Using ecological evidence to refine approaches to deploying offshore artificial reefs for recreational fisheries

Blount, Craig; Komyakova, Valeriya; Barnes, Lachlan ; Lincoln Smith, Marcus; Zhang, Dilys; Reeds, Kate; Taylor, Matthew ; McPhee, Daryl Peter; MacBeth, William; Needham, Evan

Published in:
Bulletin of Marine Science

DOI:
[10.5343/bms.2020.0059](https://doi.org/10.5343/bms.2020.0059)

Licence:
Other

[Link to output in Bond University research repository.](#)

Recommended citation(APA):

Blount, C., Komyakova, V., Barnes, L., Lincoln Smith, M., Zhang, D., Reeds, K., Taylor, M., McPhee, D. P., MacBeth, W., & Needham, E. (2021). Using ecological evidence to refine approaches to deploying offshore artificial reefs for recreational fisheries. *Bulletin of Marine Science*, 97(4), 665-698.
<https://doi.org/10.5343/bms.2020.0059>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

For more information, or if you believe that this document breaches copyright, please contact the Bond University research repository coordinator.

1 Full title: Using ecological evidence to refine approaches to deploying offshore artificial reefs for
2 recreational fisheries

3 Running title: Strategy for deploying artificial reefs for recreational fisheries

4 Blount, Craig^{1(*)}; Komyakova, Valeriya^{2,3}; Barnes, Lachlan; Lincoln Smith, Marcus^{1,4}; Zhang,
5 Dilys¹; Reeds, Kate¹; McPhee, Daryl⁵; Taylor, Matthew D.⁶; Macbeth, William¹; Needham, Evan⁷

6 ¹ Cardno (NSW/ACT) Pty Ltd., PO Box 19, St Leonards, New South Wales 1590, Australia.

7 ² Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia,
8 7001

9 ³ Centre for Marine Socioecology, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia, 7001

10 ⁴ Department of Biological Sciences, Macquarie University, Macquarie Park, NSW, Australia, 2109

11 ⁵ Faculty of Society and Design, Bond University, Robina, Queensland, Australia, 4229

12 ⁶ Port Stephens Fisheries Institute, New South Wales Department of Primary Industries, Locked
13 Bag 1, Nelson Bay, NSW, Australia, 2315

14 ⁷ Department of Primary Industry and Resources, Northern Territory Government, Berrimah
15 Business Park, 33 Vaughan Street, Darwin, NT, 0801

16 <craig.blount@cardno.com.au>

17

18 Keywords: artificial reefs, minimizing risks, maximizing benefit, ecological concepts, best practice
19 recreational fisheries

20

21 ABSTRACT

22 Artificial reefs have many applications but are best known for their deployments to enhance
23 fisheries, particularly recreational fisheries. Artificial reefs present opportunities to create new
24 habitat in areas where natural reef is otherwise limited. The expectation is that assemblages of fish
25 will take up residence on artificial reefs and that these assemblages will become at least similar, if
26 not more diverse and abundant, to those on natural reefs. Although designed, purpose-built artificial
27 reefs are becoming more widely used in support of recreational fisheries and many of the historic
28 issues have been resolved, conservation practitioners and managers still face challenges as to the
29 type, number and arrangement of structures and where to deploy them to maximize benefits and
30 minimize risks. The ecological literature was reviewed to develop and enhance contemporary
31 principles of artificial reef best practices for utilization including for goal setting and monitoring,
32 selection of target species and determining optimal strategies for design, arrangements and siting.

INTRODUCTION

Artificial reefs have been deployed in many countries to prevent bottom trawling, to enhance recreational diving experience, surfing, for coastal defense purposes, for aquaculture, habitat restoration, or as a disposal option for hard waste (Grove et al. 1991, Collins et al. 1995, Baine 2001, Black and Mead 2009, Ajemien et al. 2015, da Silva et al. 2020). They are also well known for their deployments to enhance recreational fishing opportunities (e.g. Hueckel et al. 1989, Fabi et al. 2011, Smith et al. 2016, Recfishwest. 2017). In some jurisdictions, these deployments have been justified as a response to declining catch (Pauly and Chua 1988, Milon 1989) or a response to declarations of marine protected areas that have excluded recreational fishers from popular natural reef fishing spots (Fabi et al. 2015). In many countries, artificial reefs have become important elements of frameworks for integrated fisheries or coastal management (Baine 2001, Fabi et al. 2011, Moura et al. 2006, Ramos et al. 2007, Kim et al. 2008, Leitão et al. 2007, 2009, Tessier et al. 2015, Becker et al. 2017, Vivier et al. 2021) however Baine (2001) asserted that 50% of programs had not achieved their objectives, mainly due to poor design and planning (see also Pickering and Whitmarsh 1997, Jan et al. 2003, Campbell et al. 2011, Hackradt et al. 2011, Lima et al. 2019).

Wherever reefs are deployed to enhance recreational fisheries, they are expected to improve the fishing quality. Because recreational fisheries are social-ecological systems the product of recreational fishing is an experience with both catch and non-catch motivations present, although the relative importance of these factors is highly variable among participants and participant groups (McPhee 2008). In theory at least, artificial reefs can increase the catch rate and diversity of species caught and provide an easy to access location (Sutton and Bushnell 2007) which can enhance catch-related motivations leading to greater satisfaction with the recreational fishing experience.

However, siting and design must also consider the possibility of crowding by anglers which can enhance the possibility of depleting stocks in addition to reducing satisfaction levels (Sutton and Bushnell 2007).

59 To meet catch expectations of recreational fishers it is key that artificial reefs provide new habitat
60 for preferably a diversity and abundance of sought after fish in an otherwise saturated environment.
61 However, The new habitat needs to provide production as much as attraction otherwise it could
62 potentially make popular species more harvestable by aggregating them in a known location,
63 thereby facilitating increased fishing mortality (Polovina 1989, Carr and Hixon 1997, Baine, 2001,
64 Wilson et al. 2001, Powers et al. 2003, Claudet and Pelletier 2004, Szedlmayer and Bortone 2020).
65 The problem could be exacerbated if new reefs attracted fishers who previously did not fish due to a
66 prior lack of availability, skill and accessibility, thus increasing overall fishing effort within a
67 management area (Pickering and Whitmarsh 1997). Another potentially adverse effect is increased
68 predation on fish associated with artificial reefs that leads to an overall increase in natural mortality
69 to some species (Leitao et al. 2008). It is feasible that this effect could potentially decrease
70 recruitment to populations if predators and prey are attracted to artificial reefs, increasing
71 vulnerability for the latter. The opposite is also possible (i.e. where predators are fewer on artificial
72 reefs compared to natural reefs) as a result of the isolated nature of artificial reefs.

73 In support of the production hypothesis, some studies such as Brickhill et al. (2005), Karnauskas et
74 al. (2017), Gallaway et al. (2018) and Szedlmayer and Bortone (2020) reported that artificial reefs
75 may act as nursery areas for juvenile or sub-adults of some important commercially or
76 recreationally harvested species (e.g. red snapper, *Lutjanus campechanus*), but until recently there
77 have been very few studies that have indicated whether artificial reefs potentially increase the local
78 biomass of benthic invertebrates and fishes (but see Powers et al. 2003, Shipp and Bortone 2009,
79 Fowler and Booth 2012, Syc and Szedlmayer 2012, Smith et al. 2015, 2016, Folpp et al. 2020). The
80 question is further complicated given that it suspected that density-dependent changes to
81 demographic parameters regulating populations may occur for some species as a consequence of
82 individuals becoming concentrated on artificial reefs (Lindberg et al. 2006, Mason et al. 2006).

83 Regardless of whether or not artificial reefs increase overall production of a defined area, marine
84 organisms colonize them for shelter or foraging (e.g. Rilov and Benayahu 2002). Structures that add
85 substrata that are physically, hydrologically and chemically different from natural habitats can in
86 some circumstances be more advantageous to non-indigenous than native species (see review in
87 Dafforn 2017). Further, if artificial reefs mimic suitable habitat cues associated with natural reefs,
88 but fail to mimic their complexity, diversity or other vital characteristics, they may become
89 ecological traps to native species (Komyakova and Swearer 2019, Komyakova et al. 2021), leading
90 to lower fitness outcomes such as reduced growth, reproduction or increased mortality rates to those
91 individuals recruiting or moving onto an artificial reef (Schlaepfer et al. 2002, Kristan 2003, Battin
92 2004, Hale and Swearer 2016). Recent studies have provided evidence that ecological traps may
93 result from a proliferation of artificial structures (Hallier and Gaertner 2008, Jaquemet et al. 2011,
94 Reubens et al. 2013, Komyakova and Swearer 2019, Komyakova et al. 2021, Swearer et al. 2021).

95 Although reefs purpose-built for fishing have been deployed in Japan and Korea for over 50 years
96 (Kim et al. 2008), these types of structures have only recently become popular elsewhere.

97 Advantages of deploying purpose-built artificial reefs include incorporation of design elements that
98 promote durability, account for local hydrodynamics and substratum types, use non-toxic or non-
99 corrosive materials, and to tailor design to desirable species. Such tailored designs often have a
100 positive effect on catch rates (Seaman 2002, Kim et al. 2008); however the broader risks described
101 above still need to be considered.

102 Iterative trial-and-error approaches to collecting knowledge about how to improve designs, (size,
103 complexity and arrangement), understand ecological processes, and determine the optimal
104 deployment location have hindered the rate of development of artificial reefs for recreational
105 fishing. Controlled experiments to evaluate various options have also been rare (but see Lindberg et
106 al. 2006, Mason et al. 2006) or have used structures much smaller than those that would be
107 deployed to enhance fishing, and the scalability of such results is unclear. Notwithstanding this,

108 contemporary guidelines for artificial reef deployments for recreational fisheries have used the
109 plethora of available studies to develop best practice deployment frameworks based on the weight
110 of evidence (e.g. see USDC NOAA 2007, Reef Ball Foundation 2008 in the USA; London
111 Convention and Protocol UNEP 2009, Fabi et al. 2015 in Europe; Diplock 2010, Recfishwest 2017
112 in Australia). The purpose of this paper is to review some ecological concepts that are fundamental
113 to these guidelines and to refine, where appropriate, key criteria for designing, siting and deploying
114 artificial reefs for recreational fishing. We have focused on a subset of principles within
115 contemporary guidelines that are important to maximize the success and minimize risks in artificial
116 reef programs:

- 117 1. Definition of goals and quantitative measures of success that reflect desirable outcomes but
118 minimize adverse impacts;
- 119 2. Prioritization and selection of target species, based on both desirability for fishers,
120 ecological factors (including life history and predator-prey interactions), and vulnerability to
121 excessive harvest;
- 122 3. Objective-specific and species-driven reef module design;
- 123 4. Optimization of module arrangements;
- 124 5. Siting of deployments to optimize enhancement and avoid unintended consequences; and
- 125 6. Adoption of best practice in operational monitoring.

126 (1) DEFINING QUANTITATIVE GOALS

127 Although most artificial reef guidelines recommend defining program objectives the specific
128 objectives or goals of past reef deployments have not always been obvious, or were not always
129 stated clearly (Baine 2001). Even if objectives and goals are not explicit, the general expectation for
130 an artificial reef program designed to enhance recreational fishing is that it would provide additional
131 fishing opportunities in terms of consistent or enhanced yield of one or more popular species,

132 diversity of catch and accessibility and safety for recreational fishers. Given that other stakeholders
133 may potentially use the area where a reef could be deployed for purposes other than recreational
134 fishing (e.g. traditional foraging, commercial fishing or as shipping lanes), deployments must also
135 consider the needs of other users (Tunca et al. 2014).

136 In a review of artificial reef programs, Becker et al. (2018) found that where goals had been given
137 they were generally a qualitative measure, like increasing fishing yield, reducing illegal trawl
138 activity, mitigating habitat losses or increasing fishing opportunities for recreational fishers.
139 Although this would allow for some assessment of artificial reef performance, success or failure
140 may be difficult to determine. Becker et al. (2018) proposed that artificial reef programs should
141 include quantitative goals with specific indicators for determining success and clear definition
142 around how these indicators should be measured. Indicators could include for example, meaningful
143 and measurable targets for modelled regional production or CPUE, or economic performance as
144 measured against a pre-reef baseline. Importantly, less successful or even damaging practices that
145 become apparent, should be discontinued in future deployments.

146 In the same way that quantitative tools now exist to help guide the objectives of marine stock
147 enhancement and assess release scenarios against objectives prior to large investments being made
148 (Lorenzen 2008, Blount et al. 2017), similar tools could be developed for artificial reef programs.
149 These may include modelling expected trophic relationships and production rates based on existing
150 knowledge of species biology and trophic interactions (e.g. Campbell et al. 2011, Smith et al. 2016),
151 density-dependent processes (e.g. Mason et al. 2006), modelling successional processes and also
152 potentially modelling colonization or recruitment of desirable species to the new habitats based on
153 knowledge of migration and source-sink dynamics.

154 Following on these principles, artificial reef deployment programs that are intended to enhance
155 recreational fisheries, should as a minimum, include two fundamental considerations:

- A fit-for-purpose design and configuration that recognizes the requirements of recreational target species and their prey, while minimizing the potential for adverse impacts on the ecosystem; and
- Optimal siting that facilitates ease of access for recreational fishers but lowers the risk of undesirable outcomes to the environment and other stakeholders (see Site selection).

These should be supported by specific quantitative performance indicators, with robust monitoring and reporting arrangements (see Monitoring). Objectives should also link with broader management arrangements for the relevant fisheries to ensure that production rates and catches at the artificial reefs can be suitably incorporated into stock assessment.

(2) PRIORITIZING AND SELECTING TARGET SPECIES

Historically, the habitat requirements of local species were not explicitly considered in the design of artificial reefs (Baine 2001). Programs in Japan and Korea (Kim et al. 2008) represent key exceptions, and the advances made in these programs have supported the development of the purpose-built concrete or steel modules that are increasingly common throughout the world (e.g. Smith et al. 2015, Recfishwest 2017, Lemoine et al. 2019). Such tailored designs consider durability, account for local hydrodynamics and substratum types, use non-toxic or non-corrosive materials, but also incorporate design features that make them attractive for desirable species (Seaman 2002, Kim et al. 2008). Prioritizing and selecting desirable species is a key component of refining design characteristics to achieve program goals and consultation with the fishers is an important early step in this process. Recreational fishers often preferentially target and prioritize carnivorous species (Taylor and Suthers 2021), although desirable species often encompass a diverse assemblage that is dominated by reef species (Freire et al. 2020). Kim et al. (2008) and Bortone (2011) classified reef-associated fish, in the context of artificial reefs, into the following groups (see also Fig. 1):

- 180 > **Type A – Reside within or on the reef:** species with a very close connection to reef structures
181 through physical contact (thigmotaxic) or visual excitation. They are generally more sedentary
182 and reside on or within cavities in reefs (e.g. Muraenidae, Gobidae, Blennidae, Apogonidae and
183 Scorpenidae).
- 184 > **Type B – Demersal reef associated:** reef fish that reside in close proximity to structures, and
185 are closely linked to these structures due for provision of shelter and/or prey availability (e.g.
186 Sparidae, Sciaenidae, Lethrinidae, Lutjanidae, Haemulidae, Epinephelidae, Serranidae and
187 Labridae).
- 188 > **Type C – Pelagic transients:** species in the middle or upper water column that usually maintain
189 a certain distance from the artificial structures, with association driven by sound excitation from
190 the structures, prey aggregation or production, and current stream refuge (e.g. pelagic carnivores
191 such as the Scombridae, Mugilidae and Carangidae).
- 192 > **Type D – Pelagic residents:** species in the middle or upper water column that usually maintain
193 a certain distance from the artificial structures, but may link closely and semi-permanently to
194 them because of shelter or food (e.g. pelagic planktivores such as Hemirhamphidae, small
195 Carangidae, Atherinidae and Clupeidae, Acanthuridae, Kyphosidae and Scorpididae).
- 196 > **Type E – Reside on soft sediment:** found in, on or just above the adjacent soft sediment
197 substratum, often supported by the halo of productivity that surrounds the reef structure (e.g.
198 Bothidae, Platycephalidae and Mullidae).

199 Bortone (2011) and Kim et al (2008) considered that Type A species have the strongest production
200 linkages to a reef but recreational fishers tend to target types B, C and E (and perhaps Type D to use
201 as bait). The most abundant trophic group of fish around artificial reefs and natural reefs is often the
202 Type D planktivores (Edgar and Stuart-Smith 2014, Truong et al. 2017, Becker et al. 2019). Given
203 that planktivores are an important pathway linking lower trophic levels with exploited species
204 (Champion et al. 2015), it is important for artificial reef designs to consider not only the habitat

205 requirements of the targeted carnivores, but also these small pelagics which serve as their prey.
206 Likewise, other smaller site-attached, non-target species (Type A) may be an important food source
207 for carnivores, or play a role in maintaining a reef ecosystem; their habitat requirements also require
208 some consideration. Consequently, we suggest the following basal considerations regarding species
209 selection in artificial reef programs:

- 210 • Explicit identification of desirable species or species ‘types’ that are the primary targets of
211 the deployment;
- 212 • Review of taxa-specific habitat requirements over a range of life history stages; and
- 213 • Incorporation of habitat requirements of target species and their prey into reef design
214 features and arrangements.

215 (3) MODULE DESIGN and ENGINEERING

216 GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS: Niche requirements of some fish species may overlap, while
217 other fish species (or life stages) may be highly specialized in terms of their habitat (Munday et al.
218 1997, Gardiner and Jones 2005, Wilson et al. 2008, Coker et al. 2014, Komyakova et al. 2018,
219 2019b). Variation in habitat associations among species has led to the hypothesis that purpose-built
220 artificial reefs can be designed specifically to accommodate a particular species or a suite of species
221 (Bell et al. 1989). This has been demonstrated through iterative design of modules in Japan and
222 Korea (Kim et al. 2008). In Baine’s (2001) review of artificial reefs, 36 papers (14%) noted the
223 importance of complexity and configuration of artificial reefs, their size, volume and area to
224 maximising abundance and diversity. The provision of shelter through refuges and crevices was
225 highlighted as important in 6% of studies, particularly in relation to juvenile fish or shellfish.
226 Important design elements for specific species included the amount of void space, bottom relief,
227 height and shading. Other factors considered important to the success of a deployment included the
228 type of material used for construction, structural integrity and stability. Similar factors were
229 considered important in Kim’s et al. (2008) review of artificial reef types in Japan and Korea. Other

230 researchers have also considered that it is not only reef attributes that regulate reef fish abundance
231 (e.g., density-dependent habitat selection) but also trophic interactions, and physiological
232 performance (growth and condition) (Lindberg et al. 2002, Lindberg et al. 2006, Mason et al. 2006).
233 Engineering for protection against toppling, scour and sliding depends on local conditions however
234 these design requirements are outside of the scope of this review.

235 MATERIALS AND LIFESPAN: Early artificial reef deployments principally used materials of
236 opportunity. Artisanal fisheries tended to use natural objects such as rocks and wood (Thierry 1988,
237 Grove et al. 1991, Baine 2001, Fabi et al. 2011) and one of the earliest artificial reefs deployed for
238 recreational fishers consisted of four vessels with other nondescript material (McGurrin et al. 1989).
239 Since then, various materials have been used, such as purposeful and accidental ship wrecks, car
240 and train wrecks, construction waste, metal, and plastic (Grove et al. 1991, Baine 2001, Fabi et al.
241 2011). Some of these, such as repurposed oil and gas platforms and potentially other abandoned
242 subsea oil and gas infrastructure have been shown to be productive habitats (Claisse et al. 2014,
243 2015, Ajemian et al. 2015, McClean et al. 2017), while others, such as car tyre reefs, are identified
244 as sources of marine pollution and contamination (Pollard 1989, Kerr 1992, Day et al. 1993, Collins
245 and Jensen 1995, Collins et al. 1992, 1994, 1995, 2002, Wik and Dave 2009; Verschoor et al. 2016,
246 Boucher and Friot 2017, Kole et al. 2017, Heery et al. 2017).

247 Purpose-built artificial reefs tend to be made of concrete, iron and steel, reinforced concrete
248 (concrete and steel), ceramic, plastic, plastic concrete (concrete mixed with polyethylene,
249 polypropylene sand and iron) and fibre-reinforced plastic (O’Leary et al. 2001). Concrete and steel
250 modules have longevity of over 30 years (Recfishwest 2017, Fisheries WA 2010) and these
251 materials are most commonly used, particularly the less toxic, high-strength marine-grade
252 reinforced concrete (Baine 2001, Spieler et al. 2001). Concrete (via moulding) and steel have the
253 required flexibility to tailor design attributes and to provide for more suitable surface textures for

254 colonizing organisms, such as corals (see below). Welded steel is the preferred material for very
255 large purpose-built artificial reef modules (Kim et al. 2008, Diplock 2010, Recfishwest 2017).

256 Note that different versions of concrete can leach undesirable substances or be less amenable to
257 settlement of invertebrates than others (Becker et al. 2020), or, be more susceptible to colonization
258 of non-indigenous species (Dafforn 2017). Some researchers have proposed potential
259 ecoengineering approaches to these problems (Dafforn 2017). Materials used to construct artificial
260 reefs are under continuous examination and evaluation by reef developers and environmental
261 regulators (USDC NOAA 2007) particularly with respect to the types of concrete and its
262 reinforcement material.

263 **SIZE AND SURFACE AREA:** The size of an artificial reef module imposes physical limits on the
264 abundance of fishes that can be accommodated, while smaller reefs may be harder to detect by
265 recruiting fish (Brown and Kodric-Brown 1977, Hale et al. 2015). Several companies are now
266 patenting artificial reef modules of various sizes. In general, smaller, low-relief artificial reefs (e.g.
267 ‘reef balls’) are often deployed in sheltered estuaries or bays (Folpp et al. 2011, 2020), whereas
268 larger artificial reefs are generally deployed in offshore waters (Reeds 2017, Kim et al. 2008).
269 Currently, the largest artificial reefs for recreational fishing are sunken ships, including those that
270 were unintentionally sunk for recreational fishing (e.g. Lemoine et al. 2019), or decommissioned oil
271 rigs (e.g. Ajemian et al. 2015). There are many repurposed rigs for fishing in the Gulf of Mexico
272 (Ajemian et al. 2015), with similar options being investigated in the North and Adriatic seas
273 (Løkkeborg et al. 2002, Sayer and Baine 2002, Fabi et al. 2004) and Australia (Fowler et al. 2014).
274 Per unit area of seafloor, sunken ships or oil platforms are among the most productive artificial
275 marine habitats, often exceeding natural habitats (Claisse et al. 2014, Lemoine et al. 2019) and
276 Gallaway et al. (2019) reported some oil platforms to hold a diverse array of recreationally
277 important species with total abundances in the order of tens of thousands. Although a high level of

278 production for these larger structures is also related to their vertical extent (see below) we note that
279 some recreationally important species may not always prefer larger reefs (see Lindberg et al. 2006).

280 Some of the largest purpose-built artificial reefs consist of high-relief, complex steel structures
281 deployed in deep water, such as those designed to augment fish populations in Japan and Korea
282 (Seaman Jr 2002, Kim et al. 2008, Ito 2011). The first ‘designed’ large steel artificial reef in
283 Australia was deployed off the coast of Sydney, Australia, in 2011, and its success, popularity and
284 productivity has paved the way for numerous multi-component reefs throughout Australia (Keller et
285 al. 2016, 2017, Smith et al. 2016, Cardno 2018). In Japan and Korea, where there are hundreds of
286 purpose-built artificial reef deployments and module types, the majority of steel reefs are less than
287 10 m tall; most concrete reefs are < 8 m tall (Kim et al. 2008).

288 The surface area of an artificial reef can be proportional to its size, but total surface area and bulk
289 volume is important to productivity and diversity (Kim et al. 2008, Lemoine et al. 2019). Surface
290 area available for the settlement of habitat forming epibiota is directly related to the abundance of
291 food available for benthic feeding (Type A), which enhances productive capacity (London
292 Convention and Protocol/UNEP 2009).

293 RUGOSITY AND VOID SPACE: Bohnsack and Sutherland (1985) suggested that complexity is an
294 important consideration in the design of artificial reefs given that it promotes diversity of species
295 and biomass. There have been many studies of fish associated with natural and artificial reefs
296 supporting this hypothesis (Rilov and Benayahu 2000, Wilhelmsson et al. 2006, Wilson et al. 2007,
297 Hackradt et al. 2011, Komyakova et al. 2013, Lemoine et al. 2019). Complexity can be considered
298 in terms of external complexity, or ‘rugosity’, and in the case of artificial reefs, internal complexity,
299 or ‘void space’.

300 Rugosity is the state of roughness or irregularity of a surface. Greater rugosity can provide direct
301 cover for smaller (Type A and B) reef fish (e.g. Gratwicke and Speight 2005, Kuffner et al. 2007,

Walker et al. 2009). Areas of great rugosity are also more suitable for attachment for algae and sessile invertebrates (Harlin and Lindbergh 1977, Hixon and Brostoff 1985, Mumby 2006). This is particularly so for horizontal surfaces where sessile invertebrates more easily attach to more elevated areas because they are less affected by accumulations or movement of sand along the substratum (Friedlander et al. 2003). Horizontal surfaces also provide diversity of habitat, having shaded and light-exposed surfaces. Even on vertical surfaces some sessile biota, such as coral larvae, appear to preferentially recruit to areas with greater rugosity (Rogers et al. 1984). Given that there are strong associations among some sessile communities, for example corals, and the diversity and structure of Type A or B reef fish communities (Komyakova et al. 2013), rugosity can therefore indirectly increase diversity and abundance of fish. Granneman and Steele (2015) showed that artificial reefs that had relatively low vertical relief and rugosities were structurally similar and had similar fish assemblages to the low-profile natural reefs in the region but artificial reefs with greater rugosities and relief than the natural reefs had fish assemblages that were approximately two- to five-fold more dense and had two- to three-fold more biomass. Similar associations between low vertical relief artificial reefs and low vertical reef natural reefs have been observed elsewhere (Komyakova et al. 2019a).

In terms of void space, many studies have found that reef blocks with greater area and more holes were characterized by greater species richness, abundances or biomasses of Type A or B fish than those blocks with less holes (Kellison and Sedberry 1998, Sherman et al. 2002, Lindberg et al. 2006, Hackradt et al. 2011). Holes on artificial reefs can also provide important habitat for invertebrates (Langhamer and Wilhelmsson 2009).

The optimal amount of void space is highly species-dependent (Bohnsack et al. 1991; Spieler et al. 2001). Small scale voids/holes may be relevant to small, site-attached Type A fishes, whereas large scale voids/holes may be suited to large fish species including sit and wait (Type B) species (e.g., large serranids). Large voids may be less desirable than smaller voids because they offer less shelter

327 and less niches. Shulman (1984) and Hixon and Beets (1993) confirmed that the number and size of
328 refuges significantly influenced the number, size, and species richness of Type A and B fishes. In
329 addition to differences in habitat requirements among species relating to their size, many species
330 also show ontogenetic shifts in habitat utilisation as they grow (Lindberg et al. 2006, Snover 2008,
331 Wilson et al. 2008, Giffin et al. 2019, Komyakova et al. 2019b). Several studies have noted the
332 importance of hole size relative to body size of Type A or B reef fishes as a means of predator
333 exclusion (e.g. Hixon and Beets 1993, Almany 2004a, 2004b). Kellison and Sedberry (1998)
334 considered that the smaller numbers of species and individuals on artificial reefs without holes
335 might have been due to less juvenile and adult recruitment to those units. Indeed, some tropical
336 studies have demonstrated that smaller-bodied individuals (e.g. recruits) tend to occupy coral with
337 smaller branching space (Komyakova et al. 2018, 2019b).

338 In addition to size, the shape of the void and void position on a reef can also be very important,
339 particularly for habitat specialists (Gardiner and Jones 2005, Lindberg et al. 2006). Kerry and
340 Bellwood (2012) reported close association of all but one of the 11 families of large Type B reef
341 fishes observed (including haemulids and lutjanids, along with lower counts of the serranids and
342 mullids) with tabular corals relative to other coral forms, supporting similar findings by Shibuno et
343 al. (2008). Given their canopy, it is intuitive that tabular corals should outperform both branching
344 and massive corals in providing concealment or shade for large Type B reef fishes, but branching
345 corals provide highly complex microhabitat, which is often utilized by smaller reef fishes or early
346 ontogenetic stages of larger species for shelter. From the perspective of reef design, Kerry and
347 Bellwood (2012) found artificial shelter units and tabular corals were functionally equivalent,
348 supporting fish communities that were not significantly different, and with comparable occupancy
349 rates for large Type B reef fishes. Notably, large Type B reef fishes preferred opaque rather than
350 translucent canopies. Other research has shown that large fishes cued to tabular corals for
351 concealment and/or shade (Almany 2004b). In contrast, smaller Type A fishes (e.g. pomacentrids,
352 gobids, blennids and apogonids) were associated mainly with artificial reef units that did not

353 visually obstruct their view. It was suggested that this is because smaller bodied species are more
354 likely to be subjects of ambush predation (Almany 2004a, 2004b), and hence benefit from being
355 able to see in every direction

356 VERTICAL RELIEF: Natural reefs that offer vertical relief are often characterized by greater
357 taxonomic diversity of Types A-D fishes relative to their surroundings (Fagerstrom 1987) and there
358 is ample evidence to suggest that if artificial reefs have sufficient vertical relief they too can support
359 greater taxonomic diversity (Ogawa 1967, Molles 1978, Beets 1989, Bohnsack et al. 1994). Similar
360 positive correlations between abundance and vertical relief have been demonstrated for artificial
361 reefs (e.g. Thorne et al. 1989, Nakamura and Hamano 2009). Boswell et al. (2010) reported that
362 large aggregations of fish underneath a decommissioned oil and gas platform were closely
363 associated with the vertical slopes in the structure. Davis and Smith (2017) assessed proximity
364 effects of small natural and artificial vertical walls on patterns of fish assemblages, testing whether
365 wall size and type affected assemblages. Fish assemblages in the immediate vicinity of both natural
366 and artificial walls had significantly more species and abundance of fish than those on surrounding,
367 low-relief reefs. The size of the effect generated by walls was found to be proportional to the size of
368 the wall, with species richness and abundance generally increasing with wall height and length.
369 Differences between natural and artificial walls were detected, but these were confounded by
370 differences in size between wall types. The study builds on previous work by showing that, within
371 reefs, local areas of great species richness and abundance can occur in the vicinity of small but
372 important reef features such as vertical walls, suggesting that walls appear to act as localized
373 biodiversity 'hotspots'.

374 Vertical relief also plays an important role in recruitment, at least for Type A - C coral reef fishes.
375 Granneman and Steele (2015) showed that a difference in the size of fish on artificial and natural
376 reefs was potentially driven by the enhancement of the recruitment of small, young fish to the
377 higher relief and structurally more complex artificial reefs, coupled with the presence of older,

378 bigger fish on natural reefs. Rilov and Benayahu (1998, 2000, 2002) tested the hypothesis that high-
379 relief artificial reefs had more recruitment of coral reef fishes, mainly Type D planktivores, than
380 near-bottom, low-relief artificial reefs. Recruitment was approximately two orders of magnitude
381 greater for the experimental vertical installations than for the near-bottom ones. Most of the initial
382 recruitment occurred at the upper sections of the vertical installations, which may indicate near
383 surface movement of fish larvae as they approach the structure.

384 Some species also show post-recruitment differences in affinity for vertical structures. Red snapper
385 (*Lutjanus campechanus*), for example, recruit to high-relief vertical structure as age-2 fish in late
386 summer and fall but prior to this age juveniles prefer low-relief habitats with shell or gravel
387 substrata as do older fish s (Gallaway et al. 2009, Karnauskas et al. 2017).

388 UPWELLINGS AND VORTICES: Species preferences to different hydrological effects such as
389 upwelling, eddies and slipstreams can enhance habitat, move nutrients and create feeding
390 opportunities (Kim et al. 2008, Recfishwest 2017). Evidence is building that these effects are
391 important drivers of abundance and diversity on artificial reefs in tropical and temperate
392 environments, particularly for Type D planktivores. In their study of vertical relief on artificial
393 reefs, Rilov and Benayahu (2002) suggested increased abundances of fish around the upper sections
394 of the vertical installations may have resulted from preference by Type D planktivorous species for
395 areas with greatest water / plankton flux. Zooplanktivorous fishes such as Yellowtail Scad
396 (*Trachurus novaezelandiae*) position themselves around natural reefs relative to prevailing current
397 conditions to maximize feeding opportunities (Hamner et al. 1988, Kingsford and MacDiarmid
398 1988), with similar locational preferences by this species also observed on a purpose-built artificial
399 reef in south-eastern Australia (Becker et al. 2019).

400 Metal panels can also be incorporated into the design of steel reefs to take advantage of currents and
401 tides to create upwelling that increases primary productivity (food sources for larval fish). Steel

402 lattice-like structure added to steel reefs can also provide shelter and safe areas for baitfish (Type D)
403 to congregate (Recfishwest 2017).

404 Optimal design criteria are summarized in Table 2.

405 (4) OPTIMIZING SPATIAL ARRANGEMENTS

406 MODULE NUMBER AND SPACING: Module arrangements can have an influence at the seascape
407 scale on the effectiveness of the artificial reef. Individual artificial reef modules can be arranged
408 within clusters to form multi-component reef ‘complexes’ or patch reefs that increase the effective
409 footprint of the artificial reef system. Spatial complexity plays a prominent role in the ecological
410 effectiveness of artificial reefs, and spatial configuration of the reef field has received considerable
411 attention in recent decades to identify optimal characteristics in different contexts (Lindberg et al.
412 2002, Jordan et al. 2005, Lindberg et al. 2006, Mason et al. 2006, Biesinger et al. 2011, Campbell et
413 al. 2011, Smith et al. 2017, Becker et al. 2019). Optimization can be complex and is necessarily
414 context specific, requiring consideration of recruitment and colonisation processes, foraging
415 behaviour of desirable species, connectivity and the expected recreational fishing effort. Decision
416 makers usually need to balance multiple objectives, outcomes and impacts within a finite budget,
417 and careful consideration of the spatial arrangement of the reef field is an important way to achieve
418 an optimal outcome.

419 Determining the appropriate distances between artificial reefs and the number of modules primarily
420 requires an understanding of how far Type B and D fish move away from modules to forage, the
421 halo of productivity surrounding particular reef structures and the hydrodynamic environment that
422 is desired within the reef field itself (as structures can locally dampen wave and current energy). An
423 artificial reef is inhabited by predators and prey and all require shelter (either for ambush or safety)
424 and need to forage. In short, shelter limits local densities of predators (e.g. Lindberg et al. 2006),
425 foraging competition drives predators and prey away from shelter and predation risk drives prey

426 toward shelter (Biesinger et al. 2011). The tradeoff between these two sets the population
427 distributions for predators and prey around the reef.

428 Arrangements can also create interstitial zones between modules that in theory are safe pathways
429 for fish to migrate between modules and are liveable space for some species (Jordan et al. 2005).
430 Not all fish on an artificial reef obtain energy directly from biota living on the structure. Some Type
431 B or D species will use the reef simply as a refuge and leave it to feed elsewhere (Coleman and
432 Mobley 1984), whereas others, like the majority of Type D planktivores, are likely to source food
433 around the structure (Becker et al. 2019). This has led to a better understanding of the optimal
434 spacing among modules so that foraging areas would not overlap and fish would not be competing
435 for food resources, particularly benthic food sources, and creating areas of intense prey depletion
436 ('foraging haloes') around the reef structures (Lindberg et al. 1990, Frazer and Lindberg 1994,
437 Campbell et al. 2011, Reeds et al. 2018).

438 While large steel purpose-built artificial reefs are generally deployed as solitary structures, smaller
439 concrete modules are more usually deployed in clusters to create a sufficiently large reef footprint
440 (Kim et al. 2008). The proximity between artificial reef units within reef clusters had been an
441 important consideration for researchers, given the multitude of biological and ecological factors that
442 affect how a cluster of reefs will function (Jordan 2005, Lindberg et al. 2006, Campbell et al. 2011).
443 In creating a cluster, Kim et al. (2008) suggested that placing reef modules too close together can
444 impact water flow in such a way that it adversely influences fish occupation, whereas Jordan et al.
445 (2005) suggested that modules placed apart by a certain distance combined to function as a larger
446 individual reef. Some researchers have developed more sophisticated approaches to determining
447 spatial configurations and numbers of artificial reef units. The Korea Fisheries Resources Agency
448 (FIRA) has been studying spatial configurations for many years, and Lan et al. (2004) developed a
449 model that can optimize an arrangement by considering the costs, the budget and the deploying
450 distance. As a general rule, optimal module spacing within a cluster should be 3-4 times base

diameter of modules, as this both encourages fish occupancy, and supports fishing within and around the cluster, rather than simply on top of it (Cardno 2018). Modules of various types should also be arranged in such a way to achieve the complexity and niches within the overall reef that are required to support desirable species.

Clearly, the scale of an artificial reef cluster must be large enough to develop a stable assemblage structure and facilitate fishing activity simultaneously. Highly connected natural reefs can have a greater abundance and diversity of reef resident species (Vega Ferna'ndez et al. 2008). Large artificial reef mosaics may also accommodate more fishers who might use a reef simultaneously, and facilitate more diversity and abundance of fish (Jordan et al. 2005, dos Santos et al. 2010). In contrast, Campbell et al. (2011) showed that there are diminishing returns on abundance and biomass with very large increases in number of modules. In Korea, the area of clusters comprised of multiple modules varies but is generally between 100 – 1,000 m² (Fisheries WA 2010). Approximately 400 m² is an optimal footprint, given this is sufficient to incorporate at least four larger concrete modules, or many smaller modules.

MODULE CLUSTERS: Analyses by Biesinger et al. (2011), Becker et al. (2019), Gallaway et al. (2018, 2019) showed that fish abundance decreases rapidly with distance away from a reef-field; such close associations with artificial reefs have been shown to differ for Type C and D pelagic (Boswell et al. 2010, Scott et al. 2015) and Type A and B reef associated species (dos Santos et al. 2010). Further, Scott et al. (2015) found that a fish assemblage associated with an artificial reef is unlikely to be detected 30 m away from that reef. Biesinger et al. (2011) and Becker et al. (2019) suggested that such patterns indicate the value of areas near the reef-field as habitat for many observed fishes, highlighting a trade-off between foraging competition and the risk of predation, with fish more likely to forage in the area immediately around the reef-field in close proximity to the shelter provided by the modules. This holds true for reef (Type A), demersal (Type B) or pelagic (Type C or D) species (Truong et al. 2017). The patterns may also depend on other factors,

476 such as the size of the artificial reef, the composition of the fish assemblage, the propensity of
477 particular species to travel far from an artificial reef (possibly related to their ability to find their
478 way back to those structures, density of prey or density-related competition for resources), and
479 perhaps most importantly, the proximity of an artificial reef to other natural or artificial structures.
480 Optimally arranged artificial reef clusters would ideally take advantage of small-scale movements
481 of fish while also limiting potential foraging overlap. Consequences of resource depletion caused by
482 the overlap of foraging haloes are a reason why the deployment of artificial reefs should include
483 consideration of how clusters are spaced. The resource mosaic hypothesis predicts (in part) that as
484 reef spacing decreases, access to prey that inhabit the soft-bottom area around the reefs also
485 decreases (Frazer and Lindberg 1994). Given some Type B or E species feed on non-reef-associated
486 demersal prey they can create areas of intense prey depletion ('foraging haloes') around the reef
487 structures, and benthic prey depletion has potential to increase as reef spacing decreases because of
488 the greater overlap of foraging activity (Lindberg et al. 1990, Frazer and Lindberg 1994, Campbell
489 et al. 2011). The feeding haloes may have negative effects on abundance, growth, and residence
490 time of fish on artificial reefs if the fish are forced to forage outside of the halo area. For some
491 species, more competition for food and a requirement to forage further afield may increase the risk
492 of predation but some reef-associated fish tend to trade off this risk by limiting their forage range
493 (Lindberg et al. 2006, Biesinger et al. 2011). Notwithstanding this, it appears intuitive that more
494 widely spaced reefs should result in decreased halo overlap and leading to an increased density of
495 potential prey species in surrounding soft-bottom habitat and therefore increased foraging
496 opportunities.

497 Becker et al. (2019) found that a spacing of 50 m between clusters of modules created foraging
498 grounds within the reef-field similar in size to those suggested by previous studies (Frazer and
499 Lindberg 1994, Scott et al. 2015), while creating an increased refuge area for smaller Type A or B
500 species (Champion et al. 2015). Fish occupy the spaces between the clusters both in the epi-benthic

501 zone and the water column, indicating that reefs act as a single unit, and given that many
502 researchers indicate that the total reef effect amounts to between 20-50 m (Fabi and Sala 2002, dos
503 Santos et al. 2010, Scott et al. 2015, Smith et al. 2017), a 50 m spacing among clusters is likely to
504 be appropriate for a well-connected reef-field. Becker et al. (2019) suggested that although it may
505 be possible to further extend this spacing, this could reduce connectivity and risk the creation of
506 isolated reef clusters. Scott et al. (2015) suggested a separation distance of 60 m would avoid
507 overlapping distributions of associated fish, while still promoting a necessary level of connectivity.
508 Given the findings highlighted here, optimal design arrangements are summarized in Table 2 and
509 some examples for different sized modules are presented in Fig. 2.

510 (5) SITE SELECTION

511 GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS: The choice of optimal locations for deploying artificial reefs for
512 recreational fishers is challenging for planners, given that they may need to consider the range of
513 local conditions, alongside socioeconomic factors and legislative requirements. It would serve no
514 purpose to deploy artificial reefs in areas that fish are known to actively avoid (e.g. areas where
515 bottom water is anoxic or where there are other deterrents to fish), where natural recruitment is
516 limited (unless seeding of the reefs is to occur), or, in the case of artificial reefs designed for
517 fishing, where fishing is limited or locations are difficult to access (e.g. strong currents may prevent
518 fishing, or a location may be distant to access points, or in a shipping lane). Other factors to
519 consider include local habitat type, sediment type, protected habitats, current strength and direction
520 or wave action, oceanographic parameters such as water temperature and depth, exclusion zones
521 such as spoil grounds, port limits, marine protected areas, communications routes, proximity to
522 culturally sensitive areas, and planning and permitting requirements (Pickering and Whitmarsh
523 1997, Baine 2001, USDC NOAA 2007, Fabi et al. 2015, Becker et al. 2018).

524 Separation or co-location of artificial reefs and natural reefs is a source of debate. Separation may
525 create additional production to local natural reefs (rather than simply attracting fish away from

526 natural reefs), however co-location may produce multiplicative impacts. It is thought that more
527 isolated artificial reef will have a greater species diversity and be used by more Type C or D pelagic
528 fish (Walsh 1985, Jordan et al. 2005, Vega Ferna'ndez et al. 2008), whereas highly connected
529 artificial reefs will have more resident Type A or B reef species (Vega Ferna'ndez et al. 2008, dos
530 Santos et al. 2010). Optimal distances for separation depend on the relative sizes of nearby natural
531 reefs, the fish community structure (Brickhill et al. 2005, Kim et al. 2008, Komyakova et al. 2019a),
532 the ability of fish to detect a reef, or foraging behaviour (Shulman 1985a, 1985b, Workman et al.
533 2002, dos Santos et al. 2010, Abecasis et al. 2013). Further complicating resolution of the
534 production/attraction debate is the possibility that artificial reefs, were they to include a diverse
535 range of species, function as fish habitat at temporally or spatially variable intermediate states
536 between attraction and enhancement. Powers et al. (2003) estimated annual production
537 enhancement (per 10 m² of artificial reef) under the various scenarios, and found it ranged from 0
538 kg under the attraction scenario, or a net decline with fishing, to 6.45 kg with no attraction, or 4.44
539 kg with fishing.

540 Artificial reef may well function as ecological stepping stones, or provide alternative foraging or
541 shelter opportunities (e.g. Westmeyer et al. 2007, Lowry et al. 2017), thus increasing the
542 connectivity between other non-reef habitats and the dispersion and recruitment of species
543 (Westmeyer et al. 2007, Shipley and Cowan 2011, Keller et al. 2017). To date, the overwhelming
544 majority of reef fish studies have been conducted at relatively small spatial scales, limiting our
545 ability to identify these potentially important habitat linkages in a landscape context. However,
546 many reef species (i.e. several lutjanids) exhibit multiple ontogenetic shifts in habitat use (e.g.
547 Appeldoorn et al. 2003, Gallaway et al. 2009) while others (e.g. haemulids) may migrate daily to
548 forage (e.g. Tulevech and Recksiek 1994). Grober-Dunsmore et al. 2007) found that the availability
549 of seagrass habitat near natural reef patches appears beneficial for recruitment, settlement,
550 survivorship, abundance and/or coexistence of certain juvenile reef fish at close distances but
551 between 500 m and 1 km for adults.

552 Given the risks of artificial reefs attracting popular species from nearby natural reefs and thus
553 increasing their vulnerability to fishing are yet to be disproven, we precautionarily recommend that
554 managers deploy artificial reefs far enough away from natural reefs if they are focused on
555 eliminating this potential risk. Under this approach, based on the likely species present and species-
556 specific behaviours, proposed optimal separation distances of between 500 m to 1000 m (Brickhill
557 et al. 2005, Kim et al. 2008, Topping and Szedlmayer 2011) would be adequate. Notwithstanding
558 this, given the potential benefits of co-location of artificial reefs with natural reefs or other stepping-
559 stone non-reef habitats is a focus area of current research we recognize that a shift in best practice is
560 possible in the future.

561 WATER DEPTH: Few studies have explored the impact of water depth on the diversity and
562 abundance of fish on artificial reefs. In Portugal, Santos et al (2013) showed there were slightly
563 higher densities of fish recorded on deeper relative to shallow reefs, but other investigations
564 focusing on particular species have been confounded by potential ontogenetic shifts in fish
565 associated with habitat type. For example, in a study of red snapper (*Lutjanus campechanus*) in the
566 Gulf of Mexico, there were significantly more small fish (<33 cm TL) at shallower depths (<35 m)
567 and on small artificial reefs than at deep sites (>35 m) (Jaxion-Harm and Szedlmayer 2015). In
568 Japan and Korea, Kim et al. (2008) reported that artificial reefs are chiefly installed in water depths
569 of less than 40 m to favour the most habitable water depth for the majority of Type B target species
570 and their Type A or C prey. Water depth may also influence the ability of some recreational fishers
571 to effectively fish an area.

572 MULTICRITERIA ANALYSIS: With so many considerations to siting, a decision analysis tool is
573 needed that can compare positive and negative effects or values against a list of relevant criteria to
574 determine preferred areas or alignments. Multi-criteria analysis (MCA) is one approach that can
575 integrate unquantifiable and intangible factors, such as expected impacts of an activity on marine

576 benthic communities, with strictly measurable data (Mendoza and Macoun 1999, Herath and Prato
577 2006).

578 MCA can identify potential sites for artificial reef deployments within a broad study area and was
579 used successfully to recently deploy purpose built artificial reefs for recreational fishing in the
580 Northern Territory of Australia (Cardno 2018). An MCA requires the following steps:

- 581 1. Desktop review – to define the overall environmental and social characteristics of the region
582 of interest
- 583 2. Identification of evaluation criteria – including environmental, social and engineering
584 constraints and opportunities (Table 1)
- 585 3. Data review – identify available data to represent the evaluation criteria identified in Step 2.
586 For each data set, the accuracy and currency of the data are evaluated.
- 587 4. Analysis
 - 588 a. Assign performance weightings
 - 589 b. Weighting of criteria
 - 590 c. GIS analysis.

591 Step 4 can be repeated to include stakeholder workshops to refine weightings of criteria. Indicative
592 criteria and rationale used to identify potential artificial reef deployment areas are listed in Table 1.

593 Performance ratings for each criterion:

- 594 > Highly Constrained – highly constrained and unsuitable for further consideration (for example,
595 in the proximity of an existing pipeline, at a wreck site)
- 596 > Moderately Constrained – characteristics that could restrict or are considered to represent an
597 option that would require considerable additional investigation or justification
- 598 > Slightly Constrained – characteristics that while not restricting are considered less than ideal

599 > Least Constrained – characteristics that are in the opinion of specialists consulted pose no
600 constraint.

601 MCA requires consideration of the relative importance (weighting) of each criterion compared with
602 other criteria (see Stevens 1997) and the level of constraint for an area is assigned according to the
603 sum of all weighted scores for criteria (see Cardno 2018 for more detail). Once one or more areas
604 are identified, ground truthing and further stability analysis may also be required. In this stage it is
605 important to verify that modules are designed to withstand the existing conditions of waves climate,
606 current velocity, tides and extreme weather events such as cyclonic activity and 1 in 100-year storm
607 events (Recfishwest 2017). Many of the above considerations are summarized in the optional site
608 selection criteria proposed in Table 2

609 (6) MONITORING

610 In the past, there has been a general lack of monitoring to test effectiveness of, and evaluate risk
611 associated with artificial reefs. Research and monitoring programs to assess artificial reefs against
612 their goals will, however, become increasingly important. This is principally driven by growing
613 environmental awareness and compliance with a ‘social license’ based on the expectation of
614 rigorous evaluation. Demonstrating the performance of artificial reefs against quantitative goals is
615 likely to support this social license into the future (Becker et al. 2018).

616 A monitoring program is integral to evaluate not only the assumptions made about the positive
617 impacts of artificial reefs but also how negative impacts have been minimized, and in some
618 instances in the event of an undesirable outcome, how this could be mitigated (or at least not
619 repeated in the future). For example, if it became apparent that an artificial reef was attracting
620 popular fish species and fishers so that there was a risk of an undesirable level of fishing mortality,
621 then a bag or size limit could be implemented or adjusted. Monitoring should not be constrained to
622 environmental indicators or catch but should be broad enough to consider socio-economic aspects
623 of the artificial reef and its maintenance. In this paper we have focused on the environmental

624 aspects of monitoring given sufficient guidance for other operational aspects of artificial reefs are
625 provided for in artificial reef guidelines (e.g. USDC NOAA 2007, Fabi et al. 2015).

626 Reference sites will need to be incorporated into monitoring programs for environmental indicators
627 to provide an essential context for observations on the artificial reef itself (Carr and Hixon 1997,
628 Brickhill et al. 2005). While artificial reefs may not necessarily mimic the structure of natural reefs
629 (Hueckel et al. 1989, Hackradt et al. 2011, Folpp et al. 2013), the inclusion of reference sites
630 provides a broader picture of temporal process within the region of study and can assist
631 interpretation of patterns.

632 Although some have advocated the use of MBACI (Multiple-Before-After-Control-Impact) as
633 applicable sampling designs for fisheries projects because they have an environmental impact
634 (albeit beneficial for fishers) (Kingsford 1999, Lincoln Smith et al. 2006), in reality, given the cost
635 of artificial reef construction and deployment it is likely the overall number of artificial reef
636 deployments will remain comparatively small. As such, artificial reef monitoring will inherently
637 need to incorporate an asymmetrical sampling design (i.e. a single artificial reef sampling location
638 and multiple control or reference locations). Such an asymmetrical design allows for comparison of
639 variability of indicators within and among reference locations compared to those associated with the
640 artificial reef. Notwithstanding, if multiple artificial reefs are deployed within a locality over time, it
641 may be possible to use the same reference locations for each artificial reef and undertake meta-
642 analysis of data for each new artificial reef (and the references) with the existing ones. Monitoring
643 programs will also need to be aware of non-independence of samples, such as occurs where one
644 sample in space or time influences another. For example, if artificial reefs are deployed very close
645 together the fish may swim between them. If fish are sampled by net or line fishing at an artificial
646 reef on one day, sampling the next day may be non-independent if many of the fish were removed
647 on the previous day. Use of appropriate sample replication and avoidance of pseudoreplication are

648 also very important. Where possible, for every type of module (or cluster) deployed and monitored
649 there should be replicate modules providing a measure of among-module variability.

650 In summary, for environmental indicators we recommend monitoring against quantitative goals (for
651 verifying benefits and undesirable outcomes) and in an asymmetrical design that includes sufficient
652 reference sites.

653 DISCUSSION

654 Only a few decades ago, the opinion of fisheries managers suggested major concerns as to whether
655 the desired “positive effects” of artificial reefs were possible (Murray 1994). At the time, *ad hoc*
656 approaches to deployments, poor choice of material, design and site selection were significant
657 points of contention because poorly designed reefs were still *in situ*. Unlike other tools used for
658 fishery enhancement, such as aquaculture-based stock enhancement (where adaptive strategies can
659 include, for example, adjusting the releases of fingerlings as new lessons are learned), in any
660 artificial reef program there is generally only one shot at deployment at a given site. Given that
661 retrieval to adjust design or to redeploy an artificial reef to a more suitable area is impractical or
662 cost-prohibitive, science-backed planning is essential to maximize return on investment and
663 minimize the chance of undesirable outcomes. For recreational fisheries it could be argued that it is
664 ever more critical (than for commercial or artisanal fisheries) to get the balance right given
665 perceptions are so important to the sector and given catch and effort tare harder to control than for
666 other sectors.

667 Although some previous deployments have suffered from poor planning, there are several examples
668 of good planning, and this has been improving over time. Guidelines for siting, development and
669 construction of patented ‘reef ball’ technology in the United States have been in place for many
670 years (Reef Ball Foundation 2008), and given the recent interest in deploying larger, purpose-built
671 artificial reefs for recreational fisheries, there have been efforts to also develop general guidelines

672 for these structures (USDC NOAA 2007, London Convention and Protocol/UNEP 2009, Diplock
673 2010, Fabi et al. 2015, Recfishwest 2017).

674 Contemporary deployments of artificial reefs commonly use designed, purpose-built structures, and
675 positive outcomes have driven a resurgence of interest by fisheries managers and recreational
676 fishers (Recfishwest 2017). Similar to aquaculture-based marine stock enhancement, artificial reefs
677 also offers great opportunity to recreational fishers, but can come with considerable risks. The
678 responsible approach to marine stock enhancement set a new standard for ensuring success, and
679 avoiding poor decisions by embracing a logical and conscientious strategy for applying aquaculture
680 technology to help conserve and expand natural resources (Blankenship and Leber (1995),
681 Lorenzen et al. 2010, Lorenzen et al. 2014). Considering the ecological concepts that underpin best
682 practice principles for artificial reefs (e.g. design, siting and deployment) that are outlined here, will
683 ultimately support decisions that enhance recreational fishery outcomes and minimize risk.

684 We encourage programs to focus on developing goals that consider both catch and non-catch
685 motivations given both are important to recreational fishers (Hunt et al. 2013, Arlinghaus et al.,
686 2017, Wahyudin et al. 2018, Nieman et al., 2020, Solomon et al., 2020) and that have appropriate
687 means for measuring success, selecting target species, and determining optimal strategies (in this
688 case designs and arrangements). Additionally, we encourage an increased focus on the critical
689 element of siting and determining optimal deployment locations, which can be aided by qualitative
690 tools (such as MCA). This is especially important, as the neglect of ‘composition, arrangement or
691 location’ increases the probability of a deployment failing to achieve desired outcomes (O’Leary et
692 al. 2001).

693 We have suggested that there needs to be as much emphasis on setting goals for determining and
694 analyzing failure, as there is on measuring success. This is particularly important given the risk of
695 undesirable outcomes such as artificial reefs functioning as fish attraction, rather than fish
696 production devices, and concentrating fishing effort on vulnerable species, facilitating colonization

697 of non-indigenous species or becoming ecological traps. It is important for recreational fishery
698 managers and fishers to be cognizant of, and responsible for, potential threats generated by their
699 activity and how this underpins their social license to operate (SLO). The goals we propose provide
700 the means for a mentality whereby we step away from reefs functioning as aggregation devices and
701 head towards artificial reefs that also provide services not only for recreational fisheries, but for the
702 ecosystem as a whole.

703 Choice of appropriate target species requires flexibility, given the global diversity in recreational
704 fisheries and geographic variation in assemblages of fish but must be informed by a knowledge of
705 which species are desirable to local recreational fishers. By designing artificial reefs to suit a variety
706 of desirable species (and their prey), the fisheries enhancement element of reef communities may be
707 more resilient to taxa-specific seasonal variation. However, to promote production, and sustainable
708 exploitation, rather than attraction, the choice of species would best focus on reef-associated,
709 demersal, philopatric (i.e. those that return to their place of origin to breed), territorial and
710 obligatory reef species (Smith et al. 2016).

711 Generally, artificial reefs are designed considering engineering problems, such as durability
712 (lifespan), stability (ability to withstand storms) and cost, whereas the suitability of the structure to
713 target species is often a secondary consideration, if at all (Thierry 1988, Grove et al. 1991, Clark
714 and Edwards 1999, Baine 2001, Fabi et al. 2011), even when the success of artificial reefs in
715 providing suitable habitat for fish depends heavily on the design employed. It would seem logical
716 that artificial reefs that can emulate local natural reef habitats, or improve on them, would have
717 greater potential for production if they not only provided shelter for target species, but also a food
718 sources by providing shelter for their prey (see Perkol-Finkel et al. 2006). The best purpose-built
719 artificial reefs will require interdisciplinary collaboration between structural engineers, ecologists
720 and fisheries managers. Although best practice may suggest decommissioning and module removal
721 at the end of a reef's proposed life is required, we have purposely not included specific advice on

722 this. In practice, although small modules may be retrievable, large modules will unlikely not be
723 retrievable given their integrity will most likely be compromised after 30 years. This and water
724 depth will make retrieval costs unrealistic for most proponents. Given most artificial reefs will
725 likely remain on the seabed once decommissioned it will be important to ensure that they are made
726 from materials that once eroded, do not threaten marine ecosystems. Here it is worth noting the
727 special case of oil rigs, which although not specifically designed to be fish habitat have become key
728 hard bottom to some fisheries, such as for red snapper (*Lutjanus campechanus*) in the Gulf of
729 Mexico (Shipp and Bortone 2009, Gallaway et al. 2019), although only 1,266 oil rigs, from a peak
730 of about 4,000, remain in the Gulf of Mexico, due to removal of these structures on
731 decommissioning. In many parts of the world there is now an emphasis on leaving some rigs *in situ*
732 because of the known benefits to fisheries (e.g. Ajemian et al. 2015).

733 Although there will always be exceptions for some reef species (see Lindberg et al. 2006), bigger
734 reefs generally hold more fish. Large, simple structures are poor fish attractants without some
735 complexity of microhabitat (Kerry and Bellwood 2012). Optimizing shapes, vertical relief, void
736 spaces and unit arrangement associated with a purpose-built artificial reef offer great opportunities
737 for increasing volumes and diversity of catch to recreational fishers. Some compromises to design
738 are likely to be required to ensure that artificial reefs are engineered sufficiently so that they do not
739 move, topple or sink, and are built from suitable materials that promote longevity. Where there has
740 been sufficient flexibility in the design a custom-designed artificial reef can be extremely
741 productive and comparable to some of the most productive marine fish habitats (Smith et al 2016).
742 In terms of the optimal arrangement, deploying more modules and using various types can increase
743 the diversity and abundance of fishes. Reef modules should be arranged in clusters and given
744 clusters have scalability the amount of deployments can cater for the expected amount of
745 recreational fishers so as to avoid over-crowding.

746 Very much integrated with artificial reef design and configuration is the site selection and local
747 environmental conditions. Selecting the appropriate locations to deploy artificial reefs is
748 challenging given not all environments are conducive to increasing production and there is potential
749 for competing use of some areas with other marine stakeholders. Consultation is an important part
750 of site selection and success will be more likely when the demand is understood and the entire
751 community (not just the recreational fishers) is committed to the chosen location, and is kept
752 informed and involved during its selection process and its successes (Tunca et al. 2014). By inviting
753 active communities' participation in the planning process, a program can deal effectively with the
754 social and environmental challenges. The MCA tool presented here identifies the 'least constrained'
755 areas, and has already been used effectively as a framework for the deployment of artificial reefs
756 (Cardno 2018).

757 Measuring both the existing value and the impacts of any enhancement program is considerably
758 challenging for a recreational fishery due to the diversity of motivational factors (Marta et al. 2001,
759 Arlinghaus 2006, Young et al. 2016). Valuing the harvest caught by recreational fishers would
760 considerably underestimate the value attributed to the activity by those fishers who are likely to fish
761 for reasons independent of numbers or species caught. The potential to utilize market values of
762 individual fish and harvests as an attempt to value catch by fishers is also problematic as many sport
763 fish caught are released, though catch-release proportion is species-dependent (Tracey et al. 2013).
764 Notwithstanding this, monitoring non-catch motivators in combination with quantitative indicators
765 of the activity (e.g. catch rate and effort) and the fish assemblage will provide better understanding
766 of success or failure of an artificial reef.

767 Marine stock enhancement and artificial reefs offer similar outcomes for recreational fishers, but
768 both can also be potentially damaging to ecosystems if not properly executed. Even if abundance on
769 an artificial reef were to increase, it does not necessarily confirm that biomass has also increased, or
770 even been maintained, at a regional scale (Bohnsack et al. 1994, Powers et al. 2003) particularly if

771 demographic parameters driving population dynamics (such as growth and reproduction) are
772 compromised as has been shown for some species on experimental artificial reefs (i.e. Lindberg et
773 al. 2006, Mason et al. 2006). Wilson et al. (2001) and Powers et al. (2003) suggest that both
774 attraction and production are likely to interact in driving artificial-natural reef complexes and that
775 much of the question relates to the role of larval supply and density-dependence that drive fish
776 dynamics in general (Hixon 1998, Tupper and Hunte 1998). Osenburg et al. (2002) also considers
777 that attraction and production are not mutually exclusive and can be considered as extremes along a
778 gradient. While artificial reefs may simply attract and aggregate some species, they may promote
779 the production of others and the situation is likely to lie between the two extremes (Powers et al.
780 2003, Bohnsack 1989 in Leitão et al. 2008). If artificial reefs are integrated into a recreational
781 fishery to become key pieces of habitat and fishing locations, such complicated effects will need to
782 be incorporated into regional stock assessment models. This will be a key challenge to managers
783 and scientists.

784 Just as the responsible approach to marine stock enhancement provided a conceptual framework
785 that stimulated the evolution of aquaculture-based fisheries enhancement into a justifiable and
786 complementary fisheries management tool, good guidance for artificial reef programs should have
787 similar effect to recreational fisheries. Advocates of the responsible approach to stocking indicate
788 that not all of the principles are relevant under all circumstances, but they urge proponents, where
789 possible, to tackle all of the principles and to seek new processes for doing so (Lorenzen et al.
790 2010). We advocate a similar approach for managers of artificial reef programs for recreational
791 fishing. While some of our advice around key criteria used to derive goals, select species and
792 monitor effectiveness could probably be applied as they stand to any program, others require
793 flexibility. Our advice for optimizing design and arrangement of modules is based on the best
794 available information at the time of our review; as new information becomes available these
795 concepts can be refined. We also acknowledge that all locations will have different constraints and

796 stakeholders may weight categories in our site selection method differently, but our approach to
797 siting is sufficiently flexible to account for such differences.

798 While this review has focused on artificial reefs for recreational fishing, clearly there are other user
799 groups to consider. In fact, many of the studies cited in this review examined reefs that were
800 deployed for the benefit of commercial fisheries, or commercial and recreational fisheries
801 combined. In many cases, the target species are the same for each type of fishing, so in theory a reef
802 could be designed that would be equally suited to each type. The concepts we propose are equally
803 applicable, regardless of the beneficiaries (although management rules may differ). Examples
804 include accessibility and access rights, size and catch limits and safety and potential duty of care
805 considerations for recreational fishers. Artificial reefs could play a vital role in artisanal fisheries,
806 but would be constrained by cost and access. Notwithstanding, artificial reefs may be a crucial
807 means of supporting fisheries productivity in the face of climate change (i.e. damage to natural reefs
808 from water temperature, acidification and storms of increasing intensity) and population growth. In
809 this context, artificial reefs can play a very important role in future for all forms of fishing.

810 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

811 Infographic figure prepared by Nastya Tushentsova and Dilys Zhang.

812 LITERATURE CITED

- 813 Abecasis D, Bentes L, Lino PG, Santos MN Erizini K. 2013. Residency, movements and habitat use
814 of adult white seabream (*Diplodus sargus*) between natural and artificial reefs. Estuar Coast
815 Shelf Sci. 118:80-85.
- 816 Ajemian MJ, Wetz JJ, Shipley-Lozano B, Shively JD, Stunz GW. 2015. An analysis of artificial
817 reef fish community structure along the northwestern Gulf of Mexico shelf: potential impacts
818 of “rigs-to-reefs” programs. PLoS One. 10(5), e0126354.

819 Almany GR. 2004a. Differential effects of habitat complexity, predators and competitors on
820 abundance of juvenile and adult coral reef fishes. *Oecologia*. 141(1):105-113.

821 Almany GR. 2004b. Does increased habitat complexity reduce predation and competition in coral
822 reef fish assemblages? *Oikos*. 106(2):275-284.

823 Appeldoorn RS, Friedlander A, Sladek Nowlis J, Usseglio P, Mitchell-Chui A. 2003. Habitat
824 connectivity in reef fish communities and marine reserve design in Old Providence-Santa
825 Catalina, Colombia. *Gulf Caribb Res* 14:61–77.

826 Arlinghaus R. 2006. On the apparently striking disconnect between motivation and satisfaction in
827 recreational fishing: the case of catch orientation of German anglers. *N Am J Fish Manage*.
828 26(3):592-605.

829 Arlinghaus R, Alós J, Beardmore B, Daedlow K, Dorow M, Fujitani M, Hühn D, Haider W Hunt
830 LM, Johnson BM, Johnston F, Klefoth T, Matsumura S, Monk C, Pagel T, Post R, Rapp T,
831 Riepe C, Ward H, Wolter C. 2017. Understanding and managing freshwater recreational
832 fisheries as complex adaptive social-ecological systems. *Rev Fish Sci Aquac*, 25(1):1-41.

833 Baine M. 2001. Artificial reefs: a review of their design, application, management and performance.
834 *Ocean Coast Manage*. 44:241-259.

835 Battin J. 2004. When Good Animals Love Bad Habitats: Ecological Traps and the Conservation of
836 Animal Populations. *Conserv Biol*. 18(6):1482-1491.

837 Becker A, Taylor MD, Lowry MB. 2017. Monitoring of reef associated and pelagic fish
838 communities on Australia's first purpose built offshore artificial reef. *ICES J Mar Sci*.
839 71:277–285.

840 Becker A, Taylor MD, Folpp, H, Lowry MB. 2018. Managing the development of artificial reef
841 systems: the need for quantitative goals. *Fish Fish*. 19:40–752.
842 <https://doi.org/10.1111/faf.12288>

843 Becker A, Smith, JA, Taylor MD, Mcleod, J, Lowry MB. 2019. Distribution of pelagic and epi-
844 benthic fish around a multi-module artificial reef-field: Close module spacing supports a
845 connected assemblage. *Fish Res*, 209:75-85. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2018.09.020>

846 Becker LR, Ehrenberg A, Feldrappe V, Kr€oncke I, Bischof, K. 2020. The role of artificial material
847 for benthic communities – establishing different concrete materials as hard bottom
848 environments. *Mar Environ Res*. 161 (2020) 105081.
849 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2020.105081>.

850 Beets J. 1989. Experimental evaluation of fish recruitment to combinations of fish aggregating
851 devices and benthic artificial reefs. *Bull Mar Sci* 44(2):973-983.

852 Bell M, Moore CJ. Murphey SW. 1989. Utilization of manufactured reef structures in South
853 Carolina's marine artificial reef program. *Bull Mar Sci*. 44: 818-830.

854 Biesinger Z, Bolker BM, Lindberg WJ. 2011. Predicting local population distributions around a
855 central shelter based on a predation risk-growth trade-off. *Ecol Model*. 222: 1448–1455.
856 <https://doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2011.02.009>.

857 Black K, Mead S. 2009. Design of surfing reefs. *Reef J*. 1(1): 177-191.

858 Blankenship HL, Leber KM. 1995. A responsible approach to marine stock enhancement. *Am Fish*
859 *S S*. 15:165-175.

860 Blount C, O'Donnell P, Reeds K, Taylor MD, Boyd S, Van derWalt B, McPhee DP, Lincoln Smith
861 M. 2017. Tools and criteria for ensuring estuarine stock enhancement programs maximize
862 benefits and minimize impacts. *Fish Res*. 186:413-425.

863 Bohnsack JA, Sutherland DL. 1985. Artificial reef research: a review with recommendations for
864 future priorities. *Bull Mar Sci*. 37(1):11-39.

865 Bohnsack JA. 1989. Are high densities of fishes at artificial reefs the result of habitat limitation or
866 behavioral preference? *Bull Mar Sci*. 44(2):631-645.

867 Bohnsack JA, Johnson DL, Ambrose RF. 1991. Ecology of artificial reef habitats and fishes. In:
868 Seaman, W, Sprague, L. M, Editors. Artificial habitats for marine and freshwater fisheries.
869 Academic Press. New York p.61-107.

870 Bohnsack JA, Harper DE, McClellan DB, Hulsbeck M. 1994. Effects of reef size on colonization
871 and assemblage structure of fishes at artificial reefs off southeastern Florida, USA. Bull Mar
872 Sci. 55(2-3):796-823.

873 Bortone SA. 2011. A pathway to resolving an old dilemma: lack of artificial reefs in fisheries
874 management. In: Bortone SA, Brandini F, Fabi G, Otake, S, editors. Artificial Reefs in
875 Fisheries Management. Florida: CRC Press. p. 311–321.

876 Boswell KM, Wells RJD, Cowan JH, Wilson CA. 2010. Biomass, density, and size distributions of
877 fishes associated with a large-scale artificial reef complex in the Gulf of Mexico. Bull Mar
878 Sci. 86:879–889.

879 Boucher J, Friot, D. 2017. Primary microplastics in the oceans: a global evaluation of sources.
880 IUCN: Gland, Switzerland. P. 43. <https://doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.CH.2017.01.en>

881 Brickhill MJ, Lee SY, Connolly RM. 2005. Fishes associated with artificial reefs: attributing
882 changes to attraction or production using novel approaches. J Fish Biol. 67:53-71.

883 Brown JH, Kodric-Brown A. 1977. Turnover rates in insular biogeography: effect of immigration
884 on extinction. Ecology 58 (2): 445–449.

885 Campbell MD, Rose K, Boswell K, Cowan J. 2011. Individual-based modeling of an artificial reef
886 fish community: effects of habitat quantity and degree of refuge. Ecol Model. 222(23-
887 24):3895-3909.

888 Cardno. 2018. Design and Siting Phase 1 Report. NT Artificial Reefs and Fish Attracting Devices.
889 Prepared for Northern Territory Department of Primary Industry and Resources.

890 Carr MH, Hixon MA. 1997. Artificial reefs: The importance of comparisons with natural reefs.
891 Fisheries 22(4):28-33

892 Champion C, Suthers IM, Smith JA. 2015. Zooplanktivory is a key process for fish production on
893 a coastal artificial reef. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 541: 1–14.

894 Claisse JT, Pondella DJ, Love M, Zahn LA, Williams CM, Williams JP, Bull AS. 2014. Oil
895 platforms off California are among the most productive marine fish habitats globally. P Natl
896 Acad Sci USA. 111:15462–15467. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1411477111>

897 Claisse JT, Pondella DJ, Love M, Zahn LA, Williams CM, Williams JP, Bull AS. 2015. Impacts
898 from partial removal of decommissioned oil and gas platforms on fish biomass and
899 production on the remaining platform structure and surrounding shell mounds. PLoS
900 ONE:10(9): e0135812. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0135812.g001>

901 Clark S, Edwards AJ. 1999. An evaluation of artificial reef structures as tools for marine habitat
902 rehabilitation in the Maldives. Aquat Conserv. 9(1):5-21.

903 Claudet J, Pelletier D. 2004. Marine protected areas and artificial reefs: a review of the interactions
904 between management and scientific studies. Aquat Living Resour. 17(2):129-138.

905 Coker DJ, Wilson SK, Pratchett MS. 2014. Importance of live coral habitat for reef fishes. Rev Fish
906 Biol Fish. 24: 89-126.

907 Coleman N, Mobley M. 1984. Diets of commercially exploited fish from Bass Strait and adjacent
908 Victorian waters, south-eastern Australia. Aust J Mar Freshw Res. 35:549–560.

909 Collins KJ, Jensen AC. 1995. Stabilized coal ash artificial reef studies. Chem Ecol. 10(3-4):193-
910 203.

911 Collins KJ, Jensen AC, Lockwood APM. 1992. Stability of a coal waste artificial reef. Chem Ecol.
912 6(1-4):79-93.

913 Collins KJ, Jensen AC, Lockwood APM, Lockwood SJ. 1994. Coastal structures, waste materials
 914 and fishery enhancement. *Bull Mar Sci.* 55(2-3):1240-1250.

915 Collins KJ, Jensen AC, Albert S. 1995. A review of waste tyre utilisation in the marine
 916 environment. *Chem Ecol.* 10(3-4):205-216.

917 Collins KJ, Jensen AC, Mallinson JJ, Roenelle V, Smith, I P. 2002. Environmental impact
 918 assessment of a scrap tyre artificial reef. *ICES J Mar Sci.* 59(suppl), S243-S249.

919 Dafforn KA. 2017. Eco-engineering and management strategies for marine infrastructure to reduce
 920 establishment and dispersal of non-indigenous species. *Manag Biol Invas.* 8(2):153–161.

921 Davis TR, Smith SD. 2017. Proximity effects of natural and artificial reef walls on fish
 922 assemblages. *Reg Stud Mar Sci.* 9:17-23.

923 Day KE, Holtze KE, Metcalfe-Smith JL, Bishop CT, Dutka BJ. 1993. Toxicity of leachate from
 924 automobile tires to aquatic biota. *Chemosphere.* 27(4):665-675.

925 da Silva VG, Hamilton D, Murray T, Strauss D, Shaeri S, Faivre G, Silva AP, Tomlinson R. 2020.
 926 Impacts of a multi-purpose artificial reef on hydrodynamics, waves and long-term beach
 927 morphology. In: Malvárez, G. and Navas, F. editors. *Global Coastal Issues of 2020.* J Coast
 928 Res. 95:706-710. Coconut Creek (Florida), ISSN 0749-0208.

929 Diplock J. 2010. Artificial reefs- design and monitoring standards workshops. Final report to the
 930 Fisheries Research and Development Corporation, 52pp.

931 dos Santos LN, Brotto DS, Zalmon IR. 2010. Fish responses to increasing distance from artificial
 932 reefs on the Southeastern Brazilian Coast. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol.* 386(1–2):54-60

933 Edgar GJ, Stuart-Smith, RD. 2014. Systematic global assessment of reef fish communities by the
 934 Reef Life Survey program. *Sci. Data* 1, 140007.

935 Fabi G, Sala A. 2002. An assessment of biomass and diel activity of fish at an artificial reef
 936 (Adriatic Sea) using a stationary hydroacoustic technique. *ICES J Mar Sci.* 59(2):411-420.

937 Fabi G, Grati F, Puletti M, Scarcella G. 2004. Effects on fish community induced by installation of
938 two gas platforms in the Adriatic Sea. *Mar Ecol Progr Ser.* 273:187-197.

939 Fabi G, Spagnolo A, Bellan-Santini D, Charbonnel E, Çiçek BA, García JJG, Jensen AC,
940 Kallianiotis A, Santos MN. 2011. Overview on artificial reefs in Europe. *Braz J Oceanogr.*
941 59:155-166.

942 Fabi G, Scarcella G, Spagnolo A, Bortone SA, Charbonnel E, Goutayer JJ, Haddad, N, Lök A,
943 Trommelen M. 2015. Practical guidelines for the use of artificial reefs in the Meditteranean
944 and the Black Sea. Report prepared for FAO. p. 84.

945 Fagerstrom JA. 1987. The evolution of reef communities. New York: John Wiley and Sons.

946 Fisheries WA. 2010. West Australian Department of Fisheries Delegation to South Korea and
947 China: Review and assessment of artificial reefs for use in Western Australia. Report prepared
948 by West Australian Department of Fisheries. 20 pp.

949 Folpp H, Lowry M, Gregson M, Suthers IM. 2011. Colonization and community development of
950 fish assemblages associated with estuarine artificial reefs. *Braz J Oceanogr.* 59:55-67.

951 Folpp H, Lowry M, Gregson M, Suthers IM. 2013. Fish Assemblages on Estuarine Artificial Reefs:
952 Natural Rocky-Reef Mimics or Discrete Assemblages? *PLoS ONE.* 8(6), e63505.

953 Folpp H, Schilling HT, Clark GF, Lowry M, Maslen B, Gregson M, Suthers IM. 2020. Artificial
954 reefs increase fish abundance in habitat-limited estuaries. *J App Ecol.*
955 <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.13666>

956 Fowler A, Booth DJ. 2012. Evidence of sustained populations of a small reef fish on artificial
957 structures. Does depth affect production on artificial reefs? *J Fish Biol.* 80(3):613-629.

958 Fowler A, Macreadie PI, Jones D. Booth DJ. 2014. A multi-criteria decision approach to
959 decommissioning of offshore oil and gas infrastructure. *Ocean Coast Manage.* 87:20-29.

960 Frazer TK, Lindberg WJ. 1994. Refuge spacing similarly affects reef-associated species from three
961 phyla. *Bull Mar Sci.* 55(2-3):388-400.

962 Freire KMF, Belhabib D, Espedido JC, Hood L, Kleisner KM, Lam VWL, Machado ML,
963 Mendonça JT, Meeuwig JJ, Moro PS, et al. 2020. Estimating Global Catches of Marine
964 Recreational Fisheries. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 7:12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.00012>

965 Friedlander AM, Brown EK, Jokiel PL, Smith WR, Rodgers KS. 2003. Effects of habitat, wave
966 exposure, and marine protected area status on coral reef fish assemblages in the Hawaiian
967 archipelago. *Coral Reefs.* 22:291-305.

968 Gallaway BJ, Szedlmayer ST, Gazey W.J. 2009. A life history review for Red snapper in the Gulf
969 of Mexico with an evaluation of the importance of offshore petroleum platforms and other
970 artificial reefs. *Rev Fish.* 17:1-18.

971 Gallaway BJ, McCain, K, Beyea RT, Heyman, W. 2018. Explosive removal of structures: fisheries
972 impact assessment: Field season 3 Assemblage characterization report. Report prepared for
973 U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management. Contract No.
974 M16PC00005. 63 p.

975 Gallaway BJ, McCain, K, Beyea RT, Heyman, W. 2019. Characterization of fish assemblages
976 associated with offshore oil and gas platforms in the Gulf of Mexico. Report prepared for U.S.
977 Department of the Interior, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management. Contract No.
978 M16PC00005. 75 p.

979 Gardiner NM, Jones GP 2005. Habitat specialisation and overlap in a guild of coral reef
980 cardinalfishes (Apogonidae). *Mar Ecol Prog Ser.* 305:163–175.

981 Giffin AL, Rueger T, Jones GP. 2019. Ontogenetic shifts in microhabitat use and coral selectivity in
982 three coral reef fishes. *Environ Biol Fish.* 102(1): 55-67.

983 Granneman JE, Steele MA. 2015. Effects of reef attributes on fish assemblage similarity between
 984 artificial and natural reefs. *ICES J Mar Sci.* 72(8):2385-2397.

985 Gratwicke B, Speight MR. 2005. Effects of habitat complexity on Caribbean marine fish
 986 assemblages. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser.* 292:301-310.

987 Grober-Dunsmore R, Frazer, TK, Lindberg WJ, Beets J. 2007. Reef fish and habitat relationships
 988 in a Caribbean seascape: the importance of reef context. *Coral Reefs.* 26:201-216.
 989 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-006-0180-z>.

990 Grove RS, Sonu CJ, Nakamura M. 1991. Design and engineering of manufactured habitats for
 991 fisheries enhancement. In: Seaman, W.Jr, Sprague, LM. editors. *Artificial habitats for marine*
 992 *and freshwater fisheries.* Academic Press Inc. p. 109–152.

993 Hackradt, CW, Félix-Hackradt FC, García-Charton JA. 2011. Influence of habitat structure on fish
 994 assemblage of an artificial reef in southern Brazil. *Mar Env Res.* 72(5):235-247.

995 Hale R, Swearer SE. 2016. Ecological traps: current evidence and future directions. *Proc R Soc*
 996 *Lond B Biol Sci.* 283(1824). <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2015.2647>

997 Hale R, Trembl EA, Swearer SE, 2015. Evaluating the metapopulation consequences of ecological
 998 traps. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 282 (1804), 20142930.
 999 <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2014.2930>

1000 Hallier J, Gaertner D. 2008. Drifting fish aggregation devices could act as an ecological trap for
 1001 tropical tuna species. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser.* 353:255-264.

1002 Hamner WM, Jones MS, Carleton JH, Hauri JH, Williams DM. 1988. Zooplankton, planktivorous
 1003 fish, and water currents on a windward reef face: Great Barrier Reef, Australia. *Bull Mar Sci.*
 1004 42:459–479.

1005 Harlin MM, Lindbergh JM. 1977. Selection of substrata by seaweeds: optimal surface relief. *Mar*
 1006 *Biol.* 40: 33–40.

1007 Heery EC, Bishop MJ, Critchley L, Bugnot AB, Airoidi L, Mayer-Pinto M, Sheehan EV, Coleman
 1008 RA, Loke LHL, Johnston EL, Komyakova V, et al. 2017. Identifying the consequences of
 1009 ocean sprawl for sedimentary habitats. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol.* 492:31-48.

1010 Herath G, Prato T. 2006. Using Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis in Natural Resource Management
 1011 1st ed. London: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315235189>.

1012 Hixon MA. 1998. Population dynamics of coral-reef fishes: controversial concepts and hypotheses.
 1013 *A J Ecol.* 23:192–201.

1014 Hixon MA, Beets JP. 1993. Predation, prey refuges, and the structure of coral-reef fish
 1015 assemblages. *Ecol Monogr.* 63(1):77-101.

1016 Hixon MA, Brostoff WN. 1985. Substrate characteristics, fish grazing, and epibenthic assemblages
 1017 off Hawaii. *Bull Mar Sci.* 37:200–213.

1018 Hueckel GJ, Buckley RM, Benson BL. 1989. Mitigating rocky habitat loss using artificial reefs.
 1019 *Bull Mar Sci.* 44:913-922.

1020 Hunt LM, Bannister AE, Drake DAR, Fera SA, Johnson TB. 2017. Do fish drive recreational
 1021 fishing license sales?. *N Am J Fish Man.* 37(1): 122-132.

1022 Ito Y. 2011. Artificial Reef Function in Fishing Grounds off Japan. In: Artificial Reefs in Fisheries
 1023 Management. Bortone S.A, Brandini F.P, Fabi, G. Otake, S, editors. London. CRC Press.

1024 Jan RQ, Liu YH, Chen CY, Wang MC, Song GS, Lin HC, Shao KT. 2003. Effects of pile size of
 1025 artificial reefs on the standing stocks of fishes. *Fish Res.* 63:327–337.

1026 Jaquemet S, Potier M, Ménard F. 2011. Do drifting and anchored Fish Aggregating Devices (FADs)
 1027 similarly influence tuna feeding habits? A case study from the western Indian Ocean. *Fish*
 1028 *Res.* 107(1-3): 283-290.

1029 Jaxion-Harm J, Szedlmayer ST. 2015. Depth and artificial reef type effects on size and distribution
 1030 of Red Snapper in the Northern Gulf of Mexico. *N Am J Fish Manage.* 35(1):86-96.

1031 Jordan LK, Gilliam DS, Spieler RE. 2005. Reef fish assemblage structure affected by small-scale
1032 spacing and size variations of artificial patch reefs. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol.* 326(2):170-186.

1033 Karnauskas M, Walter III JF, Campbell MD, Pollack AG, Drymon JM, Powers S. 2017. Red
1034 snapper distribution on natural habitats and artificial structures in the northern Gulf of
1035 Mexico. *Mar Coast Fish.* 9(1): 50-67.

1036 Keller K, Steffe AS, Lowry M, Murphy JJ, Suthers IM. 2016. Monitoring boat-based recreational
1037 fishing effort at a nearshore artificial reef with a shore-based camera. *Fish Res.* 181:84-92.

1038 Keller K, Smith JA, Lowry MB, Taylor MD, Suthers IM. 2017. Multispecies presence and
1039 connectivity around a designed artificial reef. *Mar Freshw Res.* 68(8):1489-1500.

1040 Kellison TG, Sedberry GR. 1998. The effects of artificial reef vertical profile and hole diameter on
1041 fishes off South Carolina. *Bulletin Mar Sci* 62(3):763-780.

1042 Kerr S. 1992. Artificial reefs in Australia. Their construction, location and function. Bureau of
1043 Rural Resources. Working Paper No. WP/8/92.

1044 Kerry JT, Bellwood DR. 2012. The effect of coral morphology on shelter selection by coral reef
1045 fishes. *Coral Reefs.* 31(2):415-424.

1046 Kingsford MJ. 1999. Fish Attraction Devices (FADs) and experimental designs. *Sci Mar.* 63(3-
1047 4):181-190.

1048 Kingsford MJ, MacDiarmid AB. 1988. Interrelations between planktivorous reef fish and
1049 zooplankton in temperate waters. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser.* 48:103–117.

1050 Kim CG, Kim HS, Baik H, Kakimoto H, Seaman, W. 2008. Design of artificial reefs and their
1051 effectiveness in the fisheries of eastern Asia. *Am Fish S S.* 49:933-942.

1052 Kole PJ, Löhr, AJ, Van Belleghem, FG, Ragas, AM. 2017. Wear and tear of tyres: a stealthy source
1053 of microplastics in the environment. *Int J Env Res Pub He.* 14(10):1265.

1054 Komyakova V, Swearer SE. 2019. Contrasting patterns in habitat selection and recruitment of
 1055 temperate reef fishes among natural and artificial reefs. *Marine Env Res* 143:71-81.

1056 Komyakova V, Munday PL, Jones GP. 2013. Relative Importance of Coral Cover, Habitat
 1057 Complexity and Diversity in Determining the Structure of Reef Fish Communities. *PLoS*
 1058 *ONE*, 8(12), e83178.

1059 Komyakova V, Jones GP, Munday PL. 2018. Strong effects of coral species on the diversity and
 1060 structure of reef fish communities: A multi-scale analysis. *PloS one*, 13(8), p.e0202206.

1061 Komyakova V, Chamberlain D, Jones GP, Swearer SE. 2019a. Assessing the performance of
 1062 artificial reefs as substitute habitat for temperate reef fishes: Implications for reef design and
 1063 placement. *Sci Tot Env*. 668:139-152.

1064 Komyakova V, Munday PL, Jones GP. 2019b. Comparative analysis of habitat use and ontogenetic
 1065 habitat-shifts among coral reef damselfishes. *Env Biol Fish*. 102(9):1201-1218.

1066 Komyakova V, Chamberlain D, Swearer SE. 2021. A multi-species assessment of artificial reefs as
 1067 ecological traps. *Ecol Eng*.171: 106394.

1068 Kristan WB. 2003. The role of habitat selection behavior in population dynamics: source–sink
 1069 systems and ecological traps. *Oikos*. 103(3):457-468.

1070 Kuffner IB, Brock JC, Grober-Dunsmore R, Bonito VE, Hickey TD, Wright CW. 2007.
 1071 Relationships between reef fish communities and remotely sensed rugosity measurements in
 1072 Biscayne National Park, Florida, USA. *EnvBiol Fish*. 78:71-82.

1073 Lan CH, Chen CC, Hsui CY. 2004. An approach to design spatial configuration of artificial reef
 1074 ecosystem. *Ecol Eng*. 22(4-5):217-226.

1075 Langhamer O, Wilhelmsson D. 2009. Colonisation of fish and crabs of wave energy foundations
 1076 and the effects of manufactured holes – a field experiment. *Mar Env Res*. 68(4):151-157.

1077 Leitão F, Santos MN, Monteiro CC. 2007. Contribution of artificial reefs to the diet of the white sea
 1078 bream (*Diplodus sargus*). ICES J Mar Sci. 64:473–478.

1079 Leitão F, Santos M, Erzini K, Monteiro C. 2008. The effect of predation on artificial reef juvenile
 1080 demersal fish species. Mar Biol. 153: 1233-1244.

1081 Leitão F, Santos MN, Erzini K, Monteiro CC. 2009. *Diplodus* spp. assemblages on artificial reefs:
 1082 importance for near shore fisheries. Fish Man Ecol. 16(2):88–99.
 1083 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2400.2008.00646.x>

1084 Lemoine H, Paxton AB, Anisfield SC, Rosemond CR. 2019. Selecting the optimal artificial reefs to
 1085 achieve fish habitat enhancement goals. Biol Con. 238:108200.
 1086 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2019.108200>

1087 Lima JS, Zalmon IR, Love M. 2019. Overview and trends of ecological and socioeconomic research
 1088 on artificial reefs. Mar Env Res.145:81-96.

1089 Lincoln Smith MP, Pitt KA, Bell JD, Mapstone, BD. 2006. Using impact assessment methods to
 1090 determine the effects of a marine reserve on abundances and sizes of valuable tropical
 1091 invertebrates. Can J Fish Aqu Sci. 63(6):1251-1266.

1092 Lindberg WJ, Frazer TK, Stanton GR. 1990. Population effects of refuge dispersion for adult stone
 1093 crabs (*Xanthidae*, *Menippe*). Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 66:239-249.

1094 Lindberg, WJ, Mason D, Murie D. 2002. Habitat-mediated predator-prey interactions: implications
 1095 for sustainable production of gag grouper. Final Project Report (grant no. R/LR-B-49).
 1096 Florida Sea Grant College Program. 60 p. [http://www.glerl.noaa.gov/res/](http://www.glerl.noaa.gov/res/Task_rpts/Resources/edymason09-3projrpt.pdf)
 1097 [Task_rpts/Resources/edymason09-3projrpt.pdf](http://www.glerl.noaa.gov/res/Task_rpts/Resources/edymason09-3projrpt.pdf)

1098 Lindberg WJ, Frazer TK, Portier K, Vose F, Loftin J, Murie DJ, Mason DM, Nagy B, Hart MK.
 1099 2006. Density-dependent habitat selection and performance by a large mobile reef fish. Ecol
 1100 Appl. 16(2): 731–746.

1101 Løkkeborg S, Humborstad OB, Jørgensen T, Soldal AV. 2002. Spatio-temporal variations in gillnet
 1102 catch rates in the vicinity of North Sea oil platforms. *ICES J Mar Sci.* 59(suppl):S294-S299.

1103 London Convention and Protocol/UNEP 2009. London Convention and Protocol/UNEP Guidelines
 1104 for the Placement of Artificial Reefs. London, UK. 100 pp.

1105 Lorenzen K. 2008. Understanding and managing enhancement fisheries systems. *Reviews in Fish*
 1106 *Sci.* 16:10-23

1107 Lorenzen K. 2014. Understanding and managing enhancements: why fisheries scientists should
 1108 care. *J Fish Biol.* 85(6):1807-1829.

1109 Lorenzen K, Leber KM, Blankenship, LH. 2010. Responsible approach to marine stock
 1110 enhancement: an update. *Rev Fish Sci.* 18(2):189-210.

1111 Lowry M, Becker A, Folpp H, McLeod J, Taylor MD. 2017. Residency and movement patterns of
 1112 yellowfin bream (*Acanthopagrus australis*) released at natural and artificial reef sites. *Mar*
 1113 *Freshw Res.* 68:1479-1488.

1114 Marta P, Bochechas J, Collares-Pereira MJ. 2001. Importance of recreational fisheries in the
 1115 Guadiana River Basin in Portugal. *Fish Man Ecol.* 8(4-5):345-354.

1116 Mason DM, Nagy B, Butler M, Larsen S, Murie DJ, Lindberg WJ. 2006. Integration of
 1117 technologies for understanding the functional relationship between reef habitat and fish
 1118 growth and production. *Professional Paper NMFS* 5: 105-116.

1119 McLean DL, Partidge JC, Bond T, Birt MJ, Bornt KR, Langlois TJ. 2017. Using industry ROV
 1120 videos to assess fish associations with subsea pipelines. *Cont Shelf Res.* 141:76 -97.

1121 McGlennon D, Branden KL. 1994. Comparison of catch and recreational anglers fishing on artificial
 1122 reefs and natural seabed in Gulf-St-Vincent, South Australia. *Bull Mar Sci.* 55:510–523.

1123 McGurrin JM, Stone RB, Sousa RJ. 1989. Profiling United States Artificial Reef Development.
 1124 *Bull Mar Sci.* 44(2):1004-1013.

- 1125 McPhee DP. 2008. Fisheries Management in Australia. Annandale (NSW), Federation Press.
- 1126 Mendoza G, Macoun R. 1999. Guidelines for Applying Multi-criteria Analysis to the Assessment of
1127 Criteria and Indicators. Center for International Forestry Research.
- 1128 Milon JM. 1989. Artificial marine habitat characteristics and participation behavior by sport anglers
1129 and divers. Bull Mar Sci. 44:853–862.
- 1130 Molles Jr MC. 1978. Fish species diversity on model and natural reef patches: experimental insular
1131 biogeography. Ecol Monogr. 48(3):289-305.
- 1132 Moura A, Boaventura D, Cúrdia J, Santos MN, Monteiro CC. 2006. Biomass production of early
1133 macrobenthic communities at the Faro/Ancão artificial reef (Portugal): effect of depth and
1134 reef layer. Bull Mar Sci. 78(1):83-92.
- 1135 Mumby PJ. 2006. The impact of exploiting grazers (Scaridae) on the dynamics of Caribbean coral
1136 reefs., Ecol App. 16:747-769.
- 1137 Munday PL, Jones GP, Caley MJ. 1997. Habitat specialisation and the distribution and abundance
1138 of coral-dwelling gobies. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 152:227-239.
- 1139 Murray JD. 1994. A policy and management assessment of U.S. artificial reef programs. Bull Mar
1140 Sci 55(2):960-969.
- 1141 Nakamura T, Hamano, A. 2009. Seasonal differences in the vertical distribution pattern of Japanese
1142 jack mackerel, *Trachurus japonicus*: changes according to age? ICES J Mar Sci. 66(6):1289-
1143 1295.
- 1144 Nieman, CL, Iwicky C, Lynch AJ, Sass GG, Solomon CT, Trudeau A, van Poorten B. 2020. Creel
1145 Surveys for Social-Ecological-Systems Focused Fisheries Management. Rev Fish Sci Aquac.
1146 1-20.
- 1147 Ogawa Y. 1967. Experiments on the attractiveness of artificial reefs for marine fishes. VII.
1148 Attraction of fishes to the various sizes of model reefs. Bull Jap Soc Sci Fish. 33:801-811.

- 1149 O'Leary E, Hubbard T, O'Leary D. 2001. Artificial Reefs Feasibility Study. Marine Resource Series
1150 Marine Institute 2001. p. 48.
- 1151 Osenberg CW, St. Mary CM, Wilson JA, Lindberg WJ. 2002. A quantitative framework to evaluate
1152 the attraction–production controversy. ICES J Mar Sci. 59(Suppl.), S214–S221.
1153 <https://doi.org/10.1006/JMSC.2002.1222>
- 1154 Pauly D, Chua TE. 1988. The overfishing of marine resources: socioeconomic background in
1155 Southeast Asia. *Ambio*. 17(3):200-206.
- 1156 Pelicice F, Agostinho AA. 2008. Fish-passage facilities as ecological traps in large neotropical
1157 rivers. *Conserv Biol*. 22(1):180-188.
- 1158 Perkol-Finkel S, Shashar N, Benayahu Y. 2006. Can artificial reefs mimic natural reef
1159 communities? The roles of structural features and age. *Mar Env Res*. 61(2):121-135
- 1160 Pickering H, Whitmarsh D. 1997. Artificial reefs and fisheries exploitation: a review of the
1161 “attraction versus production” debate, the influence of design and its significance for policy.
1162 *Fish Res*. 31:39-59.
- 1163 Pollard DA. 1989. Artificial habitats for fisheries enhancement in the Australian region. *Marine*
1164 *Fish Rev*. 51(4):11-26.
- 1165 Polovina JJ. 1989. Artificial reefs: Nothing more than benthic fish aggregators. Reports of
1166 California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigations. 30:37–39.
- 1167 Powers SP, Grabowski JH, Peterson CH, Lindberg WJ. 2003. Estimating enhancement of fish
1168 production by offshore artificial reefs: uncertainty exhibited by divergent scenarios. *Mar Ecol*
1169 *Prog Ser*. 264: 265–277.
- 1170 Ramos J, Santos MN, Whitmarsh D, Monteiro CC. 2007. Stakeholder perceptions regarding the
1171 environmental and socio-economic impacts of the Algarve artificial reefs. *Hydrobiologia*.
1172 580(1):181-191.

1173 Recfishwest. 2017. Artificial reefs in Australia: a guide to developing aquatic habitat enhancement
1174 structures. p. 26.

1175 Reeds K. 2017. Offshore artificial reefs: patterns in fish, soft sediment, and sessile assemblages.
1176 Master Thesis. p. 328.

1177 Reeds K, Smith, JA, Suthers IM, Johnston, EL. 2018. An ecological halo surrounding a large
1178 offshore artificial reef: Sediments, infauna, and fish foraging. Mar Env Res. 141:30-38.
1179 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2018.07.011>

1180 Reef Ball Foundation. 2008. A step-by-step guide for grassroots efforts to Reef Rehabilitation. A
1181 publication of the Reef Ball Foundation. p. 134.

1182 Reubens JT, Vandendriessche S, Zenner AN, Degraer S, Vinc, M. 2013. Offshore wind farms as
1183 productive sites or ecological traps for gadoid fishes? – impact on growth, condition index
1184 and diet composition. Mar Env Res. 90:66-74.

1185 Rilov G, Benayahu Y. 1998. Vertical artificial structures as an alternative habitat for coral reef
1186 fishes in disturbed environments. Mar Env Res. 45(4–5):431-451.

1187 Rilov G, Benayahu Y. 2000. Fish assemblage on natural versus vertical artificial reefs: the
1188 rehabilitation perspective. Mar Biol. 136(5):931-942.

1189 Rilov G, Benayahu Y. 2002. Rehabilitation of coral reef-fish communities: the importance of
1190 artificial-reef relief to recruitment rates. Bull Mar Sci. 70(1):185-197.

1191 Robertson BA, Hutto RL. 2006. A framework for understanding ecological traps and an evaluation
1192 of existing evidence. Ecology. 87(5):1075-1085.

1193 Rogers CS, Fitz HC, Gilnack M, Beets J, Hardin J. 1984. Scleractinian coral recruitment patterns at
1194 Salt River Submarine Canyon, St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands. Coral Reefs. 3(2):69-76

1195 Santos MN, Oliveira MT, Curdia J. 2013. A comparison of the fish assemblages on natural and
1196 artificial reefs off Sal Island (Cape Verde). J Mar Biol Assoc UK. 93(2):437-452.

- 1197 Sayer MDJ, Baine MSP. 2002. Rigs to reefs: a critical evaluation of the potential for reef
1198 development using decommissioned rigs. *Underwater Technol.* 25(2):93-98.
- 1199 Schlaepfer MA, Runge MC, Sherman PW. 2002. Ecological and evolutionary traps. *Trends Ecol*
1200 *Evol.* 17(10):474-480.
- 1201 Scott ME, Smith JA, Lowry MB, Taylor MD, Suthers IM. 2015. The influence of an offshore
1202 artificial reef on the abundance of fish in the surrounding pelagic environment. *Mar Freshw*
1203 *Res.* 66:429-437.
- 1204 Seaman Jr W. 2002. Unifying trends and opportunities in global artificial reef research, including
1205 evaluation. *ICES J Mar Sci.* 59 (suppl): S14-S16.
- 1206 Sherman RL, Gilliam DS, Spieler RE. 2002. Artificial reef design: void space, complexity, and
1207 attractants. *ICES JMar Sci.* 59:S196-S200.
- 1208 Shibuno T, Nakamura Y, Horinouchi M, Sano M. 2008. Habitat use patterns of fishes across the
1209 mangrove-seagrass-coral reef seascape at Ishigaki Island, southern Japan. *Ichthyol Res.*
1210 55(3):218-237.
- 1211 Shipley JB, Cowan Jr JH. 2011. Artificial reef placement: a red snapper, *Lutjanus campechanus*,
1212 ecosystem and fuzzy rule-based model. *Fisheries Manage Ecol.* 18(2):154–167.
1213 <https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1365-2400.2010.00765.X>
- 1214 Shipp RL, Bortone SA. 2009. A prospective of the importance of artificial habitat on the
1215 management of red snapper in the Gulf of Mexico. *Rev Fish Sci.* 17(1): 41-47.
- 1216 Shulman MJ. 1984. Resource limitation and recruitment patterns in a coral reef fish assemblage. *J*
1217 *Exp Mar Biol Ecol.* 4(1):85-109.
- 1218 Shulman MJ. 1985a. Recruitment of coral reef fishes: effects of distribution of predators and
1219 shelter. *Ecology.* 66(3):1056-1066.

1220 Shulman MJ. 1985b. Coral reef fish assemblages: intra-and interspecific competition for shelter
1221 sites. *Environ Biol Fish.* 13(2): 81-92.

1222 Smith JA, Lowry MB, Suthers IM. 2015. Fish attraction to artificial reefs not always harmful: a
1223 simulation study. *Ecol Evol.* 5:4590–4602. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ECE3.1730>

1224 Smith JA, Lowry MB, Champion C, Suthers IM. 2016. A designed artificial reef is among the most
1225 productive marine fish habitats: new metrics to address ‘production versus attraction’. *Mar*
1226 *Biol.* 163, 188(2016). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-016-2967-y>

1227 Smith JA, Cornwell WK, Lowry MB, Suthers IM. 2017. Modelling the distribution of fish around
1228 an artificial reef. *Mar Freshw Res.* 68:1955–1964.

1229 Snover ML. 2008. Ontogenetic habitat shifts in marine organisms: influencing factors and the
1230 impact of climate variability. *Bull Mar Sci.* 83(1):53–67.

1231 Solomon CT, Dassow CJ, Iwicki CM, Jensen OP, Jones SE, Sass GG, Trudea A, van Poorten BT,
1232 Whittaker D. 2020. Frontiers in modelling social–ecological dynamics of recreational
1233 fisheries: A review and synthesis. *Fish Fisheries.* 21(5): 973-991.

1234 Spieler RE, Gilliam DS, Sherman RL. 2001. Artificial substrate and coral reef restoration: what do
1235 we need to know to know what we need. *Bull Mar Sci.* 69(2):1013-1030.

1236 Stevens, D. 1997. *Strategic Thinking: success secrets of big business project.* Sydney, McGraw-Hill
1237 Book Company, Sydney.

1238 Sutton SG, Bushnell SL. 2007. Socio-economic aspects of artificial reefs: Considerations for the
1239 Great Barrier Reef Marine Park. *Ocean Coast Man.* 50(10): 829-846.

1240 Swearer SE, Morris RL, Barrett LT, Sievers M, Dempster T, Hale R. 2021. An overview of
1241 ecological traps in marine ecosystems. *Front Ecol Env.* 19(4): 234-242. Syc TS, Szedlmayer
1242 ST. 2012. A comparison of size and age of red snapper (*Lutjanus campechanus*) with the age
1243 of artificial reefs in the northern Gulf of Mexico. *Fish Bull.* 110:458-469.

- 1244 Szedlmayer ST, Bortone SA. (Eds.) 2020. Red Snapper biology in a changing world. Boca Raton
1245 (Florida), CRC Press.
- 1246 Taylor MD, Suthers IM. 2021. The socio-ecological system of urban fisheries in estuaries. Est
1247 Coasts. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-021-00916-3>.
- 1248 Tessier A, Francour P, Charbonnel E, Dalias N, Bodilis P, Seaman W, Lenfant P. 2015. Assessment
1249 of French artificial reefs: due to limitations of research, trends may be misleading.
1250 Hydrobiologia, 753(1):1-29.
- 1251 Thierry JM. 1988. Artificial reefs in Japan — a general outline. Aquac Eng. 7(5):321-348.
- 1252 Thorne RE, Hedgepeth JB, Campos JA. 1989. Hydroacoustic observations of fish abundance and
1253 behaviour around an artificial reef in Costa Rica. Bull Mar Sci. 44(2):1058-1064.
- 1254 Topping DT, Szedlmayer ST. 2011. Home range and movement patterns of red snapper (*Lutjanus*
1255 *campechanus*) on artificial reefs. Fish Res. 112:77–84.
- 1256 Tracey S, Lyle JM, Ewing G, Hartmann K, Mapleston AJ. 2013. Offshore recreational fishing in
1257 Tasmania 2011/12.
- 1258 Truong L, Suthers IM, Cruz DO, Smith JA. 2017. Plankton supports the majority of fish biomass
1259 on temperate rocky reefs. Mar Biol. 164:73. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-017-3101-5>.
- 1260 Tulevech SM, Recksiek CW. 1994. Acoustic tracking of adult white grunt, *Haemulon plumieri*, in
1261 Puerto Rico and Florida. Fish Res (Amst). 19:301–319.
- 1262 Tunca S, Miran B, Unal V. 2014. Perception and demand for artificial reef by relevant local groups
1263 in Altınoluk (Turkey). Ege J Fish Aqua Sci. 31(1): 5-10.
1264 <https://doi.org/10.12714/egejfas.2014.31.1.02>.
- 1265 Tupper M, Hunte W. 1998. Predictability of fish assemblages on artificial and natural reefs in
1266 Barbados. Bull Mar Sci. 62:919-935.

1267 United States Department of Commerce and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
1268 (USDC NOAA). 2007. National artificial reef plan (as amended): Guidelines for siting,
1269 construction, development, and assessment of artificial reefs. p. 60.

1270 Vega Ferná'ndez TV, D'anna G, Badalamenti F, Pérez-Ruzafa A. 2008. Habitat connectivity as a
1271 factor affecting fish assemblages in temperate reefs. *Aquat Biol.* 1(3):239-248.

1272 Verschoor A, De Poorter L, Droge R, Kuene J, De Valk, E. 2016. Emission of microplastics and
1273 potential mitigation measures: Abrasive cleaning agents, paints and tyre wear. Report to the
1274 Netherlands Ministry of Infrastructure and the Environment. p.73.

1275 Vivier B, Dauvin JC, Navon M, Rusig AM, Mussio I, Orvain F, Boutouil M, Claquin P. 2021.
1276 Marine artificial reefs, a meta-analysis of their design, objectives and effectiveness. *Glob.*
1277 *Ecol Conserv.* 27: p.e01538.

1278 Wahyudin Y, Kusumastanto T, Adrianto L, Wardiatno, Y. 2018. A social ecological system of
1279 recreational fishing in the seagrass meadow conservation area on the east coast of Bintan
1280 Island, Indonesia. *Ecol Econ.* 148, 22-35.

1281 Walker BK, Jordan LKB, Spieler RE. 2009. Relationship of reef fish assemblages and topographic
1282 complexity on southeastern Florida coral reef habitats. *J Coast Res.* SI(53):39–48.

1283 Walsh WJ. 1985. Reef fish community dynamics on small artificial reefs: the influence of isolation,
1284 habitat structure, and biogeography. *Bull Mar Sci.* 36(2):357-376.

1285 Westmeyer MP, Wilson CA III, Nieland D L. 2007. Fidelity of red snapper to petroleum platforms
1286 in the northern Gulf of Mexico. In: *Red Snapper ecology and fisheries in the US Gulf of*
1287 *Mexico, American Fisheries Society Symposium*, 10–12 February 2006, San Antonio, TX,
1288 USA. Patterson III WF, Cowan Jr, JH, Fitzhugh GR, Nieland DL, editors. Bethesda, MD,
1289 USA. American Fisheries Society. Vol. 60, p. 105–121.

1290 Wik A, Dave G. 2009. Occurrence and effects of tire wear particles in the environment – A critical
 1291 review and an initial risk assessment. *Environ Pollut.* 157(1):1-11.

1292 Wilhelmsson D, Yahya SAS, Öhman MC. 2006. Effects of high-relief structures on cold temperate
 1293 fish assemblages: A field experiment. *Mar Biol Res.* 2(2):136-147.

1294 Wilson J, Osenberg CW, St. Mary CM, Watson CA, Lindberg WJ. 2001. Artificial reefs, the
 1295 attraction–production issue, and density dependence in marine ornamental fishes. *Aquarium*
 1296 *Sci Conserv.* 3(1):95–105. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1011343312031>

1297 Wilson S, Graham N, Polunin, N. 2007. Appraisal of visual assessments of habitat complexity and
 1298 benthic composition on coral reefs. *Mar Biol.* 151(3):1069-1076.

1299 Wilson SK, Burgess SC, Cheal AJ, Emslie M, Fisher R, Miller I, Polunin NVC, Sweatman HPA.
 1300 2008. Habitat utilization by coral reef fish: implications for specialists vs. generalists in a
 1301 changing environment. *J Anim Ecol* 77:220–228

1302 Workman I, Shah A, Foster D, Hataway B. 2002. Habitat preferences and site fidelity of juvenile
 1303 red snapper. *ICES J Mar Sci.* 59(Suppl.), S43–S50. <https://doi.org/10.1006/JMSC.2002.1211>

1304 Young MA, Foale S, Bellwood DR. 2016. Why do fishers fish? A cross-cultural examination of the
 1305 motivations for fishing. *Mar Policy.* 66:114-123.

Table

Table 1 - Criteria and rationale used to identify potential artificial reef deployment areas

Constraint	Criteria	Rationale
Environmental	Sensitive non-reef benthic habitat (seagrass, sponges, macroalgae)	Loss of existing sensitive benthic habitat is avoided
	Conservation estate	Impacts on sites with legal conservation status or areas identified as important to threatened species are avoided
	Existing hard strata and fish populations	Impacts to hard substratum habitats should be minimized
Social	Existing uses	Impacts to the existing use of the area are minimized
	Wrecks (including war graves)	Wrecks, including known war graves are avoided
	Cultural heritage sites	Cultural Heritage sites are avoided
	Mineral or petroleum exploration areas	Impact on mineral or petroleum exploration activities are minimized
Engineering	Substratum type	Areas of rock and limestone are avoided
	Distance from access point or harbour	Artificial reef is accessible
	Water depth	Artificial reef is not exposed during low tide or present a navigation hazard

Constraint	Criteria	Rationale
	Interference with existing infrastructure	Interference with marine infrastructure is avoided
	Interference with established shipping channels	Interference with established shipping routes is minimized

Table 2. Optimal criteria for design, arrangement and site selection

Optimal Designs

- High-strength marine-grade reinforced concrete or welded steel are the optimal materials for modules given their strength and longevity.
- Larger modules are more effective than smaller modules. However, a combination of smaller modules that form larger overall reef can be a viable alternative.
- Completely smooth surfaces should be avoided, a level of small scale structural complexity may increase invertebrate community formation, which may be of benefit to the fish community.
- Greater rugosity can provide cover for some fish, as well as minimising the effects of mobilised sediment on these biota.
- The height of modules should be dictated by reefs stability in the local environmental conditions, boat traffic safety and fish species requirements.
- The size of the effect (to abundance and diversity of fishes) generated by vertical walls (vertical relief) is proportional to the dimensions of the wall, with species richness and abundance generally increasing with wall height and length. Higher vertical relief has also been shown to stimulate rapid recruitment of juvenile fishes.
- Greater complexity in physical structures (at several spatial scales) through increased surface area, number of void spaces, cracks and crevices is commonly associated with a diversity of niches, high abundance and high species diversity.
- The shape of a void and its position on an artificial reef is important for shelter. Tabular voids provide concealment or shade to larger roving fishes. Smaller fishes also use such shelters but prefer that the shelters do not visually obstruct their view.
- Whilst maximising void volume to total volume ratio it is important to allow transparency to currents and stop the accumulation of silt.
- Features that produce upwellings, eddies and slipstreams are important drivers of abundance and diversity of fish, particularly planktivores.

Optimal Arrangements

- Using more than one module maximises complexity and increases the potential for greater diversity of fish
- Modules of various types should be arranged in clusters to maximise complexity at the scale of cluster.
- The closer the modules are placed together, the more they would function as a single unit. Spacing of modules within a cluster should be 3-4 x base diameter of modules to encourage fishing within the cluster
- An optimal footprint for a cluster is ~ 400 m²
- Clusters have scalability, and clusters should be 50-60 m apart to provide for adequate foraging space for associated fish, and a necessary level of connectivity among clusters for foraging. This distance also provides drift channels between the reefs for fishing.
- Although there are some signs that deeper artificial reefs have higher densities of fish than shallow artificial reefs, it is likely that densities are driven mostly by individual species' depth preferences which can also include ontogenetic preferences.

Optimal Siting

- Artificial reefs should be at least 500 m from natural reefs to avoid attracting fish.
- Environmental-
 - Avoid existing hard seabed
 - Avoid impacts to sensitive marine habitats
 - Avoid impacts to conservation estates
- Social-
 - Avoid impacts to existing users of the area
 - Avoid impacts to areas of cultural or historic heritage
 - Avoid impacts to mineral or petroleum exploration areas
- Engineering-
 - Avoid areas of rocky substratum of limestone
 - Avoid unstable seabeds
 - Accessible to recreational fishers
 - Artificial reef does not become exposed during low tides
 - Avoid interfering with marine infrastructure
 - Avoid interfering with shipping channels

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Types of reef associated fish

Figure 2. Example optimal arrangements for artificial reef modules in a cluster where module base diameter = 3 m (left) or when base diameter = 1.5 m (left)

Figures