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What is new about this study?
$ No Australian evidence to date.
$ Applies data drawn from the confidential quarterly return provided by all Australian bank to the Australian Prudential regulation Authority (APRA).
$ These data has not been analysed by external researchers.
$ Applies new measure of bank revenue risk.
$ Provides more information about the factors impacting upon bank risk.
$ Banks are under increased scrutiny post GFC and increased regulation; these debates should be informed by facts.

First stage results:
$ Does revenue diversification reduce bank risk?

Research Question
How has bank income diversification impacted upon bank risk?

Background
The last two decades has seen bank revenue evolve away from the ‘traditional intermediation model’ towards increased income from non interest income.

What are the benefits of this study?
$ Data covers 2002 to 2008.
$ All banks in Australia.
$ Feasible GLS estimation to control for autocorrelation and heteroscedasticity.

Research Design and Method.
$ Provides more information about the factors impacting upon bank risk.
$ Bank income diversification reduce bank risk?

Model Design
$ Volatility measured using range based variables (log [high value – low value])
$ Controls for portfolio composition, size and bank type.
$ Break revenue into six categories to determine which contribute to bank risk or risk reduction.

Bank fees on deposit and loan accounts are only a small proportion of total revenue

Interest Income is still the most important

Second stage Results: Which components of revenue increase or decrease bank risk?

Overall results
$ Trading and investment revenue reduce bank risk.
$ Decreasing returns to scale in risk reduction
$ Bigger banks are less risky, but only up to a point, the major banks are most likely beyond that point. (This applies ONLY to risk reduction and scale.)
$ Model does not work as well for distance to default (z score) measure.
$ Australian banks are well-capitalized and so the marginal impact of revenue changes on risk are small.
$ Specialisation is risk reducing but non interest income is riskier than traditional revenue.

Implications
$ Bank revenue composition is important in determining bank risk.
$ Non interest income (except for Trading and Investment income) is risk increasing.
$ Australian banks are well capitalised and marginal changes in revenue composition is unlikely to change their level of risk.
$ Choosing banks for peer analysis should consider revenue composition.