

**A profile of health, lifestyle and training habits of 4720 Australian recreational runners-The case for promoting running for health benefits**

Kozlovskaja, Maria; Vlahovich, Nicole; Rathbone, Evelyne; Manzanero, Silvia; Keogh, Justin; Hughes, David C.

*Published in:*  
Health Promotion Journal of Australia

*DOI:*  
[10.1002/hpja.30](https://doi.org/10.1002/hpja.30)

*Licence:*  
Unspecified

[Link to output in Bond University research repository.](#)

*Recommended citation(APA):*  
Kozlovskaja, M., Vlahovich, N., Rathbone, E., Manzanero, S., Keogh, J., & Hughes, D. C. (2019). A profile of health, lifestyle and training habits of 4720 Australian recreational runners-The case for promoting running for health benefits. *Health Promotion Journal of Australia*, 30(2), 172-179. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hpja.30>

**General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

For more information, or if you believe that this document breaches copyright, please contact the Bond University research repository coordinator.

**Significant weight loss and health benefits associated with running: a cross-sectional study of 4720**

**Australian recreational runners.**

Ms Maria Kozlovskaia<sup>1,2</sup>, Dr Nicole Vlahovich<sup>2</sup>, Ms Evelyne Rathbone<sup>1</sup>, Dr Silvia Manzanero<sup>2</sup>, Dr Justin

Keogh<sup>1</sup>, Dr David C. Hughes<sup>2</sup>.

1. Bond University, Faculty of Health Sciences and Medicine, 14 University Drive, Robina, QLD  
4226
2. Australian Institute of Sport, Department of Sports Medicine, 1 Leverrier Street, Bruce, ACT  
2617

## **A profile of health and training habits of Australian recreational runners – the case for promoting recreational running for health benefits.**

### **Abstract**

*Issue addressed:* The aim of the study was to characterise lifestyle and training habits of a large cohort of Australian recreational runners. Understanding the health benefits of recreational running and differentiating between the habits of males and females may allow for the development of gender specific messaging for promoting recreational running as a form of physical activity.

*Methods:* An online questionnaire was used to collect data from 4720 Australian recreational runners. Data on physical, lifestyle and training characteristics of male and female subgroups were compared using Chi-square tests. Multiple logistic regression method was used to assess the effect of running experience on the reported clinically significant weight loss.

*Results:* The study cohort was 54.1% female and 45.9% male. The majority (72.9%) of runners had normal BMI and the cohort had significantly lower overweight/obesity rate than the Australian population. The logistic regression model indicated that commencing running may lead to a clinically significant weight loss irrespectively of sex, participation in other sports and injury history. Smoking was uncommon among surveyed runners. The most typical weekly running distance in the cohort was 20–40 km, usually distributed by 2–5 running sessions. Significantly more males than females reported running over 40 km per week and running more sessions.

*Conclusion:* Recreational running was associated with beneficial health outcomes. Commencement of running is associated with weight loss and regular running supports healthy weight maintenance. Male and female runners had different running preferences which should be taken into account for physical activity promotion.

*Key words:* Running, Running habits, Weight loss, Health, Body mass index



## 1. Introduction

According to the World Health Organisation (WHO) the leading global risk factors for mortality are hypertension (responsible for 13% of deaths globally), tobacco use (9%), hyperglycaemia (6%), physical inactivity (6%), and overweight and obesity (5%).(1) Approximately 31% of the burden of disease in Australia could be prevented by reducing exposure to modifiable risk factors such as tobacco use, harmful alcohol use, high body mass, physical inactivity and hypertension.(2) Clinically significant weight loss of  $\geq 5$ kg or 5% of baseline body weight was shown to be associated with health benefits and reduced risks of cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes mellitus and hypertension.(3-5) Health benefits of physical activity include decreased risks of chronic conditions including type 2 diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease and depression. Physical inactivity causes 6–10% of the burden of disease worldwide and 9% of premature mortality.(6-8) Promotion of physical activity by general practitioners could help to address this issue, however, only one-fifth of Australians reported receiving such recommendations, suggesting that strategies to encourage exercise prescription by a variety of health professionals require consideration.(9)

The WHO Physical Activity Guidelines recommend 150 minutes of moderate-intensity aerobic physical activity or 75 minutes of vigorous-intensity physical activity throughout the week, based on strong evidence of health benefits and reductions upon mortality rates.(10) However, according to the report from the National Health Survey conducted in 2014–2015, only 57.7% of Australian men and 53.3% of Australian women met the WHO Physical Activity Guidelines.(11) Recreational running, which may also be referred to as jogging, is one of the most common physical activities worldwide, with regular running consistently shown to reduce all-cause and cardiovascular mortality risks.(12-16) In Australia, the rate of participation in running increased from 4.3% in 2005–06, to 7.4% in 2013–14.(17) Recent data have indicated that current participation levels in athletics, including running, in the Australian population may be as high as 15.8%.(18) Running provides a low-cost option for increasing physical activity, without the restrictions of specific equipment or costs of sports club membership. Furthering the understanding of the running habits and wider health characteristics of male and female

27 recreational runners may assist in the development of sex specific messaging to promote the health  
28 benefits of recreational running as a form of physical activity.

29 The aim of this study was firstly, to describe the health and lifestyle characteristics of Australian  
30 recreational runners and compare body mass index (BMI) to the Australian general population, and  
31 secondly to examine the similarities and differences in training habits of male and female runners. It  
32 was hypothesised that recreational runners would display characteristics of a healthy lifestyle  
33 including participation in physical activity, maintaining a healthy BMI and having low rates of chronic  
34 disease and smoking.

## 35 **2. Methods**

### 36 **2.1. Study design**

37 The Australian Institute of Sport (AIS) Running Injury Study was a cross-sectional retrospective study  
38 of self-described recreational runners. Participants were self-selected for inclusion into the study with  
39 inclusion criteria being age over 18 years and recreational running practice of more than 15 km per  
40 week. This self-reported, retrospective questionnaire, delivered using Internet software  
41 (SurveyGizmo), was previously demonstrated to provide stable and reliable data.(19) This survey  
42 contained questions covering demographic characteristics, running habits, injury history (e.g.  
43 injured/uninjured in prior two years and details about particular injuries), dietary habits (e.g.  
44 nutritional requirements and dietary supplements), and female health (for female participants e.g.  
45 menstrual cycle), The full questionnaire tool was published by Domaschenz et al.(19) Data relating to  
46 dietary habits and female health were not related to the current hypothesis and are not reported  
47 here. The study was approved by the Bond University Human Research Ethics Committee (approval  
48 RO1688B).

### 49 **2.2. Data Collection**

50 Study participants in the AIS Running Injury Study were recruited through social media, at running  
51 events, and via promotion through a range of online websites and traditional media sources. The  
52 enrolment period spanned September 2014 until October 2016. All respondents provided informed

53 consent to participate and provided personal data after accepting the conditions of the study on the  
54 first page of the online questionnaire.

55 Participants' BMI was calculated from the responses to weight (kg) and height (cm) and categorised  
56 as underweight (16-18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), normal (18.5 to <25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), overweight (25 to <30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), category  
57 I of obesity (30 to <35 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), category II of obesity (35 to <40 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) and category III of obesity (≥40  
58 kg/m<sup>2</sup>).

### 59 **2.3. Statistical Analyses**

60 Statistical analysis was conducted in IBM SPSS Statistics version 24 (SPSS, Inc.). All numerical variables  
61 were checked for normality using Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Q-Q plots, which indicated that the data  
62 were not normally distributed. Median, minimum and maximum values and interquartile range (IQR)  
63 were calculated for physical characteristics (age, height, weight, BMI) and independently presented  
64 for the entire cohort and male and female subgroups. Mann-Whitney U test was performed to  
65 compare the distributions of these continuous variables between male and female subgroups and  
66 showed a significant difference ( $p < 0.001$ ) across all four variables. There were no missing data for  
67 these variables. Logarithmic transformation was attempted to correct skewness, however, the  
68 majority of the variables remained skewed after the transformation. Therefore, BMI and age were  
69 categorised and subsequent analyses were performed using only categorical variables.

70 Categorical variables describing running habits and health conditions were summarised using counts  
71 and percentages. Male and female subgroups were compared for all categorical variables using Chi-  
72 square test. Not available (NA) data were presented for each categorical variable and comprised less  
73 than 0.5%. BMI data of recreational runners were compared to published health data from the  
74 Australian general population (18-74 years of age) which had been collected in the same way.

75 Multiple logistic regression was performed to assess the effect of running experience on the reported  
76 clinically significant weight loss (≥5kg), after adjusting for sex, age, BMI, participation in other sports,  
77 smoking history and injury history. Whilst weekly running distance and race pace were both  
78 independently strongly associated ( $p < 0.001$ ) with reported weight loss in univariable analyses, they

79 were not used as predictors in the model due to strong association with running experience and sex  
80 respectively. To avoid multicollinearity, only predictors of interest that were not strongly associated  
81 with each other were selected in the model. Results of the multivariable analysis are presented as  
82 adjusted odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals and  $p$ -values. A Hosmer and Lemeshow test  
83 indicated that the model fit was acceptable ( $\chi^2_8=11.69$ ,  $p=0.17$ ). Statistical significance was set at  
84  $p<0.05$ .

### 85 **3. Results**

86 Data from 5250 respondents who described themselves as recreational runners were collected over  
87 the 25-month period of recruitment. After duplicate ( $n=272$ ), nonsense ( $n=4$ ) and incomplete ( $n=35$ )  
88 responses were removed, 4939 responses remained. As a weekly running distance of greater than 15  
89 km was stated as an inclusion criterion for participation in the survey, data from 219 runners who  
90 reported less than this distance were removed, resulting in 4720 responses included in the analysis.  
91 All respondents were 18 years of age or over. The study cohort was 54.1% female and 45.9% male  
92 (Table 1). A summary of training characteristics is displayed in Table 2. Frequencies of the reported  
93 lifetime chronic conditions are shown in Table 3. Summary statistics for BMI groups and logistic  
94 regression analysis of clinically significant weight loss are displayed in Tables 4 and 5.

#### 95 **3.1. Running habits**

96 The training characteristics of respondents are described in Table 2. The most common weekly running  
97 distance was 20–40 km (45.8%) among the entire cohort, with very similar rates in male and female  
98 runners. However, males were more likely than females to run distances more than 40 km per week  
99 ( $\chi^2_1=77.6$ ,  $p<0.001$ ), whereas females were more likely than males to run less than 20 km per week  
100 ( $\chi^2_1=65.5$ ,  $p<0.001$ ). The most common category of respondents were those with over ten years of  
101 running experience (37.8%), with significantly more males than females within this experienced group  
102 ( $\chi^2_1=71.3$ ,  $p<0.001$ ). The majority of respondents stated that they typically ran between two and five  
103 sessions per week. It was however observed that males were significantly more likely to run six or  
104 more times per week than females ( $\chi^2_1=33.3$ ,  $p<0.001$ ). The typical race pace of a male runner was



105 reported as 4–5 min/km, whereas female runners reported 5–6 min/km. The majority of respondents  
106 participated in other sports in addition to running. Significantly more female than male runners  
107 reported participation in sports other than running ( $\chi^2=63.8, p<0.001$ ). Almost a half of recreational  
108 runners reported injuries that occurred while running in the past two years, with significantly higher  
109 rates in males than in females ( $\chi^2=7.7, p=0.003$ ).

### 110 **3.2. Smoking habits**

111 Smoking was uncommon among surveyed runners, with 0.6% reporting that they were current  
112 smokers and a further 25.8% of runners reporting that they had smoked at any time in their life.  
113 Reported smoking experience was not significantly associated with sex (24.7% versus 26.7%;  $\chi^2=2.1$ ,  
114  $p=0.1$ ).

### 115 **3.3. Chronic conditions**

116 The survey included questions about 18 lifetime diagnoses of chronic conditions (Table 3). The most  
117 common reported diagnosis was depression (15.3%) with significantly higher reported depression  
118 rates among females than males (18.9% versus 11.1%;  $\chi^2=55.7, p<0.001$ ). The second most common  
119 diagnosis was respiratory conditions (11.7%), which was significantly higher in females than males  
120 (13% versus 10.2%;  $\chi^2=9.0, p=0.002$ ). Although anaemia was the third most common diagnosis (10%),  
121 this was mainly reported by females (17.1% versus 1.6%,  $\chi^2=315.8, p<0.001$ ). A lifetime diagnosis of  
122 hypertension was reported by 290 runners (6.1%). Hypertension was the third most common  
123 diagnosis for males, accounting for 7.8%, with a significantly lower reported rate in females – 4.8%  
124 ( $\chi^2=18.1, p<0.001$ ).

### 125 **3.4. Body mass index and weight loss**

126 Respondents were grouped by their BMI in accordance with the World Health Organisation guidelines  
127 (Table 4). (20) The majority of runners were in the normal weight category with a BMI between 18.5  
128 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (72.9%). Of the remainder, 2.6% of runners were underweight (16 to 18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>),  
129 21.8% were overweight (25 to <30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) and 2.7% were obese ( $\geq 30$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>). There were no  
130 participants in the cohort that were classified as severely underweight (<16 kg/m<sup>2</sup>). When levels of

131 obesity were categorised, 127 runners were divided into 3 subgroups: category I of obesity ( $n=111$ ),  
132 category II ( $n=14$ ) and category III ( $n=2$ ), accounting for approximately 2.35%, 0.30% and 0.04%  
133 respectively of the entire sample. Due to these low numbers in severe and very severe categories, it  
134 was decided to keep a general 'obese' group for analysis. Significantly more women than men were  
135 in the underweight and normal weight categories ( $\chi_1^2=50.6, p<0.001$ ;  $\chi_1^2=66.8, p<0.001$  respectively),  
136 whereas significantly more men than women were overweight ( $\chi_1^2=136.3, p<0.001$ ). Nevertheless,  
137 proportions of obese male and female runners were almost equal. The BMI distribution data of  
138 surveyed runners were compared to BMI data in the Australian population collected in the 2014-15  
139 National Health Survey published by the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) (Figure 1).(11)

140 Recreational runners were asked whether they had gained or lost a clinically significant amount of  
141 weight ( $\geq 5\text{kg}$ ) in the past two years. Clinically significant weight loss over the last two years was  
142 reported by 27% of all respondents. Multiple logistic regression analysis (Table 5) showed that  
143 clinically significant weight loss was more likely to be reported by younger runners, and overweight  
144 and obese runners. Runners with two or less years of running experience were three times more likely  
145 to report clinically significant weight loss in the past two years than runners with over ten years of  
146 running experience. However, sex, participation in other sports, and history of injuries in the past two  
147 years did not have a statistically significant association with clinically significant weight loss.  
148 Interestingly, smoking experience (smoking at any time in life) was associated with reported clinically  
149 significant weight loss. The logistic regression results indicate that commencing a running program  
150 may lead to a clinically significant weight loss irrespective of sex, participation in other sports and  
151 injury in the previous two years.

#### 152 **4. Discussion**

153 This study described one of the largest cohorts of recreational runners, analysing the medical and  
154 lifestyle characteristics of the participants and sex differences in training habits. In this study, we  
155 demonstrated that a large proportion of recreational runners avoided the majority of modifiable risk  
156 factors that contribute to the burden of disease. In the Australian population, the five strongest

157 contributors to the burden of disease in 2011 were tobacco use (9%), high body mass (5.5%), alcohol  
158 use (5%), physical inactivity (5%) and hypertension (5%).(21) Data from the Australian Nutrition and  
159 Physical Activity Survey showed that both sufficient physical activity level and reduced sitting time  
160 were important factors for prevention of cardiovascular disease and metabolic syndrome.(22) This  
161 study demonstrated that Australian recreational runners typically have a BMI in the normal range, are  
162 meeting physical activity guidelines through recreational running and participation in other sports,  
163 and have low levels of smoking. This cohort runs on average 20–40 km in greater than two sessions  
164 per week, and 76.1% of respondents play additional sport, indicating that recreational runners are  
165 likely to be meeting the recommended WHO Physical Activity Guidelines. Considering that 80% of  
166 surveyed recreational runners have been running for at least three years, we can speculate that they  
167 have managed to sustain a habit of regular physical activity at the recommended level for at least  
168 three years.

169 Australian recreational runners self-reported a lower BMI than the general population. Additionally, a  
170 weight loss of greater than five kilograms in the past two years was reported by approximately 40%  
171 of runners with less than two years of experience. Physical activity is a key component in the  
172 multidisciplinary approach of effective weight loss programs, and is especially important when  
173 preventing continued weight gain or maintaining lower weight.(23) Indeed, endurance running has  
174 been shown to be beneficial to physically inactive adults leading to body mass and body fat reduction,  
175 with a systematic review concluding that one year of running training was effective in reducing body  
176 mass by 3.3 kg.(24) Several systematic reviews have shown that aerobic exercise, such as running,  
177 significantly contributes to weight loss, with strong evidence that this type of activity is effective in  
178 reducing visceral fat.(25, 26) Additionally there is a dose-response relationship between aerobic  
179 exercise and visceral fat reduction in obese participants, indicating that an activity such as recreational  
180 running could be effective in improving health via a reduction of visceral fat.(27) A systematic review  
181 indicated that risks of all-cause mortality and cardiovascular mortality were lower in people with high  
182 BMI and good aerobic fitness than in people with normal BMI and poor fitness. However, aerobically

183 fit people with high BMI were still at a greater risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular  
184 disease.(28) In an Australian population it has been shown that walking is the most common type of  
185 physically activity recommended to patients by their doctor.(29, 30) Our results, taken together with  
186 previous findings indicate that recreational running could be promoted by general practitioners as an  
187 effective mechanism for building aerobic fitness and maintaining a healthy body weight.

188 Only one quarter of recreational runners surveyed reported smoking at any time during their life and  
189 0.6% were current smokers. These rates were substantially lower than those reported by the  
190 Australian Bureau of Statistics, which showed that 14.5% of adult Australians were daily smokers, 1.5%  
191 smoked less often than daily and about one third (31.4%) were ex-smokers.(11) A systematic review  
192 of co-occurrence of smoking and physical activity showed negative association in 20 studies on adults  
193 in several European countries, Japan and Australia and 13 studies with nonsignificant, mixed or  
194 positive association, indicating possible complex relationships between smoking and physical activity  
195 due to race, income level and other factors.(31) Hence, the very small proportion of runners currently  
196 smoking could reflect their overall healthy lifestyle as well as the positive effects of individual exercise  
197 bouts in reducing cravings for smoking.

198 Depression was the most common life-time diagnosis reported by recreational runners (15.3%).  
199 Affective disorders, which comprise all levels and severity of depressive disorders and bipolar disorder,  
200 accounted for 15% of life-time prevalence in Australian population.(32) The depression prevalence in  
201 the Australian recreational running population is largely the same as in the general population.

202 Hypertension is a significant risk factor for chronic diseases including stroke, coronary heart disease,  
203 heart failure and chronic kidney disease and is identified as the leading global risk factor for  
204 mortality.(1) Based on measured data from the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, 32% of  
205 Australians aged 18 and over have hypertension.(33) However, only 6.1% of Australian recreational  
206 runners surveyed self-reported that they had been diagnosed with hypertension. The reduced levels  
207 suggest that recreational running is associated with lower rates of hypertension as a risk factor for  
208 burden of disease.

209 Running, as a form of physical activity, has consistently been shown to provide a range of health  
210 benefits, including reducing the overall risk of cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality.(12, 13,  
211 15, 34) More importantly, the clustering of various healthy behaviours has been shown to be inversely  
212 related to the risk of all-cause mortality, with four or more healthy behaviours reducing mortality risk  
213 by 66%.(35) Here we demonstrated that a large proportion of Australian recreational runners  
214 displayed healthy behaviours including meeting physical activity guidelines, avoidance of overweight  
215 or obesity and reduced smoking.

216 We suggest that recreational running could be promoted as a low-cost option for adhering to physical  
217 activity guidelines. Marketing of recreational running through mass participation events, for example  
218 *parkrun*, has been considered as a public health intervention.(36, 37) However, there is a risk of  
219 sustaining an injury during participation in recreational running, with 49% of participants in this study  
220 reporting a running injury over the preceding two years. This potential injury risk must be taken into  
221 account when advising participation in recreational running. Additionally, commencement of a  
222 running program for individuals with musculoskeletal injuries of the lower body should be supervised  
223 by a qualified medical practitioner. The current study demonstrated that there are differences in male  
224 and female preferences to consider when aiming to encourage people to begin a running program.  
225 We show here that female runners were more likely to report shorter weekly distances while running  
226 a similar number of sessions as male runners. This study did not investigate the motivations for  
227 participation in recreational running, however several studies have demonstrated that the  
228 motivations of males and females, in relation to participation in physical activity, differ in a number of  
229 ways.(38-40) An Australian study demonstrated that, while both males and females are motivated by  
230 general health and maintenance of fitness, women often cite weight loss/appearance and mental  
231 health as motivating factors for increasing their physical activity levels while men participate for social  
232 reasons and enjoyment.(18) Both motivational factors and running habits should be taken into  
233 account when marketing recreational running for health benefits or encouraging participation.

234 While the self-report nature of data collection could introduce bias and error, the survey tool has been  
235 shown to be reliable and questions did not require respondents to recall long-term details of running  
236 habits or injuries. (19) The term of recall for injuries and running habits was limited to the two years  
237 preceding survey response, as it has been show that retrospective data beyond this point is not  
238 reliable. (41, 42) A further study limitation may have been sampling bias with higher proportion of  
239 female runners and middle-aged runners in the studied cohort in comparison with a demographic  
240 data of physically active Australian adults.(17) Lastly, absence of data from non-runners or those who  
241 may be interested in taking up recreational running precludes extrapolation of findings to non-  
242 runners.

## 243 **5. Conclusion**

244 Recreational running is associated with benefits across a range of measurable health outcomes. A high  
245 proportion of the Australian recreational runners who participated in this study had a body mass index  
246 within the healthy weight range, seemed to be meeting the WHO Physical Activity Guidelines each  
247 week for many years and were non-smokers. Additionally, our results indicate that taking up running  
248 is associated with weight loss and weight remains stable if individuals persist with running. Male and  
249 female runners reported different running preferences and these should be taken into account when  
250 promoting recreational running or encouraging participation.

## 251 **Acknowledgements**

252 The authors thank Dr Renae Domaschenz and Prof Maria Fiatarone-Singh for their contribution to the  
253 development of the questionnaire used in this study. Associate Professor Kevin Ashton and Dr Paul  
254 Leo are acknowledged in for their roles as supervisors of MK. The authors also thank the Collaborative  
255 Research Network for Advancing Exercise and Sports Science Scientific Committee members who  
256 contributed to this work: Professor Nuala Byrne (Bond University), Professor Matthew A Brown  
257 (Queensland University of Technology) and Professor Maria A. Fiatarone-Singh (University of Sydney).

## 258 **Authors' contributions**

259 MK participated in the study design, data collection, performed data analysis and drafted the  
260 manuscript; NV participated in the study design, data collection, helped to draft the manuscript; ER  
261 helped with data analysis and revised the draft of the manuscript; SM participated in the data  
262 collection and revised the draft of the manuscript; JK participated in the study design and data  
263 collection and revised the draft of the manuscript. DCH participated in the study design, helped to  
264 draft the manuscript and then revised the draft of the manuscript.

265 All authors read and approved final version of the paper and agreed with the order of presentation of  
266 the authors.

267 None of the authors declare competing financial interests.

268 **Funding Source**

269 This work was supported by the Collaborative Research Network for Advancing Exercise and Sports  
270 Science (CRN-AESS; MK, NV, JK, SM and DCH).

271 **References**

- 272 1. World Health Organization. Global health risks: mortality and burden of disease attributable to  
273 selected major risks: World Health Organization; 2009.
- 274 2. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. Australia's health 2016. In: AIHW, editor. Canberra 2016.
- 275 3. Neter JE, Stam BE, Kok FJ, Grobbee DE, Geleijnse JM. Influence of weight reduction on blood  
276 pressure. *Hypertension*. 2003;42(5):878-84.
- 277 4. Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with  
278 lifestyle intervention or metformin. *N Engl J Med*. 2002;2002(346):393-403.
- 279 5. Look AHEAD Research Group. Long term effects of a lifestyle intervention on weight and  
280 cardiovascular risk factors in individuals with type 2 diabetes: four year results of the Look AHEAD trial.  
281 *Archives of internal medicine*. 2010;170(17):1566.
- 282 6. Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee. Physical activity guidelines advisory committee  
283 report, 2008. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services. 2008;2008:A1-H14.
- 284 7. Lee I-M, Shiroma EJ, Lobelo F, Puska P, Blair SN, Katzmarzyk PT, et al. Effect of physical inactivity on  
285 major non-communicable diseases worldwide: an analysis of burden of disease and life expectancy.  
286 *The Lancet*. 2012;380(9838):219-29.
- 287 8. Reiner M, Niermann C, Jekauc D, Woll A. Long-term health benefits of physical activity—a systematic  
288 review of longitudinal studies. *BMC public health*. 2013;13(1):813.
- 289 9. Short CE, Hayman M, Rebar AL, Gunn KM, De Cocker K, Duncan MJ, et al. Physical activity  
290 recommendations from general practitioners in Australia. Results from a national survey. *Australian  
291 and New Zealand journal of public health*. 2016;40(1):83-90.
- 292 10. World Health Organisation. Global recommendations on physical activity for health. 2010.
- 293 11. Australian Bureau of Statistics. National Health Survey. First results. Australia 2014-15. 2016.
- 294 12. Lee DC, Pate RR, Lavie CJ, Sui X, Church TS, Blair SN. Leisure-time running reduces all-cause and  
295 cardiovascular mortality risk. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2014;64(5):472-81.
- 296 13. Oja P, Kelly P, Pedisic Z, Titze S, Bauman A, Foster C, et al. Associations of specific types of sports  
297 and exercise with all-cause and cardiovascular-disease mortality: a cohort study of 80 306 British  
298 adults. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2016:bjsports-2016-096822.
- 299 14. Lee DC, Lavie CJ, Vedanthan R. Optimal Dose of Running for Longevity. *Journal of the American  
300 College of Cardiology*. 2015;65(5):420.
- 301 15. Schnohr P, O'Keefe JH, Marott JL, Lange P, Jensen GB. Dose of jogging and long-term mortality: the  
302 Copenhagen City Heart Study. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2015;65(5):411-9.
- 303 16. Hulteen RM, Smith JJ, Morgan PJ, Barnett LM, Hallal PC, Colyvas K, et al. Global participation in  
304 sport and leisure-time physical activities: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Preventive medicine*.  
305 2017;95:14-25.
- 306 17. Australian Bureau of Statistics. Participation in Sport and Physical Recreation, Australia, 2013-14.  
307 In: Statistics ABo, editor. 2015.
- 308 18. Australian Sports Commission. AusPlay Participation data for the sport sector. In: Commission AS,  
309 editor. 2016.
- 310 19. Domaschenz R, Vlahovich N, Keogh J, Compton S, Hughes CD. Exercise-Induced Tendon and Bone  
311 Injury in Recreational Runners: A Test-Retest Reliability Study. *JMIR Res Protoc*. 2015;4(4):e117.
- 312 20. World Health Organization. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. World Health  
313 Organization; 2000.
- 314 21. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. Australian Burden of Disease Study: impact and causes  
315 of illness and death in Australia 2011—summary report. . Australian Burden of Disease Study  
316 Series 2016.
- 317 22. Engelen L, Gale J, Chau JY, Hardy LL, Mackey M, Johnson N, et al. Who is at risk of chronic disease?  
318 Associations between risk profiles of physical activity, sitting and cardio-metabolic disease in  
319 Australian adults. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health*. 2016.



- 320 23.Söderlund A, Fischer A, Johansson T. Physical activity, diet and behaviour modification in the  
 321 treatment of overweight and obese adults: a systematic review. *Perspectives in public health*.  
 322 2009;129(3):132-42.
- 323 24.Hespanhol Junior LC, Pillay JD, van Mechelen W, Verhagen E. Meta-analyses of the effects of  
 324 habitual running on indices of health in physically inactive adults. *Sports Medicine*. 2015;45(10):1455-  
 325 68.
- 326 25.Ismail I, Keating S, Baker M, Johnson N. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the effect of  
 327 aerobic vs. resistance exercise training on visceral fat. *Obesity reviews*. 2012;13(1):68-91.
- 328 26.Thorogood A, Mottillo S, Shimony A, Filion KB, Joseph L, Genest J, et al. Isolated aerobic exercise  
 329 and weight loss: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *The American*  
 330 *journal of medicine*. 2011;124(8):747-55.
- 331 27.Ohkawara K, Tanaka S, Miyachi M, Ishikawa-Takata K, Tabata I. A dose–response relation between  
 332 aerobic exercise and visceral fat reduction: systematic review of clinical trials. *International journal of*  
 333 *obesity*. 2007;31(12):1786-97.
- 334 28.Fogelholm M. Physical activity, fitness and fatness: relations to mortality, morbidity and disease  
 335 risk factors. A systematic review. *Obesity reviews*. 2010;11(3):202-21.
- 336 29.Robertson R, Jepson R, Shepherd A, McInnes R. Recommendations by Queensland GPs to be more  
 337 physically active: which patients were recommended which activities and what action they took.  
 338 *Australian and New Zealand journal of public health*. 2011;35(6):537-42.
- 339 30.Porter S, Eccleston P, Vilshanskaya O. Moving patients towards a more active lifestyle: the GP  
 340 Physical Activity Project in South Eastern Sydney Area Health Service. *Health Promotion Journal of*  
 341 *Australia*. 2002;13(3):178-83.
- 342 31.Kaczynski AT, Manske SR, Mannell RC, Grewal K. Smoking and physical activity: a systematic review.  
 343 *American journal of health behavior*. 2008;32(1):93-110.
- 344 32.Slade J, Teesson W, Burgess P. The mental health of Australians 2: report on the 2007 National  
 345 Survey of Mental Health and Wellbeing. 2009.
- 346 33.Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. High blood pressure 2017 [Available from:  
 347 <http://www.aihw.gov.au/risk-factors/high-blood-pressure/>].
- 348 34.Chakravarty EF, Hubert HB, Lingala VB, Fries JF. Reduced disability and mortality among aging  
 349 runners: a 21-year longitudinal study. *Archives of internal medicine*. 2008;168(15):1638-46.
- 350 35.Loef M, Walach H. The combined effects of healthy lifestyle behaviors on all cause mortality: a  
 351 systematic review and meta-analysis. *Preventive medicine*. 2012;55(3):163-70.
- 352 36.Stevinson C, Wiltshire G, Hickson M. Facilitating participation in health-enhancing physical activity:  
 353 A qualitative study of parkrun. *International journal of behavioral medicine*. 2015;22(2):170-7.
- 354 37.Stevinson C, Hickson M. Exploring the public health potential of a mass community participation  
 355 event. *Journal of Public Health*. 2013:fdt082.
- 356 38.Stults-Kolehmainen MA, Ciccolo JT, Bartholomew JB, Seifert J, Portman RS. Age and gender-related  
 357 changes in exercise motivation among highly active individuals. *Athletic Insight*. 2013;5(1):45-63.
- 358 39.Louw A, Van Biljon A, Mugandani S. Exercise motivation and barriers among men and women of  
 359 different age groups: sport psychology. *African Journal for Physical Health Education, Recreation and*  
 360 *Dance*. 2012;18(Issue-4\_1):759-68.
- 361 40.Lauderdale ME, Yli-Piipari S, Irwin CC, Layne TE. Gender differences regarding motivation for  
 362 physical activity among college students: A self-determination approach. *The Physical Educator*.  
 363 2015;72(1):153-72.
- 364 41.Gabbe BJ, Finch CF, Bennell KL, Wajswelner H. How valid is a self reported 12 month sports injury  
 365 history? *British journal of sports medicine*. 2003;37(6):545-7.
- 366 42.Kolt GS, Kirkby RJ. Epidemiology of injury in elite and subelite female gymnasts: a comparison of  
 367 retrospective and prospective findings. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*. 1999;33(5):312-8.

369 **Table 1: Characteristics of participants.**

Characteristics	All runners (N=4720)		Male runners (n=2165; 45.9%)		Female runners (n=2555; 54.1%)	
	Median (range)	IQR	Median (range)	IQR	Median (range)	IQR
Age (years)	40 (18 – 80)	33 – 47	42 (18 – 80)	34 – 49	39 (18 – 77)	32 – 46
Weight (kg)	68 (40 – 135)	60 – 77	76 (45 – 135)	70 – 83	61 (40 – 110)	56 – 68
Height (cm)	172 (120 – 210)	165 – 179	179 (152 – 210)	175 – 183	166 (120 – 190)	162 – 170
Body Mass Index (BMI) (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	23 (16 – 44.4)	21.3 – 25	23.8 (16 – 40.8)	22.3 – 25.6	22.2 (16.3 – 44.4)	20.6 – 24.2

370

371

372

373 **Table 2: Training characteristics of Australian recreational running cohort.**

Training characteristics		All runners (N=4720)		Male runners (N=2165)		Female runners (N=2555)	
		n	%	n	%	n	%
Weekly running distance**	<20 km	1424	30.2	526	24.3	898	35.1
	20-40 km	2164	45.8	991	45.8	1173	45.9
	>40 km	1132	24.0	648	29.9	484	18.9
Running experience**	≤2 years	942	20.0	364	16.8	578	22.6
	3-5 years	1267	26.8	542	25.0	725	28.4
	6-9 years	722	15.1	297	13.7	425	16.6
	10+ years	1783	37.8	958	44.2	825	32.3
	NA	6	0.1	4	0.2	2	0.1
Run sessions per week**	1	15	0.3	10	0.5	5	0.2
	2 or 3	2041	43.2	869	40.1	1172	45.9
	4 or 5	2226	47.5	1027	47.4	1199	46.9
	6+	422	8.9	250	11.5	172	6.7
	NA	16	0.3	9	0.4	7	0.3
Race pace**	<4 min/km	403	8.5	329	15.2	74	2.9
	4-5 min/km	1591	33.7	1022	47.2	569	22.3
	5-6 min/km	1819	38.5	633	29.2	1186	46.4
	6-7 min/km	706	15.0	141	6.5	565	22.1
	>7 min km	189	4.0	34	1.6	155	6.1
	NA	12	0.3	6	0.3	6	0.2
Participation in other sports**	Yes	3590	76.1	1530	70.7	2060	80.6
	No	1113	23.6	629	29.1	484	18.9
	NA	17	0.4	6	0.2	11	0.5
Reported injuries occurred while running in past two years*	Yes	2315	49	1109	51.2	1206	47.2
	No	2405	51	1056	48.8	1349	52.8

374 NA – Not Available; \* – statistically significant difference between males and females ( $p<0.05$ ), \*\* – statistically significant difference between males and females ( $p<0.001$ )

375 **Table 3: Lifetime diagnoses of chronic conditions reported by recreational runners.**

Chronic conditions	All runners (N=4720)		Male runners (N=2165)		Female runners (N=2555)	
	n	%	n	%	n	%
Depression**	724	15.3	240	11.1	484	18.9
Respiratory conditions**	554	11.7	221	10.2	333	13.0
Anaemia**	472	10.0	34	1.6	438	17.1
Hypertension**	290	6.1	168	7.8	122	4.8
Skin disease*	277	5.9	111	5.1	166	6.5
Cancer	238	5.0	108	5.0	130	5.1
Insomnia**	193	4.1	54	2.5	139	5.4
Osteoarthritis	184	3.9	74	3.4	110	4.3
Gastrointestinal disease**	186	3.9	56	2.6	130	5.1
Cardiac conditions	179	3.8	94	4.3	85	3.3
Thyroid disease**	180	3.8	30	1.4	150	5.9
Neurological conditions	88	1.9	37	1.7	51	2.0
Diabetes	68	1.4	30	1.4	38	1.5
Rheumatoid arthritis	54	1.1	19	0.9	35	1.4
Osteoporosis*	53	1.1	14	0.6	39	1.5
Chronic renal failure	11	0.2	7	0.3	4	0.2
Cerebral palsy	8	0.2	5	0.2	3	0.1
Cystic fibrosis	7	0.1	5	0.2	2	0.1

376 \* – statistically significant difference between males and females ( $p < 0.05$ ),377 \*\* – statistically significant difference between males and females ( $p < 0.001$ ).

378

379

380 **Table 4: Frequencies of body mass index categories.**

Body Mass Index	All runners (N=4720)		Male runners (N=2165)		Female runners (N=2555)	
	N	%	n	%	n	%
Underweight (<18.5 kg/m <sup>2</sup> )*	121	2.6	17	0.8	104	4.1
Normal (18.5 to <25 kg/m <sup>2</sup> )*	3443	72.9	1455	67.2	1988	77.8
Overweight (25 to <30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> )*	1029	21.8	637	29.4	392	15.3
Obese (≥30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	127	2.7	56	2.6	71	2.8

381 \* – statistically significant difference between males and females ( $p < 0.001$ ).

382

383 **Table 5: Multiple logistic regression analysis results with adjusted odds ratio (OR) estimates for**  
 384 **the effects of runner characteristics on clinically significant weight loss ( $\geq 5\text{kg}$ ).**

Variable	OR <sup>1</sup>	95% CI	P value
<b>Sex</b>			
Female <sup>2</sup>	1.00		
Male	1.04	0.90, 1.19	0.62
<b>Age group</b>			
> 55 years <sup>2</sup>	1.00		
35-55 years	1.91	1.43, 2.54	<0.001
< 35 years	2.20	1.62, 2.96	<0.001
<b>BMI group</b>			
Normal <sup>2</sup>	1.00		
Underweight	0.69	0.42, 1.13	0.14
Overweight	1.96	1.68, 2.29	<0.001
Obese	2.51	1.76, 3.58	<0.001
<b>Running experience</b>			
$\geq 10$ years <sup>2</sup>	1.00		
6-9 years	1.22	0.98, 1.52	0.07
3-5 years	1.63	1.36, 1.94	<0.001
$\leq 2$ years	3.15	2.63, 3.78	<0.001
<b>Participation in other sports</b>			
No <sup>2</sup>	1.00		
Yes	0.99	0.85, 1.16	0.92
<b>Injury occurrence</b>			
No <sup>2</sup>	1.00		
Yes	1.02	0.89, 1.69	0.77
<b>Smoking history</b>			
No <sup>2</sup>	1.00		
Yes	1.34	1.15, 1.56	<0.001

385 CI – Confidence Interval

386 <sup>1</sup> Adjusted for the other variables in the table; <sup>2</sup> Reference category

387

388

389

390

391

392 Figure 1. Comparison of BMI group percentages between Australian surveyed male (Figure 1a) and

393 female (Figure 1b) runners and Australian population surveyed by Australian Bureau of Statistics of

394 different age groups.

