients of associations
43 were generated. Unlike multiple regression analysis, path analysis was used to
44 explicitly depict both direct and indirect (mediation) effects between exogenous
45 (predictor) variables and endogenous (outcome) variables. The path analysis included
46 both admission GDS and change in depressive symptoms as endogenous variables.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Variables collected at baseline were used as exogenous variables (including use of
2 admission GDS as an exogenous variable to predict change in GDS as an endogenous
3 variable).
4
5
6
7
8
9

10 Univariate analyses were also used to build the model initially, with indirect /
11 mediation effects then added to the model. Modification indices were used to assist
12 with the path model development and refinement, as these identify potential
13 associations not already specified in the model. The model was refined by removing
14 any exogenous variables that had no significant direct effect on either of the
15 endogenous variables. The model fit was examined using the Chi Square, Root Mean-
16 Square Error of Approximation (values <0.05 indicate good model fit), and Comparative
17 Fit Index statistics (values >0.95 indicate good fit). Moderation analysis was then
18 undertaken to examine whether group allocation in the randomized controlled trial
19 influenced the associations identified. For this, pairwise parameter comparisons were
20 undertaken across the three groups in the trial so that critical ratios for comparisons
21 between parameters could be calculated. These critical ratios are a z-test for the
22 difference between coefficients where a value >1.96 represents a p-value (two-tailed)
23 <0.05 indicative of a statistically significant moderation. (Fairchild & MacKinnon, 2009)
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 **Results**

47 There were 1206 participants enrolled in the larger RCT. Of these participants, 1168
48 completed a baseline GDS and 987 participants completed both baseline and discharge
49 GDS. Unplanned discharges or transfers prevented discharge assessment from
50 occurring for some participants which resulted in the lower number of participants
51 completing both baseline and discharge (n=181). Table 1 displays the demographic
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 data for participants within each group. All groups were similar in all aspects except for
2 the Low vs High intensity group's admission to a rehabilitation setting ($p=0.039$).
3
4
5

6
7 Clinically significant symptoms of depression (GDS cut-off of 6 or above) were present
8 in 53% ($n=619$ of 1168) of this population at admission. When the magnitude and
9 distribution of depression symptoms was explored for the whole group at admission
10 and discharge, it was determined that the symptoms of depression worsened from
11 admission baseline to discharge for 18% ($n=177$ of 987) of this sample, but remained
12 unchanged for 55% ($n=543$ of 987). The change in depressive symptoms was
13 significantly different from zero ($p<0.001$), indicating that depressive symptoms on
14 average measured using the GDS decreased during the admission. A scatterplot of the
15 admission GDS vs discharge GDS shows change in all groups during admission (Figure 1)
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 The n , mean (sd) discharge GDS score for the control group was $n=314$, 6.4 (1.8), for
32 the low intensity group was $n=342$, 6.8 (1.9), and for the high intensity group was
33 $n=338$, 6.5 (1.8). Pairwise comparisons of discharge GDS scores adjusted for baseline
34 GDS scores demonstrated that those exposed to the low intensity education program
35 had higher GDS scores at discharge than those in the control group (low intensity vs
36 control $n=652$, adjusted regression coefficient (95% CI) = 0.24 (0.02, 0.45), $p=0.03$).
37 Other adjusted pairwise contrasts were not significant (high intensity vs control $n=648$,
38 adjusted regression coefficient (95% CI) = -0.18 (-0.39,0.03), $p=0.10$, high intensity vs
39 low intensity $n= 674$, adjusted regression coefficient (95% CI) = 0.04 (-0.17, 0.25),
40 $p=0.73$).
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58

59 Table 2 and Figure 2 present the path analysis that identifies factors predictive of a
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 higher baseline GDS score, and/or a greater change in GDS score during the admission.
2
3 The factors that predicted higher levels of depressive symptoms at baseline ($r^2=0.09$)
4
5 were, having a history of falls in the past six months, male gender, not having English as
6
7 a first language, having lower levels of education, being admitted from a residential
8
9 aged care facility, being recruited for this study from a rehabilitation ward, having a
10
11 higher self-perceived risk of falling and being worried about falling while in hospital.
12
13 Lower baseline depressive symptoms and higher levels of worry about the risk of
14
15 falling were also associated with worsening depressive symptoms over the admission
16
17 in hospital ($r^2=0.26$).
18
19
20
21
22
23

24
25 The direct effect of worry about the risk of falling in hospital on the change in GDS (the
26
27 more worried that older adult was about falling predicted worsening depressive
28
29 symptoms), and the indirect effect of worry about the risk of falling mediated through
30
31 admission depressive symptoms were opposite in direction. However these effects
32
33 appeared to cancel each other out when considering the total standardised effect of
34
35 being worried about falls at admission and change in GDS score (Table 2). The total
36
37 standardized effects indicated that baseline GDS had the greatest influence on change in
38
39 depressive symptoms during admission. Two model fit indices (RMSEA =0.019, CFI
40
41 =0.984) indicated good fit while the third indicated moderate fit ($\chi^2=0.128$).
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49
50 Lastly, the moderator variable analysis examining the effect of group allocation (within
51
52 the randomized controlled trial) in these results identified that there was a significant
53
54 difference in path coefficients generated between groups in two out of 45 pairwise
55
56 comparisons. These were the direct effect of worry about the risk of falling on change
57
58 in depressive symptoms (high intensity intervention vs. control group pairwise
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 comparison), and the direct effect of worry about the risk of falling on baseline
2 depressive symptoms (high intensity intervention vs. low intensity intervention group
3 pairwise comparison). The direct effect of worry about the risk of falling illustrated that
4 the high intensity intervention provided in the randomized trial may have changed the
5 nature of the association between the participant's worry about falling and increased
6 depressive symptoms over the admission relative to the control group. In the control
7 group, this standardized direct effect (regression coefficient -0.02) indicated a weak
8 relationship between worry about falls and increasing depression. In the intensive
9 intervention group, this standardized direct effect (regression coefficient -0.18)
10 indicated that higher levels of worry about falls were associated with increasing
11 depressive symptoms. The latter moderation effect appears to represent random
12 inherent variability in the trial data as the assessment of worry about falls and baseline
13 GDS took place prior to randomisation. These two moderation effects were the only
14 significant effects identified from 45 pairwise comparisons examined.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

37 Discussion

38 This study has identified that a high proportion (53%) of older hospital patients
39 experienced clinically significant depressive symptoms at admission and that these
40 remained at the same level at discharge for the majority, while they worsened for 18%
41 of participants. This finding is important as it points to a considerable magnitude of
42 burden of depressive symptoms amongst hospitalised older adults.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 Our study for the first time provides experimental evidence of an association between
55 involvement in a low-intensity falls prevention education program and increasing
56 depressive symptoms during hospitalisation. The low intensity intervention in this trial
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 consisted of showing a video designed to raise awareness about falls and falls
2 prevention strategies and providing a booklet with similar information without
3 additional follow-up. This low-cost education approach may therefore be harmful, as it
4 has already been demonstrated that it provides no benefit in terms of preventing
5 falls(Haines 2011). It is possible that viewing this material may have made participants
6 more concerned about falls, or thought more about the related processes of ageing and
7 frailty without providing health-professional follow-up to discuss and counsel patients
8 on these issues. However, it must again be stated that this was an opportunistic
9 secondary analysis of data arising from this trial which was not pre-planned, and
10 should therefore be viewed as a hypothesis generating finding rather than a
11 confirmatory one.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

A previous systematic review and meta-analysis on risk factors for depression in older adults within the community found that women were at a higher risk of depression than males.(Cole & Dendukuri, 2003) Our study, in contrast, found that men were at higher risk and a much higher prevalence of depressive symptoms at admission. The finding of gender differences is similar to previous research that has highlighted women as being at higher or equivalent risk of falling while in the community, but when in hospital, it is men who are at higher risk.(Haines & Hill, 2011) These findings may indicate that men have greater difficulty with adjusting to being unwell or adapting to the hospital environment.

Those who had a history of falls within the past six months and those who worried about falling were also observed to be at greater risk of experiencing depressive symptoms. It was expected that a recent fall would be a predictor for depressive

1 symptoms as past research has identified a relationship between depressive symptoms
2 and falls.(Biderman, Cwikel, Fried, & Galinsky, 2002) It was interesting that this
3
4 relationship was partially mediated by worry about falling in the future indicating that
5
6 addressing fear of falling may be a potential consideration for managing depressive
7
8 symptoms in older hospital patients. This therapeutic recommendation may be further
9
10 justified by previous findings that depressive symptoms in the older population were
11
12 not associated with an individual's physical and functional ability(Gluyas et al., 2011)
13
14 despite physical and functional ability being closely associated with falls.(Shumway-
15
16 Cook et al., 2009)
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 Lower levels of education have been previously identified within the literature as a risk
25
26 for depression in older people(Chang-Quan, Zheng-Rong, Yong-Hong, Yi-Zhou, & Qing-
27
28 Xiu, 2010) corroborating the findings of this research. Little is known about why
29
30 education levels have been identified as a predictive factor. Cognitive impairment
31
32 amongst older adults has previously been associated with lower levels of education,
33
34 however, cognitive function scores taken at baseline using the abbreviated mental test
35
36 score were not a significant factor in the path model. This result may possibly be due to
37
38 multi collinearity with the factors admission from a residential aged care facility,
39
40 previous falls and level of education. Similarly, little is known about why English as a
41
42 second language is a predictor for depressive symptoms in older adults. Often these
43
44 patients are excluded from medical trials(Frayne, Burns, Hardt, Rosen, & Moskowitz,
45
46 1996) yet appear to be an important sub-group of the population for intervention. One
47
48 previous study amongst older Korean-American immigrants found that English
49
50 language proficiency was associated with depressive symptoms,(Park & Bernstein,
51
52 2008) while a study of older Iranian-Australian immigrants found anxiety and
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 depressive symptoms were associated with proficiency in English.(Alizadeh-Khoei,
2 Mathews, & Hossain, 2011) These findings indicate that health services should be
3 particularly cognisant of the risk of depression amongst their patients with limited
4 English language skills.
5
6
7
8
9

10 An important limitation of this research was that data were not collected on whether
11 patients were treated for their depressive symptoms while in hospital. It is possible
12 that some of the associations examined in this study may have been biased by
13 treatments that were provided. This may explain why we were unable to identify any
14 factors predictive of change in depressive symptoms other than admission depressive
15 symptoms (which itself may have been caused by regression to the mean). It is
16 unknown what, if any, impact depressive symptoms had on physical function and other
17 behaviours which may moderate the relationship between depressive symptoms and
18 increased length of stay or falls.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

37 This study has highlighted the potential importance of addressing depressive
38 symptoms amongst older hospital patients, and highlighted patient groups for whom
39 this may be particularly relevant. Further research is required to determine if the
40 depressive symptoms observed during the inpatient period persist following discharge
41 and whether any further burden may be associated with this. Further research is also
42 required to investigate the effectiveness of therapeutic approaches initiated in the
43 inpatient setting, and the potential unintended consequences of providing low intensity
44 falls prevention education interventions.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58

59 **Conclusion**

60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Clinically important levels of depressive symptoms are common amongst older hospital
2 inpatients and generally persist through to discharge. These symptoms may be
3
4 exacerbated by participating in a low intensity falls prevention education program.
5
6 Some factors predictive of depressive symptoms may indicate a relationship to frailty
7
8 (e.g. history of falls, admission from a residential care facility), though other factors
9
10 indicate a more complex picture than this.
11
12
13
14
15
16

17 **Conflict of Interest:**

18
19 TPH is director of Hospital Falls Prevention Solutions Pty Ltd. This company provides
20
21 consultancy services on the subject of falls prevention in hospitals and provides
22
23 training in use of the Safe Recovery patient education program which was used in the
24
25 trial described in this manuscript.
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 **Description of Author roles and funding:**

33
34 TPH, AMH, SMM, KDH, SGB, TCH, and CEB designed the study. AMH collected the data
35
36 and TPH was responsible for data analysis. All authors critically interpreted the results;
37
38 CW and TPH drafted the manuscript and circulated repeatedly among all authors for
39
40 critical revision. All authors approved the final version.
41
42
43

44 This research was also funded by a project grant from the National Health and Medical
45
46 Research Council of Australia. TPH and TCH were supported by a Career Development
47
48 Fellowship, SMM and AMH were supported by Early Career Fellowships from the
49
50 National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58

59 **References**

- 1 Alizadeh-Khoei, M., Mathews, R. M., & Hossain, S. Z. (2011). The Role of Acculturation in
2 Health Status and Utilization of Health Services among the Iranian Elderly in
3 Metropolitan Sydney. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Gerontology*, 26(4), 397-405.
- 4 Arbuckle, J. L. (2006). Amos (Version 19). Chicago: SPSS.
- 5 Biderman, A., Cwikel, J., Fried, A. V., & Galinsky, D. (2002). Depression and falls among
6 community dwelling elderly people: a search for common risk factors. *Journal of
7 Epidemiology and Community Health*, 56(8), 631-636. doi: 10.1136/jech.56.8.631
- 8
9 Bryant, C., Jackson, H., & Ames, D. (2009). Depression and anxiety in medically unwell
10 older adults: prevalence and short-term course. *International psychogeriatrics / IPA*,
11 21(4), 754-763. doi: 10.1017/S1041610209009399
- 12
13 Burns, A., Lawlor, B., & Craig, S. (2002). Rating scales in old age psychiatry. *The British
14 journal of psychiatry : the journal of mental science*, 180, 161-167.
- 15
16 Byrne, B. M. (2010). *Structural equation modeling with AMOS* (2nd ed.). New York:
17 Routledge.
- 18
19 Chang-Quan, H., Zheng-Rong, W., Yong-Hong, L., Yi-Zhou, X., & Qing-Xiu, L. (2010).
20 Education and risk for late life depression: a meta-analysis of published literature.
21 *International journal of psychiatry in medicine*, 40(1), 109-124.
- 22
23 Cole, M. G., & Dendukuri, N. (2003). Risk factors for depression among elderly community
24 subjects: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *The American journal of psychiatry*,
25 160(6), 1147-1156.
- 26
27 Djernes, J. K. (2006). Prevalence and predictors of depression in populations of elderly: a
28 review. *Acta psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 113(5), 372-387. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-
29 0447.2006.00770.x
- 30
31 Dolan, P. (1997). Modeling valuations for EuroQol health states. *Medical care*, 35(11),
32 1095-1108.
- 33
34 Fairchild, A. J., & MacKinnon, D. P. (2009). A general model for testing mediation and
35 moderation effects. *Prevention Science*, 10(2), 87-99.
- 36
37 Frayne, S., Burns, R., Hardt, E., Rosen, A., & Moskowitz, M. (1996). The exclusion of non-
38 english-speaking persons from research. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 11(1),
39 39-43. doi: 10.1007/BF02603484
- 40
41 Givens, J. L., Jones, R. N., & Inouye, S. K. (2009). The overlap syndrome of depression and
42 delirium in older hospitalized patients. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*,
43 57(8), 1347-1353. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2009.02342.x
- 44
45 Gluyas, C., Lum, C., Chong, S. Y., Borg, C., & Haines, T. P. (2011). Prevalence and
46 influence of psychiatric comorbidity on rehabilitation outcome for older hospital
47 inpatients. *International psychogeriatrics / IPA*, 1-13. doi:
48 10.1017/S1041610211000731
- 49
50 Haines, T. P., Cornwell, P., Fleming, J., Varghese, P., & Gray, L. (2008). Documentation of
51 in-hospital falls on incident reports: Qualitative investigation of an imperfect process.
52 *BMC Health Services Research*, 8(1), 254.
- 53
54 Haines, T. P., & Hill, A. M. (2011). Inconsistent results in meta-analyses for the prevention
55 of falls are found between study-level data and patient-level data. *Journal of Clinical
56 Epidemiology*, 64(2), 154-162.
- 57
58 Haines, T. P., Hill, A. M., Hill, K. D., McPhail, S., Oliver, D., Brauer, S., . . . Beer, C. (2011).
59 Patient education to prevent falls among older hospital inpatients: A randomized
60 controlled trial. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 171(6), 516-524.
- 61
62 Hill, A. M., Hill, K., Brauer, S., Oliver, D., Hoffmann, T., Beer, C., . . . Haines, T. P. (2009).
63 Evaluation of the effect of patient education on rates of falls in older hospital patients:
64 description of a randomised controlled trial. *BMC Geriatr*, 9, 14. doi: 10.1186/1471-
65

2318-9-14

- 1 Hill, A. M., Hoffmann, T., Hill, K., Oliver, D., Beer, C., McPhail, S., . . . Haines, T. P.
2 (2010). Measuring falls events in acute hospitals-a comparison of three reporting
3 methods to identify missing data in the hospital reporting system. *Journal of the*
4 *American Geriatrics Society*, 58(7), 1347-1352. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-
5 5415.2010.02856.x
6
- 7 Hill, A. M., McPhail, S., Hoffmann, T., Hill, K., Oliver, D., Beer, C., . . . Haines, T. P.
8 (2009). A randomized trial comparing digital video disc with written delivery of falls
9 prevention education for older patients in hospital. *Journal of the American*
10 *Geriatrics Society*, 57(8), 1458-1463.
- 11 Lee, D. C., McDermott, F., Hoffmann, T., O'Connell, B., & Haines, T. (In Press). "They will
12 tell me if there is a problem": Limited discussion between health professionals, older
13 adults and their caregivers on falls prevention during and after hospitalization. *Health*
14 *Education Research*.
15
- 16 Park, S. Y., & Bernstein, K. S. (2008). Depression and Korean American immigrants.
17 *Archives of psychiatric nursing*, 22(1), 12-19. doi: 10.1016/j.apnu.2007.06.011
- 18 Pfeiffer, E. (1975). A short portable mental status questionnaire for the assessment of organic
19 brain deficit in elderly patients. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 23(10),
20 433-441.
21
- 22 Rabin, R., & de Charro, F. (2001). EQ-5D: a measure of health status from the EuroQol
23 Group. *Annals of medicine*, 33(5), 337-343.
24
- 25 Sheikh, J. I., & Yesavage, J. A. (1986). Geriatric Depressive Scale (GDS); recent evidence
26 and development of a shorter version. *Clinical Gerontologist*, 5, 165-171.
27
- 28 Shumway-Cook, A., Ciol, M. A., Hoffman, J., Dudgeon, B. J., Yorkston, K., & Chan, L.
29 (2009). Falls in the Medicare population: incidence, associated factors, and impact on
30 health care. *Physical Therapy*, 89(4), 324-332.
31
- 32 Solhaug, H. I., Romuld, E. B., Romild, U., & Stordal, E. (2012). Increased prevalence of
33 depression in cohorts of the elderly: an 11-year follow-up in the general population -
34 the HUNT study. *International psychogeriatrics / IPA*, 24(1), 151-158. doi:
35 10.1017/S1041610211001141
- 36 Weisberg, H. F. (1992). *Central tendency and variability*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage
37 Publications.
38
- 39 World Health Organisation. (2010). Definition of a fall: :
40 http://www.who.int/violence_injury_prevention/other_injury/falls/en/index.html /
41 (last accessed Jan 2010).
42
- 43 Yardley, L., Kirby, S., Ben-Shlomo, Y., Gilbert, R., Whitehead, S., & Todd, C. (2008). How
44 likely are older people to take up different falls prevention activities? *Prev Med*,
45 47(5), 554-558. doi: 10.1016/j.ypmed.2008.09.001
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Table 1. Demographics of participants within each group

	Control Group	Materials Only Group	Complete Intervention	Control Vs Low Intensity	Control Vs High Intensity	Low Intensity Vs High Intensity
	Mean(SD) or n (%)	Mean(SD) or n (%)	Mean(SD) or n (%)	p	p	p
Total Number of Participants	381 (32%)	424 (36%)	401 ((33%)			
Age	75.25(10.14)	74.73(11.75)	75.25 (10.99)	0.501	1.000	0.510
Gender (male)	203(53%)	223(53%)	216(54%)	0.856	0.870	0.715
Education level				0.995	0.092	0.737
Primary	113 (30%)	120 (28%)	111 (29%)			
Year 10	163 (43%)	189 (45%)	171 (44%)			
Year 12	38 (10%)	46 (11%)	47 (12%)			
TAFE/Diploma	47 (12%)	46 (11%)	53 (13%)			
Bachelor degree	14 (4%)	17 (4%)	5 (1%)			
Postgraduate	3 (1%)	6 (1%)	1 (1%)			
English as first language	348 (91%)	376 (89%)	376 (94%)	0.212	0.390	0.697
Faller in previous 6 months	209 (55%)	245 (58%)	212 (53%)	0.383	0.550	0.134
Discharged to residential care	51 (13%)	63 (15%)	45 (11%)	0.600	0.920	0.123
Admitted to a rehabilitation setting	139 (37%)	181 (43%)	143 (36%)	0.073	0.811	0.039
Statement response: <i>I am worried about falling while in hospital</i>				0.412	0.619	0.761
Strongly agree	18 (5%)	19 (4%)	26 (6%)			
Agree	99 (26%)	124 (29%)	103 (26%)			
Undecided	13 (3%)	16 (4%)	13 (3%)			
Disagree	169 (44%)	177 (42%)	174 (44%)			
Strongly disagree	72 (19%)	82 (19%)	82 (20%)			
(Missing data)	(9 (2%))	(5 (1%))	(3 (1%))			
Baseline GDS	6.90 (2.02)	7.13 (2.04)	6.69 (2.01)	0.115	0.155	0.002
GDS Change	-0.46 (1.69)	-0.32 (1.59)	-0.19 (1.56)	0.261	0.032	0.282

Table 2. Standardized total effects from path analysis model

	Gender	Faller in previous 6 months	Rehabilitation Admission	English not first language	Worried about falling	Admission from residential aged care facility	Education level	GDS admission score
Faller in previous 6 months	-0.089							
Rehabilitation Admission	-0.006	0.067						
Worried about falling	0.016	-0.182	-0.119					
Admission from residential aged care facility	-0.12							
Education level	0.068							
GDS admission score	0.095	0.12	0.127	-0.075	-0.185	0.07	-0.101	
GDS change score	-0.051	-0.048	-0.057	0.039	0.016	-0.037	0.053	-0.523

*GDS = Geriatric Depression Scale – Short Form

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

Figure 1. Scatterplots of overall admission GDS and discharge GDS and for each group.

Figure 2: Path analysis showing standardized regression coefficients, r2 values and model fit statistics

GDS = Geriatric Depression Scale – Short Form

Df = Degrees of freedom

CFI = Comparative fit index

RMSEA = Root mean square residual and standardized root mean square residual

Figure 1

