

Bond University
Research Repository



Age-related differences in upper-body muscular endurance amongst male Law Enforcement Officers: a comparison to civilian population norms

Orr, Rob Marc; Pope, Rodney R; Dawes, James

Licence:
CC BY-ND

[Link to output in Bond University research repository.](#)

Recommended citation(APA):

Orr, R. M., Pope, R. R., & Dawes, J. (2015). *Age-related differences in upper-body muscular endurance amongst male Law Enforcement Officers: a comparison to civilian population norms*. Gold Coast Health and Medical Research Conference, Gold Coast, Queensland, Australia.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

For more information, or if you believe that this document breaches copyright, please contact the Bond University research repository coordinator.

Age-Related Differences in Upper-Body Muscular Endurance amongst male LEO: A comparison to civilian population norms




Orr RM¹, Pope R¹ & Dawes, J.J²

1 Bond University, Gold Coast

2 University of Colorado: Colorado Springs



Dawes, J., Orr, R., Brandt, B., Conroy, R. & Pope, R. Age differences in push up performance amongst male Law Enforcement Officers, Journal of Australian Strength and Conditioning – Post review




Background

- Police officers are required to perform tasks that can include dynamic movements

(Blacker et al., 2013; Carlton et al., 2013)







Background

- The push up is commonly employed in tactical populations as a physical conditioning tool (Knapik et al., 2005) and as an outcome measure to determine if a new or modified physical conditioning program is effective (Heinrich, Spencer, Fehl, & Poston, 2012)







Background

- When used as a health measure standards are often based on age norms / historical contexts of reductions in performance associated with aging.

Age (years)	Male (Reps)	Female (Reps)
25 and under	40	21
26-30	35	18
31-35	30	15
36-40	25	10
41-45	20	7
46-50	10	3
51 and over	6	3

Australian Army Basic Fitness Assessment
Push up pass standards



Aims

- Aim:
 - To investigate age-related differences in push-up performance in a physically-active, male law enforcement population and determine whether they mirrored general population norms.



<http://www.gannett-cdn.com/-mm-/8ca9f0250d6513048eac44dbfb403e4dd4ab5916/c=234-0-2766-1896&r=x404&c=534x401/local/-/media/Phoenix/2014/06/13/sevpolicehiring1.jpg>




BOND UNIVERSITY
TACTICAL RESEARCH UNIT



Participants

- N=518 ♂ LEO (2 Different LEO US agencies)
 - mean age = 38.99 ± 7.50 yrs / mean weight = 91.36 ± 13.89 kg / mean body fat percentage = $21.74 \pm 6.0\%$
- Grouped according to age
 - Group 1: 20-29 yrs [n=66];
 - Group 2: 30-39 yrs [n=177];
 - Group 3: 40-49 yrs [n=234];
 - Group 4: 50-59 yrs [n=41]).







Methods


- **Measures:**
 - Body weight (lbs) converted to kg
 - Body Composition (Bioelectric impedance)
 - Push ups in 1 minute
- **Statistical analysis**
 - Pearson's product-moment correlation
 - Forward stepwise linear regression analysis
 - Comparison to published norms (Ratamess, 2012)
 - Alpha set at 0.05 a priori





Methods

- *Ethical approval*
 - *University of Colorado Colorado Springs Institutional Review Board for human subjects*
 - *Bond University Human Research Ethics Committee*





Results

Age Group	All mean±SD	20-29 mean±SD	30-39 mean±SD	40-49 mean±SD	50-59 mean±SD
AGE (yrs)	38.99±7.51	26.59±1.79	34.66±2.90	43.36±2.55	52.76±2.39
WEIGHT (kg)	91.45 ±13.9	87.9 ± 12.86	91.27 ±14.56	93.15±15,26	88.26±11.09
BF (%)	21.78±6.01	17.94±5.94	20.99±6.15	23.32±5.39	24.42±4.42
Push-ups (reps)	44.48±15.47	46.47±14.62	44.66±15.57	43.92±15.74	43.71±15.09



Results







Results

Final predictive model for push-up performance derived from the forward stepwise linear regression analysis entering %BF, age and body weight.

	Unstandardized		Standardized			95.0% Confidence		Correlations	
	Coefficients		Coefficients			Interval for B		Zero-	
	B	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	order	Partial
(Constant)	66.64	3.46		19.23	.000	59.83	73.45		
%BF	-1.45	.11	-.57	-13.66	.000	-1.66	-1.24	-.53	-.54
Age	.23	.09	.11	2.72	.007	.07	.40	-.06	.13



Discussion



- Contrary to normative data push up performance did not decrease with age in this population of LEO



http://msnbcmedia.msn.com/j/MSNBC/Components/Photo/_new/pb-111021-melb-da-01.photoblog900.jpg




BOND UNIVERSITY
TACTICAL RESEARCH UNIT



Discussion

- When compared to general population norms, male LEO in each age category demonstrate substantially better push-up performance and do not demonstrate the decline in push up performance with age observed in the general population






Conclusion / Take Home Message



- Upper-body muscular endurance does not have to decrease with age, within the current age range, if the population is physically active and regularly performs upper body strength exercises
- Population based normative data may not be a suitable comparative sample for tactical populations like law enforcement (rehab/RTW protocols as an e.g)





References

- Blacker, S. D., Carter, J. M., Wilkinson, D. M., Richmond, V. L., Rayson, M. P., & Peattie, M. (2013). Physiological responses of Police Officers during job simulations wearing chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear personal protective equipment. *Ergonomics*, 56(1), 137-147.
- Carlton, S. D., Orr, R., Stierli, M., & Carbone, P. D. (2013). The impact of load carriage on mobility and marksmanship of the tactical response officer. *Journal of Australian Strength and Conditioning*, 22(1), 23-27.
- Cook, G., Burton, L., & Hoogenboom, B. (2006). Pre-participation screening: The use of fundamental movements as an assessment of function—Part 1. *North American journal of sports physical therapy: NAJSPT*, 1(2), 62.



References

- Heinrich, K. M., Spencer, V., Fehl, N., & Poston, W. S. (2012). Mission essential fitness: comparison of functional circuit training to traditional Army physical training for active duty military. *Mil Med*, 177(10), 1125-1130.
- Knapik, J., Darakjy, S., Scott, S. J., Hauret, K. G., Canada, S., Marin, R., . . . Jones, B. H. (2005). Evaluation of a standardized physical training program for basic combat training. *J Strength Cond Res*, 19(2), 246-253. doi: 10.1519/16324.1
- Ratamess, N. (2012). Assessment and Evaluation ACSM's Foundations of Strength and Conditioning (pp. 451-488). Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins

Age-Related Differences in Upper-Body Muscular Endurance amongst male LEO: A comparison to civilian population norms



Orr RM¹, Pope R¹ & Dawes, J.J²

1 Bond University, Gold Coast

2 University of Colorado: Colorado Springs



Dawes, J., Orr, R., Brandt, B., Conroy, R. & Pope, R. Age differences in push up performance amongst male Law Enforcement Officers, Journal of Australian Strength and Conditioning – Post review