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### ABSTRACT

In addition to the physical and mental benefits of physical activity (PA) and resistance training (RT), deputy sheriffs may also experience job-related benefits. However, limited research has been done to be physically fit in their roles. As motivation is a key factor in PA, this lower fitness level may reflect motivation variations whether from a lack of fitness standards or a shift in priorities (e.g. shift work hours, family). In a self-determination theory (SDT) context, motivation can be seen as intrinsic (motivation due to personal rewards and punishment) to intrinsic reasons (e.g. enjoyment of the task). The primary purpose of this study was to explore the relationship between different motivations and physical activity (PA) and resistance training (RT) of incumbent sheriffs. A correlation analysis using the 24-item Behavioral Regulation in Exercise Questionnaire (BREQ-2) measured motivation from external to intrinsic (external, integrated, identified, and intrinsic regulation) and amotivation. Pearson correlations were conducted to assess relationships between motivation, years sworn, PA, and RT. Spearman’s rho correlations were used for amotivation (and identified regulation) as assumptions were not met. A positive relationship was shown between PA and identified (r(31) = .41, p < .05), and integrated (r(31) = .45, p < .05), but overall, a positive relationship was shown between PA and identified (r(31) = .41, p < .05), and integrated (r(31) = .45, p < .05), but overall, a positive relationship was shown. PA was negatively associated with external (r(31) = -.26, p = .05), and RT was negatively associated with external (r(31) = -.26, p = .05), and RT was negatively associated with external (r(31) = -.26, p = .05). More intrinsic reasons related to PA were associated with higher PA and lower external regulation. These relationships may reflect a lack of fitness standards and absence of external rewards and punishments for fitness. Additionally, PA and RT were positively related to identified and intrinsic motivation in deputy sheriffs, from how physical training is regarded during academy and promoted when deputy sheriffs begin deployment.

### RESULTS

- **Correlations and descriptive statistics for types of motivation, PA and RT are shown in Table 1.**
- **Figure 1-4 display the relationships for years sworn with PA and external regulation, and for intrinsic regulation with RT.**

### CONCLUSIONS

- In line with SDT, more intrinsic and autonomous motives (intrinsic, integrated, and identified regulation) were associated with both PA and RT.
- However, the longer time a deputy sheriff was sworn was associated with lower PA levels as well as lower external regulation (levels). As such, there were mixed standards as well as external motivations that may promote PA during academy.
- Although related to external regulation, the lower PA levels of deputy sheriffs may reflect a lack of fitness standards and rewards and punishments after recruits graduate academy.
- However, more external factors such as rewards and threats, such as evaluations, have been shown to actually decrease intrinsic forms of motivation.
- If fitness standards are not added as a part of post academy, it may be beneficial to foster more intrinsic and autonomous forms of motivation during and after academy to help deputy sheriffs engage in more PA and RT, which can improve their fitness levels and can benefit their job-related performance.
- Further research should look at how the motivations and fitness levels of recruits and deputy sheriffs differ, as this study only looked at one deputy sheriffs’ class so comparisons to recruits could not be made. Additionally, further research should explore how to encourage PA and intrinsic motivation in deputy sheriffs, as how physical training is applied during academy and promoted when deputy sheriffs begin deployment.

### METHODS

- **Deposite sheriffs (n = 60; Gender: male = 46, female = 12) from one law enforcement agency (years sworn: 4.8 ± 3.5), completed a questionnaire administered by graduate students from California State University, Fullerton in the Spring of 2018.**
- **The participants arrived in small groups and completed the questionnaires independently in approximately 20-30 minutes.**
- **PA was assessed using the Godin Leisure Time Exercise Questionnaire2 considering the average frequency of moderate to vigorous physical activity over a 7-day period.**
- **RT was assessed through three items assessing how often participants engaged in RT in the past 3 months, the average number of days per week in the past 3 months, and the number of days in the past week that they engaged in RT.**
- **Motivation was measured using the 24-item Behavioral Regulation in Exercise Questionnaire (BREQ-2).**
  - Examined if 6 types of motivation with 4 items corresponding to each subscale.
  - Scale of 0 (Not true for me) to 4 (very true for me).
  - Cronbach’s alpha.
  - One item was removed from the subscales for Identified Regulation (0.74) and Amotivation (0.74) to move Cronbach’s alpha into acceptable levels. Alpha levels were acceptable for all other variables.
  - Pearson correlations (r < .05) were conducted to assess relationships between motivation, years sworn, PA, and RT.
  - Normality assumptions were met for all variables except identified regulation and amotivation, for which Spearman correlations were conducted.
- **Outliers: There was one outlier for PA that was adjusted by recording the next highest value as the score.

### INTRODUCTION

- Participation in physical activity (PA) and resistance training (RT) should provide physical and mental benefits to deputy sheriffs, in addition to job-related benefits. However, incumbent deputy sheriffs and law enforcement officers tend to be less physically fit than their counterparts.
- As motivation is a key factor in PA, this lower fitness level may reflect motivation variations whether from a lack of fitness standards or a shift in priorities (e.g. shift work hours, family).
- To understand these variations, self-determination theory (SDT) characteristics motivation on a continuum ranging from intrinsic reasons (e.g. enjoyment of PA) to external reasons (e.g. reward and punishment).
- Integrated Regulation: Performing a certain behavior because it aligns with one’s identity, values, and needs.
- Identified Regulation: Performing a certain behavior because of the personal importance and value placed of it.
- Introjected Regulation: Performing a certain behavior to avoid guilt or to increase egoic feelings, such as shame.
- External Regulation: Performing a certain behavior in order to obtain external rewards or avoid punishment.
- Amotivation: A lack of desire to perform a certain behavior.
- More intrinsic forms of motivation have been shown to not only related to performance but also more autonomy, personal growth and persistence in engaging in physical activity.\(^1\) Additionally, more intrinsic forms of motivation are also more sustainable due to links to autonomy and competence in the behavior.\(^2\)
- The primary purpose of this study was to explore the relationship between different motivations and physical activity (PA) and resistance training (RT) in deputy sheriffs. A secondary purpose examined how years sworn was related to PA and motivation.

### TABLE 1:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>PA</th>
<th>RT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>27.7 (2.3)</td>
<td>1.5 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated Regulation</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.44*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identified Regulation</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Regulation</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001
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**Figure 1. Scatter plot for years sworn and PA (r = 0.34, p < .05).**
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**Figure 2. Scatter plot for years sworn and external regulation (p = 0.28, p > .05).**

![Figure 3](image3.png)

**Figure 3. Scatter plot for intrinsic regulation and PA (r = 0.34, p < .05).**
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**Figure 4. Scatter plot for intrinsic regulation and RT (r = 0.43, p < .001).**

