Bond University Research Repository



Lifetime prevalence of non-melanoma and melanoma skin cancer in Australian recreational and competitive surfers

Climstein, Mike; Furness, James; Hing, Wayne; Walsh, Joe

Published in: Photodermatology Photoimmunology and Photomedicine

DOI: 10.1111/phpp.12247

Licence: Unspecified

Link to output in Bond University research repository.

Recommended citation(APA): Climstein, M., Furness, J., Hing, W., & Walsh, J. (2016). Lifetime prevalence of non-melanoma and melanoma skin cancer in Australian recreational and competitive surfers. *Photodermatology Photoimmunology and Photomedicine, 32*(4), 207-213. https://doi.org/10.1111/phpp.12247

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

For more information, or if you believe that this document breaches copyright, please contact the Bond University research repository coordinator.

1	Lifetime prevalence of non-melanoma and melanoma skin cancer in Australian
2	recreational and competitive surfers
3	

4 Abstract

5 Background/purpose

6 Surfing is one of the most popular outdoor aquatic activities in Australia with an estimated 7 2.7 million recreational surfers however, Australia has long been recognized as having the 8 highest incidence of melanoma in the world, and it is the most common type of cancer in 9 young Australians. The aim of this study was to investigate the lifetime prevalence of nonmelanoma (basal cell carcinoma (BCC), squamous cell carcinoma (SCC)), and melanoma 10 skin cancers in Australian recreational and competitive surfers. 11 **Methods** 12 Australian surfers were invited to complete an online surveillance survey to determine the 13 14 lifetime prevalence of non-melanoma and melanoma skin cancers. 15 **Results** 16 A total 1,348 surfers (56.9% recreational) participated in this study, of which 184 surfers reported a skin cancer (competitive n=96, recreational n=87). Of non-melanoma and 17 melanoma cancers reported, BCC was the most common (6.8%), followed by melanoma 18 (1.4%) and SCC (0.6%). The relative risk was higher (p<0.001) in competitive versus 19 recreational surfers (OR 1.74 (CI 1.28-2.31)). There was a higher (p<0.05) number of skin 20 cancers reported on the face (23.5%), back (16.4%) and arms (12.4%). There were 21 significant trends (p<0.001) in reported skin cancers between competitive and recreational 22 surfers, as well as significantly (p<0.001) more skin cancers reported in males (14.6%) than 23 24 females (9.4%).

25 Conclusion

26	Based upon these findings, individuals who surf are advised to regularly utilize sun protection
27	strategies (avoid peak ultra violet radiation (10am-3pm), rashvest, hat and sunscreen) and
28	primary care physicians are recommended to regularly screen their patients who surf.
29	
30	Keywords: physical activity; public health; questionnaire survey; sun exposure; skin
31	neoplasms; ultraviolet radiation
32	

Surfing is one of the most popular outdoor aquatic activities in Australia with an estimated 2.7 35 million recreational surfers (1). As a result the expected risk of skin cancer in surfers due to 36 37 long periods of exposure to ultraviolet radiation (UVR) (2), wearing less clothing and reflection 38 from water is of great concern. According to the Cancer Council, nearly one third of all 39 Australians had a skin cancer, making it the most common type of cancer in Australians (3). Non-melanoma skin cancers (NMSC) were the most prevalent with over 750,000 treated each 40 year. Australia has also been recognized as having the highest incidence of melanoma skin 41 cancer (MSC) in the world (4-6), and it is the most common cancer in Australians aged 15 to 42 43 29 years (7), although this has recently been challenged (8). Melanoma is also the third most common form of skin cancer, the mechanism of which is attributed to sunlight exposure, 44 particularly UVR within the 250 to 400 nm wavelength (9, 10). It is estimated in 2015 there 45 will be an estimated 938,000 treatments related to skin cancer in Australia, reportedly costing 46 the medical benefits scheme \$703 million for diagnosis, treatment and pathology (11). A recent 47 report on the epidemiological incidence of keratinocyte skin cancer revealed basal cell 48 carcinoma (BCC) was the most common type of skin cancer in the Australian population 49 followed by squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) (12), the report emphasized UVR exposure is of 50 critical importance in Australian as a mechanism for the development of skin cancer. Despite 51 the popularity of surfing there is very limited research (13) available on the prevalence of skin 52 cancers in surfers. Dozier and Wagner (12) reported the results of a free skin cancer screening 53 54 conducted by dermatologists in Texas (USA) surfers (n=49). The majority (73.4%) of the surfers screened were identified as having atypical moles (36.7%), actinic keratosis (40.8%)55 Significance (p<0.47) was only identified with regard to BCCs in the 56 and BCCs (16.3%). 57 surfers as opposed to a self-selected general population (16.3% versus 3.2%, respectively). There were no lesions identified that were suggestive of SCCs or melanomas. 58 The purpose

of this study was to investigate the lifetime prevalence of non-melanoma and melanoma skin

60 cancers in recreational and competitive surfers in Australia.

61

59

62 Methods

63 Study design

64 This research utilized a commercially available, customizable cloud-based survey in a cross-65 sectional observational study design.

66

67 *Sample*

Given the wide geographic distribution of surfing in Australia, an on-line survey was 68 69 developed to allow for national data collection on surfing injuries (14) and determine the lifetime prevalence of non-melanoma and melanoma skin cancers in recreational and 70 71 competitive surfers. We defined lifetime prevalence as the proportion of the population who, 72 at some point in their lifetime up to the time of this survey, has ever had a melanoma (MSC) or non-melanoma skin cancer (NMSC). Media (broadcast, print and radio) promotion and 73 direct contact with national surfing organizations and surfing clubs (n=103) was utilized to 74 75 promote the study to all individuals who were currently surfing either recreationally or competitively in Australia. Ethics approval for this study was granted by Bond University 76 77 Human Research Ethics committee (RO1540).

78

79 Survey

The survey consisted of two primary sections. Section 1 contained questions that pertained to participants physiological demographics (such as age, height, mass) and their surfing specific

demographics (board type) and surfing exposure (average hours per week and weeks per 82 year for the previous year). Additionally, we inquired if the participants surfed during peak 83 84 UVR which is recognized as 10am to 3pm, inclusive (15, 16). We also queried the participants surf status (recreational or competitive) and if the latter whether it was for their 85 local club, international or the World Championship Tour. Section 2 pertained to surfing 86 injuries (14) (acute and chronic) and included questions pertaining to the lifetime prevalence 87 88 of non-melanoma and melanoma skin cancers. Participants were instructed to report only NMSCs and MSCs by type and location that have only been diagnosed and/or treated by 89 90 either a general practitioner (GP) or dermatologist. This question specified that diagnosis needed to be confirmed by tissue biopsy, however participants were not required to upload 91 either medical reports of diagnosed skin cancers or associated pathology reports. As most of 92 the participants would be unaware of their Fitzpatrick skin phototype (17) we simplified skin 93 94 types to the following; fair, medium, olive, brown and black (18).

95

Survey questions consisted of array, single choice, multiple choice, list dropdown, numerical 96 input and short answer free text. Filters (where appropriate) were utilized to ensure 97 participants provided electronic informed consent (individuals under the age of 18 years were 98 required to have parental or guardian supervision whilst completing the survey), met entry 99 100 criteria, expedite completion of the survey and help ensure responses were within logical limits. Additionally, participants were informed they could only complete one survey per 101 102 participant and the online survey mechanism allowed each participant to only complete the 103 survey once. All participants were required to provide informed consent electronically to enable access to the survey which was active for six months. 104

105 Statistical analysis

Data normality was assessed by investigating kurtosis, skewness, Q-Q plots, as well as the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) test with Lilliefors significance correction. Heteroscedasticity was assessed using Levene's test. Statistical analyses were completed using the IBM Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS, version 22) and included demographics (mean \pm SD), correlations, t-tests and chi-square tests to determine significance of differences between groups, alpha was set at p<0.05 *a priori*.

112

113 **Results**

114 Sample characteristics

Table 1 depicts the physiological and surfing demographics of all participants. A total of 1,348 115 116 surfers completed the survey, the majority of which were male (91.3%) and surfing recreationally (56.9%). The overall mean age of participants was 35.8 years (range 11-70) with 117 competitive surfers (n=581, 43.1%) significantly (p<0.05) older (+3.9%) and slightly shorter 118 (-.05%) than recreational surfers. With regard to surfing demographics competitive surfers 119 reported surfing significantly (p<0.001) more hours per week (+37.2%), weeks per year 120 121 (+13.4%) and total hours per year (+43.8%). There was no difference (p>0.05) with regard to surfing exposure between genders. The majority of surfers rode short boards (82%), followed 122 by longboards (10.9%) and mini-mals (7.1%) 123

- 124
- 125

Insert Table 1 approximately here

126

127 Lifetime prevalence of skin cancer

A total of 184 of the survey participants (13.6%) reported being diagnosed and/or treated for a 128 NMSC and/or MSC (Figure 1), there were a greater number of skin cancers reported in 129 competitive (Table 2) than recreational surfers (p<0.05). Competitive surfers had reported 130 proportionally more skin cancers than recreational surfers (16.5% and 11.4%, respectively). 131 The relative risk of developing a skin cancer was significantly (p<0.001) higher in competitive 132 versus recreational surfers (odds ratio 1.74 (CI 1.28-2.31)). An additional 37 participants (2.7%) 133 134 reported having a skin cancer however, were unable to recall the specific type. There was a trend (p=0.06) for competitive surfers to surf more often during peak UVR as opposed to 135 136 recreational surfers (50.9% versus 39.0%, respectively).

- 137
- 138

Insert Figure 1 approximately here

- 139
- 140

Regarding location, NSW participants reported the highest incidence of skin cancers (38.3%)
followed closely by Queensland (37.8%). Other states included Victoria (8.5%), followed
closely by Western Australia (8.0%), then South Australia (4.8%) and Tasmania (0.5%).

With regard to the total cohort, BCC's were the most frequently (6.8%) reported NMSC 144 followed by MSCs (1.4%) and NMSC SCC's (0.6%). Of note is the number of participants 145 who reported multiple types of skin cancers; BCC and SCC (1.7%), BCC and SCC and 146 melanoma (0.3%) and BCC and melanoma (0.2%). Competitive suffers reported more BCC's 147 148 (+19.6%) however, surprisingly there were more MSC reported by recreational surfers (Table 2). There were no significant differences between the type of skin cancer and surfing status 149 150 (recreational versus competitive). The NMSC BCC was primarily reported in NSW (n=51) 151 followed closely by Queensland (n=47), this was similar to SCCs (NSW, n=17; Queensland,

n=12). Melanoma skin cancers were most prevalent in Queensland (n=11), followed closely
by NSW (n=9).

- 154
- 155

Insert Table 2 approximately here

156

157	Skin	cancer	by	site
-----	------	--------	----	------

With respect to the site of the skin cancers (Figure 2), there was a significantly higher incidence 158 of skin cancers on the face (p<0.001), back (p<0.001) and arms (p<0.05) whereas the feet, thigh 159 and neck had the lowest number of reported skin cancers. Although our cohort of female surf 160 participants is the largest dataset of lifetime prevalence of skin cancer to date, for comparative 161 purposes this study was limited due to the relatively small number (n=117) of female 162 163 participants in comparison to male participants (n=1231). The location of MSC was most commonly reported on the back (27.8%) followed by the face (16.3%) and shoulder and arms 164 (11.4%). 165

166

167

Insert Figure 2 approximately here

168 Key demographical and physiological risk factors

There was a negative correlation between age and time spent surfing with older surfers surfing less hours per week (p<0.0001) and less weeks per year (p<0.005). As would be expected, however important to confirm, older surfers had also surfed for more years than their younger counterparts (r=0.73, p<0.0001). Those surfers who surfed more hours per week, tended to also surf more weeks of the year (p<0.0001). Surfers reporting a skin cancer had higher body mass index (BMI) (p<0.0001), older in age (p<0.0001), and mass (p<0.0001), though years surfing was identified as the most significant factor (p<0.0001).

There was a significant difference between genders as males reported a higher number of skin cancers versus females (0.36 vs. 0.12, p<0.0001). This was however, unsurprising as males also had surfed for more years than females (p<0.0001) and this was shown to also be related to the number of skin cancers reported.

- 181
- 182

Insert Figure 1 here

183

Competitive surfers were found to have a significantly (p<0.001) higher proportion of skin cancers than recreational surfers however, competitive surfers had surfed longer (p<0.0001) and were older (36.6 vs. 35.2yrs).

187

188 Skin cancer and skin color

With regard to skin colour, there was a significant (p<0.005) relationship between the number of skin cancers and lighter skin as fair and medium skin participants reported the highest frequency (43.4% and 46.7%, respectively) of NMSCs (86.4%) and MSCs (13.6%). However, it should be noted that the limited number of brown (n=1) and black (n=0) skinned participants is not a representative sample.

194

195 **Discussion**

This study represents the largest survey that has investigated NMSCs and MSC in both recreational and competitive surfers. Our findings are in agreement with the only previously published study (13) which reported a similar (16.3%) cohort prevalence of skin cancer. Although that study did not report SCC per se, the authors did report a high prevalence (40.8%) of actinic keratosis which has been shown to give rise to SCCs in 44 to 82% of cases (19). The prevalence of BCC in our study is less than previously reported (13) (16.3%) despite our study investigating lifetime prevalence versus incidence.

203

204 The risk of skin cancer development has also been investigated in other outdoor recreational and sporting groups and shown to be an independent risk factor for BCC (2). Lichte and 205 colleagues (20) investigated the incidence of skin cancer in mountain guides and reported a 206 similar incidence of BCCs (7.1%) and a slightly higher incidence of SCCs (1.4%). The cohort 207 (n=283) of adult males only identified 1 MSC. Skin cancer has also been investigated in 208 209 outdoor farm workers (21), the proportionate MSC incidence (0.18) was found to be higher than urinary bladder cancer (0.59) however, lower than kidney cancer (1.60), liver cancer 210 (4.24), prostate cancer (1.13) and uterine cancer (2.08). 211

212

Our data analysis demonstrated that the total number of years surfing was a more significant factor with regard to skin cancer than current surfing activity (hours per week). However, this may be mitigated by the fact that surfers who were older surfed less than their younger counterparts. A consideration is that if older surfers have always surfed less than younger counterparts (an assumption that may not be valid), the extent of skin cancers reported in future may be far higher once the currently younger cohort of surfers have spent an equivalent number of years exposed to UVR whilst surfing.

With regard to the tendency (p>0.05) for less skin cancers on the top of head, face, ears and neck in females, this was possibly attributed to the protective factor of longer hair however, this was not evaluated in the survey and is only speculative.

224

These findings are in agreement with previous research which have reported a lower incidence of skin cancers in races and ethnicities with darker skin (22, 23), as these races and ethnicities have been shown to have a higher melanin content (22). Bradford (24) previously reported in the United States Caucasians had the highest incidence of skin cancers (35-40%) followed by Hispanics (4-5%) Asians (2-4%) and Afro-Americans (1-2%).

230

This study also found a lifetime prevalence for melanoma to be 1.4% within a surfing population whereas a 27 year prevalence of melanoma in Australia was found to be 0.6% in 2007 (25), comparatively the rate in the USA (26) was reported to be considerably higher (3.8%) and is expected to rise significantly in the near future (27). This comparison needs to be viewed cautiously as timeframes differ; however as this is the only comparable Australian dataset it highlights the potential threat of melanoma in patients who surf.

237

Non-melanoma skin cancers are the most common cancer in Australia and the highest in the world (11). These cancers also place a high financial burden on the Australian health care system with an estimated yearly cost of \$700 million. Given the large proportion of Australians who surf and numbers of reported NMSC and MSC within this cohort, surfers may account for a notable proportion of all skin cancers within Australia. The authors acknowledge that the generalizability of our results is limited to Australian surfers. With regard to limitations to this study, selection bias may have existed as individuals without internet access would not have had the opportunity to have participated, we attempted to minimize information bias by requiring melanoma and non-melanoma data to only be entered when diagnosed and/or treated by the participants GP or specialist. We believe there was no confounding bias as we did not investigate casual relationships. A further limitation to this study was the exclusion of investigating sun protection strategies which have been shown to be effective in reducing the risk of skin cancer in other outdoor athletes (28).

252

253 Conclusions

Surfers have previously been surveyed successfully (29-31) and we believe this study adds 254 insight into the lifetime prevalence of non-melanoma and melanoma skin cancers in the 255 Australian surfing cohort. Although the data obtained was self-report which has recently come 256 under question (32), participants were required to only report skin cancers diagnosed and/or 257 treated by their GP or medical specialist. Given this study's findings with respect to the lifetime 258 259 prevalence of skin cancer in Australian surfers, GP's are recommended to rigorously screen 260 their patients who surf as based upon our findings they appear to be at increased risk of developing either a non-melanoma and/or melanoma skin cancer. Screenings are important 261 262 preventative measures as GP screening examinations has previously been shown to be effective 263 in reducing premature death from skin cancers (5). Furthermore, GP's should also advise patients to utilize multiple sun protection strategies specific to surfing (avoid peak UVR, 264 265 rashvest, hat and sunscreen (9, 33, 34)). Previous investigators (35) have shown that sun safety education programs are effective in changing sun protective behaviours, particularly for non-266 melanoma and melanoma skin cancers. 267

Additionally, lighter skinned surfers with an extensive surfing history appear to a key subgroup at greatest risk for skin cancer. The key high incidence areas of exposure need recognition and strategies to reduce the incidence of skin cancers in these areas would be worthy of consideration (i.e. the face, back and arms).

272

274 Acknowledgements

275	The authors wish to thank Surfing Australia's High Performance Centre, Surfing Australia,
276	Surfing Queensland, SurfRider Foundation, Tracks magazine, Swellnet.com.au, Surfing Life,
277	Fitnessnetwork.com, NBN TV, Nine News, ABC radio (Gold Coast, Sunshine Coast),
278	Sunday Mail, and the Gold Coast Bulletin for assisting in the promotion of this research.
279	
280	All authors contributed to the design of the research study, Dr. Furness performed the

research, all authors contributed to the statistical analyses and writing of this paper.

283 Disclosure and competing interests

284 None declared

REFERENCES

288	1.	Stark A. Surfing Australia Annual Report. 2013.
289	2.	Moehrle M. Outdoor sports and skin cancer. Clin Dermatol. 2008: 26:12-15.
290	3.	Cancer Council of Australia. Skin Cancer Statistics and Issues. 2015.
291	4.	Stanton W. Primary prevention of skin cancer in Australia: Report of the Sun Protection
292	Progra	ms Working Party. 1996.
293	5.	Sladden MJ, Ward JE, Del Mar CB, Lowe JB. Skin cancer screening by Australian family
294	physici	ans: variation with physician beliefs and geographic locality. Am J Prev Med. 1999: 17:142-
295	146.	
296	6.	Volkov A. Dobbinson S. Wakefield M. Slevin T. Seven-year trends in sun protection and
297	sunbur	m among Australian adolescents and adults. Aust N Z J Public Health. 2013: 37:63-69.
298	7.	Perez D. Kite I. Dunlon SM. Cust AF. Goumas C. Cotter T. et al. Exposure to the 'Dark Side of
299	Tannin	g' skin cancer prevention mass media campaign and its association with tanning attitudes in
300	Νοω Sc	g skill cancel prevention mass media campaign and its association with tamming attitudes in
201	Q	Olsen CM, Williams DE, Whiteman DC, Turning the tide? Changes in treatment rates for
202	o. koratin	orsen civi, williams Fr, willeman DC. Turning the tide: Changes in treatment rates for
202		Moobrie M. Outdoor sports and skin sansar. Clin Dermatol. 2008:: 26:12-15
202	9. 10	Kanayay HE CM, Ultraviolet radiation and molanoma. Somin Cutan Mod Surg. 2011: 20:222
304 205	10.	Kallavy HE Givi. Oltraviolet radiation and melanoma. Semin Cutan Med Surg. 2011. 50.222-
305	228.	Frances M. Kenshelies A. Channes N. Fradish DD. Ciles CC. Cincleis DD. New mades are also
306	11.	Fransen M, Karanalios A, Sharma N, English DK, Glies GG, Sinciair KD. Non-melanoma skin
307	cancer	In Australia. Med J Aust. 2012: 197:565-568.
308	12.	Refshauge A. Cancer Survival and Prevalence in Australia: Period estimates from 1982 to
309	2010. 4	
310	13.	Dozier S, Wagner RF, Jr., Black SA, Terracina J. Beachfront screening for skin cancer in Texas
311	gulf co	ast surfers. South Med J. 1997: 90:55-58.
312	14.	Furness JW, Hing WA, Abbott A, Walsh J, Climstein M. Retrospective analysis of chronic
313	injuries	s in recreational and competitive surfers: Injury location, type and mechanism. International
314	Journa	I of Aquatic Research and Education. 2014: 8:228-287.
315	15.	Agency ARPaNS. Radiation Protection. 2014.
316	16.	Gonzaga ER. Role of UV light in photodamage, skin aging, and skin cancer: importance of
317	photop	protection. Am J Clin Dermatol. 2009: 10 (Suppl 1):19-24.
318	17.	Eilers S, Bach DQ, Gaber R, Blatt H, Guevara Y, Nitsche K, et al. Accuracy of self-report in
319	assessi	ng Fitzpatrick skin phototypes I through VI. JAMA dermatology. 2013: 149:1289-1294.
320	18.	Jablonski N. Human Evolutionary Biology. In: Muehlenbein MP, ed. Human Evolutionary
321	Biology	y. England: Cambridge University Press, 2010: 192-213.
322	19.	Cohen JL. Actinic keratosis treatment as a key component of preventive strategies for
323	nonme	lanoma skin cancer. The Journal of Clinical and Aesthetic Dermatology. 2010: 3:39-44.
324	20.	Lichte V, Dennenmoser B, Dietz K, Hafner HM, Schlagenhauff B, Garbe C, et al. Professional
325	risk for	skin cancer development in male mountain guidesa cross-sectional study. J Eur Acad
326	Derma	tol Venereol. 2010: 24:797-804.
327	21.	Mills PK, Shah P. Cancer incidence in California farm workers, 1988-2010. Am J Ind Med.
328	2014: 5	57:737-747.
329	22.	Brenner M, Hearing VJ. The protective role of melanin against UV damage in human skin.
330	Photod	hem Photobiol. 2008: 84:539-549.
331	23.	Control CfD. Skin Cancer Rates by Race and Ethnicity. 2015.
332	24.	Bradford PT. Skin cancer in skin of color. Dermatol Nurs. 2009: 21:170-177, 206; quiz 178.
333	25.	Refshauge A, Kalisch D. Cancer survival prevalence in Australia. 2012.
334	26.	Siegal R, Ma J, Zou Z, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2014. 2015.

- Weir HK, Thompson TD, Soman A, Moller B, Leadbetter S. The past, present, and future of
 cancer incidence in the United States: 1975 through 2020. Cancer. 2015.
- 337 28. Noble-Jerks J, Weatherby RP, Meir R. Self-reported skin cancer protection strategies and
 338 location of skin cancer in retired cricketers: a case study from membership of the Emu Cricket Club. J
 339 Sci Med Sport. 2006: 9:441-445.
- Howden J, Danks J, McCluskey P, Gillett M, Ghabrial R. Surfboard-related eye injuries in New
 South Wales: a 1-year prospective study. Med J Aust. 2014: 201:532-534.
- 342 30. Furness J, Hing W, Abbott A, Walsh J, Sheppard JM, Climstein M. Retrospective Analysis of 343 Chronic Injuries in Recreational and Competitive Surfers: Injury Location, Type, and Mechanism.
- Chronic Injuries in Recreational and Competitive Surfers: Injury Location, Typ
 International Journal of Aquatic Research and Education. 2014: 8:277-287.
- 345 31. Furness J, Hing W, Walsh J, Abbott A, Sheppard JM, Climstein M. Acute Injuries in
 346 Recreational and Competitive Surfers Incidence, Severity, Location, Type, and Mechanism. The
 347 American journal of sports medicine. 2015: 43:1246-1254.
- 348 32. Holm AS, Wulf HC. Self-reported skin cancer is unreliable. Eur J Epidemiol. 2015: 30:159-162.
- 349 33. Glanz K, Mayer JA. Reducing ultraviolet radiation exposure to prevent skin cancer
- 350 methodology and measurement. Am J Prev Med. 2005: 29:131-142.
- 351 34. Saraiya M. Recommendations to prevent skin cancer by reducing exposure to ultraviolet
- 352 radiation. Am J Prev Med. 2004: 27:467-470.
- 35. Jinna S, Adams BB. Ultraviolet radiation and the athlete: risk, sun safety, and barriers to
- implementation of protective strategies. Sports Med. 2013: 43:531-537.

356

Variable	Cohort (n=1,348)	Recreational (n=767)	Competitive (n=581)	P value
Age (yrs)	35.84 (13.08)	35.2 (12.2)	36.7 (14.1)	.04
Weight (kg)	78.59 (12.79)	78.3 (11.9)	78.9 (13.7)	NS
Height (cm)	178.16 (9.03)	178.6 (9.1)	177.7 (8.6)	NS
BMI (kg/m ²)	24.73 (3.75)	24.5 (3.3)	24.9 (3.5)	NS
		Surfing demograph	ics	
Surfing:				
• Hours/week	6.7 (5.6)	5.4 (4.4)	8.6 (6.6)	.001
• Weeks/year	40.5 (13.6)	38.0 (14.6)	43.8 (11.4)	.001
• Hours/year	305.5 (291.2)	228.7 (214.3)	406.9 (343.7)	.001

Table 1. Descriptive statistics for participants (values are mean (±SD)). 95% Confidence
intervals (95% CI).

			Cohort	Recreat	onal	Com	petitive		P value
364	(number, percentage)								
363	Table 2.	Lifetime i	ncidence of	of non-melanor	na and	melanoma	skin cancers	in	participants

	0011010		00	
Туре	(n=1,348)	(n=767)	(n=581)	
BCC (n)	123	56 (45.5%)	67 (54.5%)	NS
Melanoma (n)	25	15 (60.0%)	10 (40.0%)	NS
SCC (n)	36	17 (47.2%)	19 (52.8%)	NS
Total (n)	184	88 (47.8%)	96 (52.2%)	NS