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ABSTRACT
Growing evidence shows that non-offending mothers experience significant loss and trauma following their child reporting experiencing sexual abuse. Maternal support offered to sexually abused children following disclosure can be a crucial factor in children’s recovery. Although mothers are often seen as playing a central role in facilitating the recovery of their sexually abused child, there has been little focus on their own needs and profiles. This present study aimed to increase our understanding of the diversity of profiles of non-offending mothers of sexually abused children by exploring the differences in psychosocial traits of non-offending mothers (N = 68; age range 28–67 years) reporting higher and lower resilience.

The mediating role of these psychosocial factors on the relationship between resilience and psychological distress will also be explored. Results found that non-offending mothers in the higher resilience group reported higher levels of positive reappraisal, self-compassion, social support, and significantly lower levels of psychological distress compared to non-offending mothers in the lower resilience group. Additionally, multiple mediation analysis indicated positive reappraisal, self-compassion, and social support to be significant mediators of the relationship between resilience and psychological distress. Increased levels of self-compassion and social support were found to be predictive of lowered psychological distress, while increased positive reappraisal predicted increased psychological distress. The findings of the present study provide support for the targeting of the psychosocial factors such as self-compassion, social support, and positive reappraisal in interventions for non-offending mothers in an effort to promote resilience.
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Introduction
Child sexual abuse is a pervasive global health problem associated with a number of serious physical and psychological consequences that may impact victims and their families throughout their lives (Clevenger, 2016). Child sexual abuse is...
defined as any sexual activity perpetrated against a minor by force, threat, intimidation, or manipulation by either an adult or another child or young person. Research indicates one in five children will experience some form of sexual harm prior to reaching 18 years of age (Collin-Vézina, Daigneault, & Hébert, 2013). Although perpetrators of child sexual abuse can be male or female, the Australian Bureau of Statistics (2006) reported over half of female victims (51.6%) and one fifth of male victims (21.4%) were interfamilial and perpetrated by the father, step-father, or male relative. The most likely recipient to receive a disclosure from children experiencing sexual abuse, compared with other family and non-family members, are non-offending mothers (Allnock & Miller, 2013).

Non-offending mothers can be the main source of support while also dealing with their own feelings about the sexual abuse. Many mental health symptoms have been observed in non-offending mothers following their child’s disclosure of sexual abuse, including clinically elevated levels of psychological distress, intrusive thoughts, somatization, posttraumatic stress disorder (Dyb, Holen, Steinberg, Rodriguez, & Pynoos, 2003), and suicidality (Deblinger, Stauffer, & Landsberg, 1994). Research has noted the impact of a non-offending caregiver’s own immediate and long-term psychological distress on potentially diminishing their support toward their child (Regehr, 1990; Tourigny, Hébert, Daigneault, & Simoneau, 2005).

Parents are reported to experience relational, financial, legal, and residential difficulties contributing to the deleterious outcomes associated with child sexual abuse (Dyb et al., 2003). Additionally, disclosure of sexual abuse to mothers can result in mothers having their children removed from their care for a period of time (Hunter, Coulter, Runyan, & Everson, 1990), suffering the loss of their relationship with their partner, residence, employment, and becoming dependent on welfare (Dyb et al., 2003). The offender may continue to have access to the child which increases the psychological distress for the protective parent (Hooper, 1992). There is also often an incongruence in the views between parents, professionals, courts, and criminal justice responses, such as the police, as to the best interests of the child (Higgins, 2007).

The strength and supportiveness of the relationship between the non-offending mother and her child who has experienced sexual abuse has been observed by many researchers as an important element in the healing of the child’s recovery from the abuse. The ability of the non-offending mother to provide and sustain a ‘normative’ and supportive relationship in the midst of the severe emotional and psychological impacts experienced upon learning a child has been sexually abused, requires resilience (Reitsema & Grietens, 2016). The current study examined resilience, defined as an individual’s capacity to adapt to stress and difficult life events (Thomas & Otis, 2010), by examining differences in key psychosocial resources between non-offending mothers who report higher and lower levels of resilience. Several key psychosocial resources
proposed to vary as a function of resilience that are generally agreed upon in the research include positive reappraisal (Green, Coupe, Fernandez, & Stevens, 1995), self-compassion (Leary et al., 2007), and social support (Tedeschi & Calhoun, 2004).

Current literature on the effects of child sexual abuse upon the parents has emerged from studies that have focused on negative psychological outcomes. There is a lack of focus in understanding how parents go on to experience positive psychological outcomes (Tedeschi & Calhoun, 2004). Although resilient individuals have been shown to experience lowered psychological distress (Fredrickson, Tugade, Waugh, & Larkin, 2003) there is a significant gap in the literature in understanding the experiences of non-offending mothers in an abuse-reporting situation as well as understanding how to support them. Resilience is considered critical to extend the knowledge base around psychological characteristics, as it contributes to adaptive responding that ultimately benefits both the non-offending mother and the child (Reitsema & Grietens, 2016).

One of the first theories to explore the relationship between adversity and resilience was Fredrickson’s (1998) broaden-and-build theory. Fredrickson (1998) proposed that positive emotions broaden a person’s attentional focus and promote the building of personal resources. Research suggests that positive emotions build resources such as positive reappraisal, self-compassion, and social support which are related to reduced psychological distress (Fredrickson, Cohn, Coffey, Pek, & Finkel, 2008). Folkman and Moskowitz (2000) suggested that positive reappraisal is a coping strategy that may protect against stress, maintain resilience, and reduce psychological distress. Self-compassion has been shown to contribute to resilience and increased social connectedness (Wei, Liao, Ku, & Shaffer, 2011).

In the current study, the broaden-and-build theory was applied to propose that the experience of resilience promotes the broadening of psychological resources such as self-compassion and positive reappraisal, and the building of personal resources such as social support (Garland, Gaylord, & Fredrickson, 2011; Tedeschi & Calhoun, 2004). Such adaptive outcomes stemming from a protective characteristic could diminish psychological distress in non-offending mothers following their child experiencing sexual abuse. Further, theoretical predictions imply an increase in adaptive outcomes could also strengthen the non-offending mother’s resilience levels, prompting additional adaption in an ever-increasing upward spiral.

Furthermore, resilience has been recognized as a framework to examine the differences between individuals who either thrive or struggle to cope (Keye & Pidgeon, 2013; Pidgeon, Rowe, Stapleton, Magyar, & Lo, 2014; Swanson, Valiente, Lemery-Chalfant, & O’Brien, 2014). Although previous research has found associations between higher levels of resilience, positive reappraisal, self-compassion, and social support, and lower
psychological distress in general adult populations (Abbott, Klein, Hamilton, & Rosenthal, 2009), very few have examined these characteristics among non-offending mothers whose children have reported experiencing sexual abuse (Plummer & Eastin, 2007).

The current study

To address these research gaps, the present study aimed to add to the body of knowledge on resilience by examining the psychosocial factors of resilient mothers across the characteristic profiles of positive reappraisal, social support, self-compassion, and psychological distress. A multiple mediation analysis was utilized within the broaden-and-build theory which suggests that resilience interacts concurrently with social support, self-compassion, and positive reappraisal, and not in isolation. The presence of these psychosocial factors may carry important implications for resilience-based treatment formulation and the development of prevention strategies. Based on previous studies the following predictions were tested.

Hypothesis 1: It was predicted that non-offending mothers who reported higher levels of resilience would report significantly higher levels of positive appraisal, self-compassion, social support, and lower levels of psychological distress compared to non-offending mothers reporting lower levels of resilience.

Hypothesis 2: It was predicted that positive reappraisal, self-compassion, and social support would all uniquely, significantly mediate the relationship between resilience and psychological distress.

Methods

Participants

Participants consisted of 68 non-offending mothers aged between 28 and 67 years of age (M = 43.22, SD = 8.12) of at least one child who had experienced sexual abuse. Some of the sample reported multiple categories of abuse. Of this sample overlapping reports of abuse by a family member (77.9%) included the type of abuse reported as sexual (72.1%), emotional (32.4%), mental (29.4%), and physical (29.4%). The remaining sample comprised of participants whose children reported abuse by a non-family member (25%) sexual (22.1%), emotional (7.4%) mental (7.4%), and physical (7.4%). Psychological maltreatment is defined as an adult’s destructive rejection, isolation, corrupting, ignoring or terrorizing of a child (Garbarino,
Guttman, & Seeley, 1986). Emotional abuse, although often related is not considered synonymous the adverse effect on the child’s emotional and behavioral development from enduring rejection or emotional hostile behavior (Department of Health, United Kingdom, 1991).

Scores on the Depression Anxiety and Stress Scales-21 (DASS-21) indicating parent well-being for anxiety ranged from normal (20.4%), mild (19.1%), severe (7.4%), and extremely severe (35.3%). Stress ranged from normal (36.8%), mild (17.6%), moderate (19.1%), severe (13.2), and extremely severe (13.2%). Clients indicating depression symptoms ranged from normal (36.8%), mild (17.6%), moderate (19.1%), severe (13.2%), and extremely severe (13.25). These figures are above the prevalence figures in the standard Australian population (14.4% for anxiety disorders, and 6.2% for affective disorders, based on data from the Australian National Survey on Mental Health and Wellbeing, 2007).

**Procedure**

Ethics approval was granted and a non-probability, convenience-sampling method was used to recruit participants within a specialist child sexual abuse organization. Participants were directed to the survey-hosting website PsychData to administer the assessments.

**Measures**

**Psychological distress**

Psychological distress in mothers was measured by the Depression Anxiety and Stress Scales-21 (DASS-21; Lovibond & Lovibond, 1995). The DASS-21 is a 21-item self-report measure relating to three factors of psychological distress: depression, anxiety, and stress. The scale demonstrates strong internal consistency with a Cronbach’s alpha of $\alpha = .91$ (Lovibond & Lovibond, 1995). Responses are made on a 4-point Likert scale ranging from $0 =$ *did not apply to me at all* to $3 =$ *applied to me very much, or most of the time* with higher scores indicating greater severity of psychological distress symptomology. Example items include “I found it hard to wind down” and “I felt that I had nothing to look forward to”. Convergent, construct and discriminant validity of the DASS-21 have been well established (Lovibond & Lovibond, 1995). A reliability analysis of the scale in the current study’s sample demonstrated a comparable level of internal consistency with Cronbach’s alpha of $\alpha = .97$. 
Resilience

Resilience was assessed using the Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC; Connor & Davidson, 2003). The CD-RISC has shown to exhibit acceptable levels of reliability and validity (Connor & Davidson, 2003). The CD-RISC consists of 25-statements the participant must rate in applicability to themselves. Participants rate each statement in terms of how they have felt over the past month using a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 0 = *not true at all* to 4 = *true nearly all the time*. Sample items include “Having to cope with stress can make me stronger” and “Good or bad, I believe most things happen for a reason.” The CD-RISC has demonstrated good reliability with Cronbach’s alpha $\alpha = .88$ and .89, and well established convergent and divergent validity in the development of the scale (Connor & Davidson, 2003). Overall the current study showed moderate internal consistency with Cronbach’s alpha $\alpha = .94$.

Positive reappraisal

The Cognitive Emotional Regulation Questionnaire (Cordova, Cunningham, Carlson, & Andrykowski, 2001) was used to identify positive reappraisal. The current study specifically utilized the four-item CERQ Positive Reappraisal subscale. The items describe general thinking patterns that commonly occur when experiencing a negative events and are rated on a 5-point Likert-scale that range from 1 = *almost never* to 5 = *almost always*. Sample items from this subscale include “I think I can learn something from the situation” and “I think that I can become a stronger person as a result of what has happened”. The CERQ has sound internal consistency (Garnefski, Kraaij, & Spinhoven, 2001) and demonstrated moderate internal consistency of the positive reappraisal subscale of the CERQ with Cronbach’s alpha of $\alpha = .79$ in the sample analyzed. Convergent and discriminant validity have been well established (Hoekstra, Ormel, & De Fruyt, 1996).

Self-compassion

The Self-Compassion Scale (SCS; Neff, 2003) is a 26-item self-report measure used to measure the emotional construct of self-compassion. Participants are instructed to rate each item using a 5-point Likert-scale. Responses range from 1 = *almost never* to 5 = *almost always*. Example items include “I’m kind to myself when I’m experiencing suffering” and “I try to be loving towards myself when I’m feeling emotional pain.” The SCS has demonstrated strong internal reliability for its subscales ($\alpha = .77$ to $\alpha = .80$) and total scale ($\alpha = .92$) across a range of samples and has well established discriminant,
construct and convergent validity (Neff, 2003). A reliability analysis of the scale in the present study shows moderate internal consistency with Cronbach’s alpha of $\alpha = .94$.

**Social support**

Social support was assessed using the Social Provisions Scale (SPS; Cutrona & Russell, 1987). The scale has 24 items in which participants rated their agreement with each item using a 5-point Likert scale ranging from $1 = strongly disagree$ to $4 = strongly agree$. Sample items include “There are people I know will help me if I really need it” and “I have close relationships that make me feel good”. The SPS is a measure that was designed to assess six provisions of social relationships. Reliability for the SPS ranges from .87 to .91 across a range of samples (Cutrona & Russell, 1987).

**Statistical analysis**

To examine group differences, a one-way between groups MANOVA design was employed with resilience as the independent variable (IV) as measured by the CD-RISC. Resilience was divided using a median split to categorize participants that were higher ($N = 34, 50\%$) and lower in resilience ($N = 34, 50\%$). Hirt et al. (2004) indicated that median splits have been considered a respected analytic technique. Iacobucci et al. (2015) has also shown the acceptability of median splits as they do not increase the likelihood of Type 1 errors and are beneficial when independent variables are not correlated.

The four continuous dependent variables (DVs) were psychological distress, positive reappraisal, self-compassion, and social support. To assess predictive relationships between the variables, a multiple mediation analysis was conducted, using resilience as the predictor variable and psychological distress as the criterion.

**Results**

**Preliminary analyses**

A number of descriptive statistics were first carried out. The assumption of normality was met through an inspection of histograms and showed appropriate distribution. The values of skewness and kurtosis were not exceeding values of $-3$ and $+3$ (Tabachnick & Fidell, 2013). Linearity was assessed using scatterplots and revealed a linear relationship between the variables. Results are presented below in Table 1 with a summary of intercorrelations and descriptive statistics on all variables.
As predicted, a range of moderate to large significant correlations was observed between the majority of key variables. Although not hypothesized, a non-significant inverse relationship was found between psychological distress and positive reappraisal.

**MANOVA**

A one-way between groups MANOVA tested the hypothesis that psychological distress, positive reappraisal, self-compassion, and social support would significantly differ between mothers who reported higher levels of resilience in comparison to mothers who reported lower levels of resilience. Multivariate analyses showed that there was a significant influence of resilience on the combined variables, \( F(4, 63) = 17.10, p < .001, \eta^2_p = .52, \) power \( \leq 1.00. \) The results also suggested the combined dependent variables accounted 52% of the variance between the higher and lower resilience.

To follow up the significant multivariate result, univariate ANOVAs were run. Results demonstrated a significant difference between resilience groups for psychological distress, \( F(1, 66) = 11.10, p = .001, \eta^2_p = .14, \) power \( = .91; \) positive reappraisal \( F(1, 66) = 33.52, p < .001, \eta^2_p = .34, \) power \( > 1.00; \) self-compassion \( F(1, 66) = 24.62, p < .001, \eta^2_p = .27, \) power \( > .99; \) and social support \( F(1, 66) = 34.85, p < .001, \eta^2_p = .13, \) power \( > 1.00. \) Table 2 displays the means and standard deviations for the dependent variables between resilience groups. The higher resilience group reported significantly lower levels of psychological distress than the lower resilience group. Furthermore, the higher resilience group reported significantly higher levels of positive reappraisal, self-compassion and social support compared to the lower resilience group.

### Table 1. Summary of intercorrelations, uncentered means, and standard deviations for psychological distress, resilience, positive reappraisal, self-compassion, and social support (\( N = 68).\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Psychological Distress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>49.47</td>
<td>32.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Resilience</td>
<td>.45**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>63.54</td>
<td>16.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Positive Reappraisal</td>
<td>-.09</td>
<td>.59**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10.44</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Self-Compassion</td>
<td>-.62**</td>
<td>.62**</td>
<td>.46**</td>
<td></td>
<td>75.34</td>
<td>19.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Social Support</td>
<td>-.40**</td>
<td>.61**</td>
<td>.46**</td>
<td>-.40**</td>
<td>75.90</td>
<td>11.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. *\( p < .05. \)** **\( p < .001 \)**

### Table 2. Means and standard deviations between resilience groups (higher versus lower), psychological distress, positive reappraisal, self-compassion, and social support (\( N = 68).\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Higher Resilience (34)</th>
<th>Lower Resilience (34)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Psychological Distress</td>
<td>37.35 (31.97)</td>
<td>61.59 (27.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive Reappraisal</td>
<td>12.68 (3.54)</td>
<td>8.21 (2.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-compassion</td>
<td>85.32 (17.29)</td>
<td>65.35 (15.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Support</td>
<td>82.62 (8.60)</td>
<td>69.18 (10.12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Multiple mediation analysis

In order to test the hypothesis that self-compassion, social support, and positive reappraisal would mediate the relationship between resilience and psychological distress, a multiple mediation analysis was conducted. The analysis was conducted utilizing 1000 bootstrapped samples.

Figure 1 contains a graphical summary of the mediation analysis including standardized values and significance levels. The unmediated total effect (Path C) was found to be significant, such that resilience was a significant negative predictor of psychological distress. Further, all three mediators displayed significant, predictive relationships with resilience, demonstrative of Path A.

Further to this, all mediators were found to demonstrate significant relationships with the criterion variable of psychological distress. This is illustrative of Path B, and in combination with the established Path As, all mediators form a complete indirect effect. Self-compassion and social support were significant negative predictors of psychological distress. Specifically, an increase in either self-compassion or social support independently was predictive of a decrease in psychological distress reports. Higher levels of self-compassion predicted lower psychological distress.
Unexpectedly, positive reappraisal was a significant positive predictor of psychological distress. An increase in positive reappraisal tendencies predicted an increase in psychological distress severity. When all mediator variables were considered, the direct effect of resilience predicting psychological distress (Path C') was found to be non-significant, demonstrating a substantial reduction in its standardized coefficient from the original unmediated relationship.

Significant mediation effects were observed for all variables of self-compassion (95% CI = -.60 to 95% CI = -.22), social support (95% CI = -.31 to 95% CI = -.03), and positive reappraisal (95% CI = .11 to upper 95% CI = .38). The total mediation variable (95% CI = -.56 to 95% CI = -.07) was reflective of the indirect effect comprised of a combination of the mediators, which also demonstrated significance.

Pairwise contrasts found a significant difference in the strength of positive reappraisal and self-compassion as mediators to the relationship between resilience and psychological distress (95% CI = .40 to 95% CI = .87). Self-compassion ($\beta_{ab} = -.38$) was found to be stronger than positive reappraisal ($\beta_{ab} = .23$). Further, a significant difference was also found between the strength of positive reappraisal and social support as mediators (95% CI = .19 to 95% CI = .60) whereby positive reappraisal ($\beta_{ab} = .23$) was stronger than social support ($\beta_{ab} = -.15$). Overall, the findings indicated that the relationship between resilience and psychological distress was significantly mediated by self-compassion, social support, and positive reappraisal independently and in combination.

**Discussion**

The purpose of this study was to examine the characteristics of resilience in non-offending mothers of children who have reported experiencing sexual abuse. The study aimed to assess group differences between non-offending mothers reporting higher and lower resilience. The two groups, higher resilient and lower resilient non-offending mothers, were compared on the variables of psychological distress, positive reappraisal, self-compassion, and social support. Further, the present study examined the mediating role of positive reappraisal, self-compassion, and social support on the combined relationship between resilience and psychological distress.

Overall, the results supported hypothesis one. Consistent with previous research, a significant positive relationship was observed between resilience and positive reappraisal (Folkman & Moskowitz, 2000), resilience and self-compassion (Leary, et al., 2007), and resilience and social support (Turner, 1981). As predicted and also consistent with previous research, resilience and psychological distress were found to be significantly negatively correlated, however, there was a non-significant association observed between positive
reappraisal and psychological distress. The result displayed a similar inverse relationship to that found in previous literature (Affleck & Tennen, 1996; Rosmond, 2005).

MANOVA analyses found the multivariate main effect of resilience to reveal statistically significant differences between the higher and lower level resilience groups on the combined dependent variables of positive reappraisal, self-compassion, and social support. As predicted, non-offending mothers with higher levels of resilience reported significantly greater levels of positive reappraisal in comparison to non-offending mothers with low levels of resilience. These results are in line with previous research on mothers of children who have experienced trauma, wherein mothers who reported greater resilience also reported experiencing higher levels of positive reappraisal (Gross & John, 2003). The broaden-and-build theory proposed that positive emotions broaden a person’s attentional focus and promote the building of personal resources (Fredrickson, 1998). In accordance with this theory, the observed group differences suggest that resilience may be associated with the building of the psychological resource of positive reappraisal.

Non-offending mothers who reported higher levels of resilience were also found to report significantly greater levels of self-compassion in comparison to mothers with lower levels of resilience. These results offer support for the association Neff et al. (2007) made between higher rates of resilience and subsequent self-compassion. Further, as predicted mothers with higher levels of resilience reported significantly higher levels of social support in comparison to those with lower levels of resilience. Again this is supported by comparative research (Elliott & Carnes, 2001; Lam & Grossman, 1997).

Self-compassion was found to be a significant negative predictor of psychological distress with higher levels of self-compassion predicting lower levels of psychological distress in line with previous research (Zeller, Yuval, Nitzan-Assayag, & Bernstein, 2015). Social support was also found to be a significant negative predictor of psychological distress with greater levels of social support predicting lowered psychological distress. This finding also lends support to Raffaelli et al. (2013) who proposed that social support has shown to play a key role in reducing levels of psychological distress. Positive reappraisal was found to be a significant positive predictor of psychological distress in line with research indicating a bi-directional relationship between psychological distress and resilience (Zoellner & Maercker, 2006).

Hypothesis two predicted that self-compassion, social support, and positive reappraisal were all significant mediators of the relationship between resilience and psychological distress. Higher resilience was found to predict higher self-compassion and higher social support, both of which in turn uniquely predicted lowered psychological distress. Results indicated that self-compassion mediated the relationship between resilience and psychological distress. Research has similarly demonstrated the
presence of higher resilience predictive of perceived social support found in survivors of child sexual abuse along with lower psychological distress (Lam & Grossman, 1997).

However contrary to predictions, greater levels of positive reappraisal were found to uniquely account for increased levels of psychological distress. The results provide partial support to the implied inverse relationship of positive reappraisal and psychological distress found in other trauma populations (Zoellner & Maercker, 2006). For example, higher levels of active positive reappraisal have been found to be related to higher levels of psychological distress (Silver et al, 1983) in adult survivors of childhood abuse. It has been suggested that positive reappraisal strategies may be used to avoid direct processing of the trauma which initially appear too confronting. In this way, the individual is unable to reduce their psychological distress as they have not addressed the trauma, merely restructured it; in essence, the trauma remains untouched.

Positive reappraisal may also maintain avoidance behaviors which exacerbate psychological distress (Zoellner & Maercker, 2006). The distressing effects of positive reappraisal may be interpreted as a product of the ongoing stressors and conflicts within the child protection system or criminal justice system (Dyb et al., 2003; Higgins, 2007; Hooper, 1992). Within this system positive reappraisal may be a coping method to keep moving forward where positive outcomes always feel always out of reach.

**Limitations**

The utilization of self-report Likert-based measures evaluating positive psychological constructs may have introduced compliance bias. A semantic differential response format may be an alternative for reducing this (Friborg, Martinussen, & Rosenvinge, 2006). Participants may have rated themselves as more resilient or mindful or suffering from less psychological distress, however a reduction to the threat of social desirability was controlled for by the confidentiality of the participation. Although it may be thought that retrospective self-reports may lead to biases or distortions in recall, critical analysis of retrospective child sexual abuse self-reports have shown not to affect the association between child abuse and later outcomes (Brewin, Andrews, & Gotlib, 1993).

A further limitation was that the sample size was constrained due to self-selection. Future replication with a greater cohort of parents from different cultural backgrounds, countries, including those who do not have access to support organizations, and non-offending fathers or caregivers would provide further clarity due to the mixed findings in literature, particularly around the role of positive reappraisal in a vulnerable population. A lack of a control group makes it difficult to draw a clear
conclusion from the study regarding the difference in reported mental health problems between the parents of children with children who have experienced abuse and the parents of children whose children have not experienced abuse (Slade, Johnston, Oakley Browne, Andrews, & Whiteford, 2009). Therefore greater caution is recommended in interpretation of these findings.

**Implications for future research**

The findings of the present study hold important implications for future research and current application of support programs to non-offending mothers of children who have experienced abuse. Future research could extend these findings to examine further relationships among these key psychosocial variables in clinically oriented settings. A subsequent focus for studies could investigate causal relationships between the key study variables, perhaps by temporal manipulation of various interventions. Alternatively, research might investigate the moderating effects of self-compassion and social support programs on resilience-based treatments. In light of the findings of this research and focus on the coping mechanisms of parents, future researchers may benefit from controlling for the severity, duration, timing of the sexual abuse and disclosures, and stage of court involvement. Future researchers may also consider age, gender, impact of intrafamilial or extrafamilial abuse on the relationship to the study variables.

As previously noted, self-compassion and social support may be the mechanisms through which resilience reduces psychological distress for non-offending mothers whose children have reported experiencing sexual abuse. For example, when mothers possess high resiliency, using self-compassion and social support enables them to process the information in a more adaptive way that is compassionate to themselves therefore promoting meaning and psychological adjustment. Self-compassion based therapies and social support have shown to reduce psychological distress following a range of other traumatic events, and this current study provides findings that self-compassion and social support both in combination and uniquely facilitate the relationship between resilience and psychological distress for non-offending mothers when related to the sexual abuse of a child.

The findings of this study contribute to the body of literature on resilience within maternal support, and by extension aid parents and children with post-abuse adjustment (Zajac, Ralston, & Smith, 2015). The findings bolster the broaden-and-build theory of positive emotions and the conceptualization of resilience as a personal resource through its association with positive reappraisal.

The current study is among the first to explore differences in personal characteristics of positive reappraisal, self-compassion, and social support
between non-offending mothers with higher and lower resilience levels. The findings of this study contribute to further identifying the characteristics of resilient mothers who have the ability to flourish under significant challenges. Resilience may be the key to providing adequate support for their child, resulting in the child receiving greater emotional support from the parent (Yancey & Hansen, 2010). Exploring the characteristics of non-offending mothers whose children have been sexually abused can provide insight into the role of personal characteristics which could be utilized in the development of education interventions where governments provide community-based opportunities. Self-compassion and social support based resilience interventions may enhance individuals’ ability to access both environmental and personal resources to develop their resilience in meaningful ways (Ungar, 2008).

Protective factors are particularly significant for those with histories of abuse, as the elevated risk functions as an activating factor to resilience (Lam & Grossman, 1997). Rutter (1987) suggested opportunities continue to exist for organizations and individuals to foster resilience in recovery from child sexual abuse, to focusing on building personal strengths, enhancing positive coping skills, increasing external supports, and generating opportunities for success. Given the centrality of a mothers’ supporting role in children’s outcomes (Hanson, Saunders, & Lipovsky, 1992) a focus on facilitating adaptive factors that mothers can use proactively to guard against psychological distress deserves serious consideration.
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